

Your letter to the Attorney Coneral has been referred to this Bureau and was received on February 2nd.

In regard to the investigation by the FEI of records of the Unitarian-Universalist Association, this Eureau, at the specific request of Assistant Attorney General Robert C. Mardian, Internal Security Division, Department of Justice, is conducting an intensive investigation into the unauthorized disclosure of the "Pentagon papers." On October 28, 1971, a subspecia was issued by the Federal Grand Jury, Boston, Passachusetts, to obtain bank records of the Unitarian-Universalist Association and its affiliate, Beacon Press, which published Senator Gravel's edition of "The Pentagon Papers."

of Justice, two Special Agents assisted bank personnel in a review of their records to expedite selection of items most responsive to the subpoena and to eliminate decuments with no relevancy. These Agents did not make any notes regarding the light repords and did not prepare reports based on their review.

Sincerely yours,

FEB 4 1972 It is considered that the actions of this Dureau in the above matter have been entirely proper and were performed in response to a specific request by attorneys of the Department of Justice.

Manual III	**.	
. Worker		
. HIRBOD		J. Edgar Hoover
. Willer, E.S		111111111111111111111111111111111111111
. ('allahan	entities and the second	16/10
. Casper		
. Cowad	4	그는 그 그리고 있다.
. Dalhey	A	
. Clereland/		
. Ponder	1 - los Angeles - E	nclosure
. Balca	histor Dufilac rofl	ect Lravelen to Moscow 111 2702
. Knikart	Dullies rera	Congress and she also
. Walters	attend the Eighth I	nternational Cancer Congress and she also
. Royars	summared a dosiro	to attend World Congress for General Disal
obr. Hesena!	expressed a desire	to attend World Congress for General Disai
ina Ilolana		and Peace also neld the

AI FILED IN. 10. - 11. 7 4. 9

FIRST UNITARIAN CHURCH OF LOS ANGELES

Peter Hans Christiansen Minister

Stephen Hole Fritchman Minister Emerituzation

Mr. Conrad

Mr. Dalbay

Mr. Bates Mr. Walker

Mr. Walters

Mr. Soyara

Trie. Room Mins Holmes

Mirs Gandy

Mr. Cloveland Mr. Ponder

January 27, 1972

Attorney General John Mitchell U.S. Department of Justice Washington, D.C. MILCK


Dear Sir:

The Board of Trustees of the First Unitarian Church of Los Angeles, by unanimous vote, condemns the action of the United States Department of Justice in its unconstitutional investigation of the financial affairs of the Unitarian Universalist Association.

This action of the Department of Justice was taken because Beacon Press, the publishing department of the U.U.A., has made available to the American public the complete text of the "Pentagon Papers" wnich document numerous violations of national and international law by a number of public officials.

This investigation, compelling the disclosure of contributors to and members of the Unitarian Universalist Association, is a direct attack upon freedom of religion and freedom of association, both of which are guaranteed by the Constitution of the United States.

We urge that the Department of Justice cease at once its campaign of intimidation against the U.U.A.



NCE

E. S. Miller

C. D. Brennan

1 - Mr. A. Rosen

1 - Mr. J. P. Mohr

1 - Mr. T. E. Bishop

2/15/72

1 - Mr. D. J. Dalbey

1 - Mr. E. S. Miller

1 - Mr. C. D. Brennan

1 - Mr. J. R. Wagoner

UNAUTHORIZED DISCLOSURES OF CLASSIFIED INFORMATION TO THE PRESS

Memorandum is to summarize status of our current investigations into leak matters, noting that required direction of current investigative activity not conducive to development of information meriting notification to The White House in weekly summary. Recommendation being made that weekly summary requested by The White House be discontinued after notification to appropriate recipients that information of pertinence will be furnished as received in the future. Letters along those lines attached for H. R. Haldeman and Egil Krogh, Jr., at The White House, and for the Attorney General.

Background:

Our investigation of Daniel Ellsberg and his codefendant, Anthony J. Russo, Jr., in their illegal possession and disclosure of classified information has successfully culminated in their indictment at Los Angeles with trial now scheduled for early May, 1972. Our current activity in this area involves a detailed analysis and study of evidence, and organization of same for presentation by Departmental attorneys at the forthcoming trial.

Alleged violations by Ellsberg in leaking the "Pentagon papers" to elements of the news media in Boston, Massachusetts, continue to be the subject of Federal Grand Jury interest at Boston, however, the hearing at Boston is presently estopped by motions before the United States District Court of Appeals and the United States Supreme Court by Senator Mike Gravel and others who have been subpoensed before the Federal Grand Jury. While our inquiries into alleged violations in the Boston area are continuing, they have not been of sufficient import to justify high level dissemination.

Other leak investigations of earlier interest to
The White House have been completed and have or will be presented
to the Department of Justice with the comment that no additional
investigation is being conducted by this Bureau. 65-74060Enclosures 23-34060-

Enclosures - 3 62-98194 1 - 65-74060 (MC LEK)

NOT RECORDED

CONTINUED - OVER -

Memorandum to E. S. Miller
RE: UNAUTHORIZED DISCLOSURES OF
CLASSIFIED INFORMATION TO THE PRESS
62-98194

It is expected that with the start of the trial in Los Angeles and resumption of Federal Grand Jury hearings in Boston, after appropriate rulings by the Federal courts involved, our investigation in the Ellsberg matter will be stepped up, thereby generating information of interest and value to the mentioned White House advisers and the Attorney General. At that time we will resume furnishing those officials letterhead memoranda incorporating substantive and pertinent information as it is received. Until that time, however, the interested officials should be advised that we contemplate discontinuing our weekly memorandum and appropriate letters for those officials are attached.

RECOMMENDATION:

That we discontinue weekly memorandum summarizing developments in our leak investigations until such time as trial starts or Federal Grand Jury proceeding is allowed to continue by court rulings. If approved, attached letters to The White House and to the Attorney General will be sent.

ent. Si	Date	2/10/72	
Transmit the following in	(Type in plain	text or code)	SALL
to make out to him to be			B. II
Via AIRTEL	REGISTERED 1	(Priority)	
			-2/1/
TO DIRECT	TOR, FBI (65-74060))	CPAK
TO : DIRECT ATT:	DID	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	in the same
(S)	NEW YORK (65-25641) (P)	W /Vessul)
FROM : SAC,	NEW TORK (COURTED VIEW		15.6
1			43,7
SUBJECT: MC LE	K -) SIO		4
		- (-0 (50	
	ston eirtel to the	Bureau, 1/12//2 /26/72 at Boston	-and
report of	L ELLSBERG; ESPION	AGE - X".	
captioned, DANIE	, Leggorano, access		five conies
	sed herewith are tod, "DANIEL ELLSBER		
of a LHM captione	d, "DANIEL ELLSBERG, setting forth in	formation pertai	ning to
subscribers in th	18 NIC alea Comme	ed from telephor	le number
	sted to	od April-Novembe	r, 1971.
	lor end post		^
\$ 10 m	60 D		
-2 x0 1 .D 2	10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1		
EA 1.000	The court		
To Bureau (Encls.	6) (RM)	*	
il a ten Angolog (105-27952) (Encl.	1) (Info) (RM)	
1-Boston (65-523	6) (Encl. 1) (Info)	(RM)	0-3135
1-WFO (65-11613)	NO. III PARE C	-74061	0-2194
	11 90 V)· (\'	
TJD:mfw (31) 5/			B #2 1972
(8)	NO. IN PRODUCTION OF THE	3 15	B 22 19/2
	18 2 Marchall	-111 -	T- 200
	N N I	266	* 5F
	The same of the same	olyic sill	A MI
		NA	A MANY
	and the second s		API COMPER
		STATE OF STA	14/
	Sant	M 1	19

Special Agent in Charge



In Reply, Please Refer to File No.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

New York, New York February 10, 1972

Daniel Ellsberg Expionage - X

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

Do 12 dissimale

Daniel- Ellsberg

On December 13, 1971 , was contacted in connection with his knowledge of Anthony Joseph Russo, Jr., advised that he only and/or Dr. Daniel Ellsberg. for approximately two days a week. He is a professor at Yale University, New Haven, Connecticut, and resides at Westchester for the period County, New York. He further Tune to November, 1971, he had staying at the address, whom he described as a former student at Yale University, who has also worked with him in Egypt. He noted that while was in the Boston area he was employed by the Harvard University Medical Planning Department. He also stated that one stayed with periodically from June, 1971 to November, 1971. He described as being in the publishing business and being closely associated with Dr. Daniel Ellsberg. further advised On January 4, 1972. the following individuals that in addition to also resided at his residence at one time or another during the period April 29 through November 24, 1971 and, further, that they all were acquainted with who is presently an undergraduate student at Yale University, New Haven, Connecticut. also attending Yale University as an undergraduate. employed at the

SAC, New York (65-25641) Director, FBI (65-74060)

MC LEK 810

ReMyairtel and LHM 2/10/72.

Referenced LHM is not being disseminated at this time due to the fact that New York has neglected to include sources for information set forth therein. Specifically, no sources are utilized when identifying subscribers to various telephone numbers and for setting forth statements such as the prior employment of Attorney George S. Leisure, Jr., as a former Assistant United States Attorney on page four, paragraph six.

New York should include data set forth in referenced LHW in its next investigative report, appropriately documenting

It is desired that investigation be conducted to fully identify the following individuals including their employment, and thereafter recommendations are to be submitted on a HACR basis concerning their interviews for knowledge about and any relationship those two persons had

with Ellsberg and/or Russo, particularly the copying or dissemination of the "Pentagon papers."

> as set forth on page three, paragraph six, of referenced LHM.

1 - Boston (65-5236)

1 - Los Angeles (105-27952)

- WFO (65-11613)

r. Dalbey r. Cleveland r. Ponder t. Waikart

ir. Felt

tr. Callahan Casper

r. Walters

MAILED 5 FEB 171972

SEE NOTE PAGE

Airtel to New York RE: MC LEK 65-74060 page three, last paragraph, of referenced LHM page four, paragraph four, of referenced LHW page six, paragraph one, of referenced LHM . set forth on ... With regard to page five, paragraph one, referenced LHM, as distributor for Visi Records, you should further identify this organization and thereafter submit recommendations concerning interview on HACB basis. Stens should be immediately instituted to interview Moving and Storage, 1162 Second on two occasions Avenue, New York City. It is noted that has been entrusted by Ellsberg to obtain It is possible Items belonging to Ellsberg of interest in this investigation Every effort have been placed in the custody of should be made by New York to determine whether this is the case. In addition to the above New York should at once Continental American Travel Incorporated, to ascertain her interview and whether she made

NOTE:

knowledge concerning

same should be obtained.

New York by airtel and LHM 2/10/72 set forth identities of subscribers to telephone numbers called by individuals of interest in this case. Instructions issued for additional investigation leading to possible interviews of these individuals in the future.

arrangements for their tour of the Middle East. Details concerning

•

2/17/72

To:

EACs, Baltimore (65-3896) Boston (65-5236) WFO (65-11613) 1 - Mr. J. R. Wagones 1 - Mr. J. R. Hagy

From: Direc

Director, FBI (65-74060)

MC LEE S BIO

Information developed to date concerning points to as a definite suspect in the dissemination of the "Pentagon papers" to various newspapers throughout the United States in late June and early July, 1971. His role in personally retrieving items earlier placed in storage by Daniel Ellsberg and Ellsberg's brother-in-law, Epencer Earz, indicates the high degree of confidence placed an by Ellsberg. At the same time, contacts in the publication field, stemming from his connections with Dispatch News Service (DNS) and his close relationship with would certainly editor at large of have been known to Ellsberg prior to 6/13/11 when "The New York Times" broke the story of the "Pentagon papers." as the person to be notified in the event of death or Investigation accident when applied for a passport in 1965. indicates contacts between Ellsberg and as early as May, in 11/69, gained 1970. The DNS, organized by national recognition by releasing articles written by Hersh concerning the so-called My Lai massacre and references given by DNS included

1 - Los Angeles (105-27952)

JRH:mcm (10)

Rishop

Walters .
Soyars _
cie. Room .

Miller, E.S. Callahan MAILED 20 FEI: 171272

13.777

REC-11 65-740 60-3136

3 .28 17 15/2

7 FEB 22 1972

gand SR

Airtel to Baltimore et al RE: NC LEK 65-74060

of the Washington, D.C. bureau of the "St. Louis Post Dispatch." The latter publication was one of the newspapers which began publishing portions of the "Pentagon papers" shortly after 6/13/71 and reportedly obtained the pertinent material after ceeived a telephone call from an as-yet unidentified individual who told to come to Cambridge, Massachusetts, and, thereafter, call a certain telephone number to make arrangements to obtain the pertinent documents.

be an excellent suspect in this matter and recent information developed by Boston indicates possibility

be implicated. As noted above, was a close friend of as early as 1965 at which time was residing with his parents in the Los Angeles area. During this same time resided with his father, also a resident of the Los Angeles area, and re undoubtedly acquainted during this period. Obstituted together at young until

With respect to investigation to date indicates that he and were classmates at and both have been recently identified as having connections with "Boston After Dark," an anti-establishment underground publication in Boston. reportedly introduced staved with on a periodic basis between April and November 1971, at 📟 the home of has since advised that who was apparently residing at as of 10/71, traveled to the Mid East in late Fall of 1971. was last known to be in Of gignificance to this matter is information functional but indicating that Daniel Ellsberg was present at on different occasions between May and November, 1971.

Bureau desires recipients thoroughly review office files concerning thereafter, initiate detailed investigation of their activities and associates to fully establish their whereabouts and activities during the period March-July, 1971. Recipients are already in possession of sufficient background and descriptive data concerning these three individuals to permit prompt initiation of such investigation. Telephone, bank and credit card data should be utilized for lead purposes and

Airtel to Baltimore et al RE: NC LEK 65-74060

if pertinent telephone records have not already been obtained, discussion should be had with appropriate Departmental attorney concerning feasibility of obtaining Federal Grand Jury subpoens for production of such records.

Recipients should also determine current whereaboute and employment of these three individuals and in the event have not yet returned to the United States, Bureau should be so advised by teletype.

Identification and desired investigation of will be subject of a separate communication.

Leads in instant matter should be set forth by teletype with instructions to auxiliary offices to furnish replies by similar means to the Bureau and pertinent offices with such data to be thereafter incorporated into an investigative report.

NOTE:

On 6/13/71 "The New York Times" published the first of several articles purportedly based on the "Pentagon papers." A number of other newspapers began publication of similar articles shortly thereafter; however, investigation to date has failed to throw any light on how these newspapers obtained the "Pentagon papers," or who was responsible for making these documents thus available. Thorough review of Bureau files has developed three logical suspects and appropriate investigation is being requested.

Airtel

BACs, Baltimore (65-3896)

Boston (65-5236)

WFO (65-11613)

From: Director, FBI (65-74060)

- Mr. Hag

SIO

ReBuairtel 2/17/72 and BSairtel 1/5/72.

Referenced Bureau airtel identified suspect in the dissemination of the "Pentagon papers" to various newspapers in late June and early July, 1971, following initial disclosure of this news story by "The New York Times" on 6/13/71.

resided on a periodic Investigation has determined basis between April and November, 1971 in the

Referenced

Bureau files contain no information identifiable with on basis of data furnished to date by Boston, is unable to determine exact nature of relationship between

1 - Los Angeles (105-27952)


JRH: bsf bof (10) Vr. Telson br. Felt Mr. Resen Nr. Bishop	FEB 17 1972 REC-30 101	SEE NOTE PAGE TWO 74060-3137
Mr. Miller, E.S	FBI	19 FEB 18 1972

F7FEB24087

Airtel to SAC, Baltimore, et al RE: MC LEK 65-74060

and other temporary residents of including

Boston is requested to immediately initiate
appropriate investigation to further identify
and
thereafter furnish results of same to Bureau together with
recommendation as to interview of This investigation should
be handled immediately to permit Bureau to analyze information
developed as a result of identification of subscribers to
telephones called on a long-distance basis from Ryan's telephone.



Baltimore is requested to immediately initiate investigation to further identify and thereafter furnish Bureau with results of same together with recommendation as to his interview. Results of investigation of should be furnished Bureau by teletype in summary form and thereafter incorporated in next investigative report submitted by office involved. Handle leads by teletype and instruct auxiliary offices to submit results of investigation in similar manner, to be followed by investigative report.

Investigation has failed to determine how the "Pentagon papers" were distributed to various U. S. newspapers after initial publication of these documents by "The New York Times," 6/13/71; publication of these documents by "The New York Times," 6/13/71; however, three suspects, including have been recently identified and investigation of their whereabouts and activities during pertinent period is under way. In any any and another suspect, are known to have resided on periodic basis at resident of appears connected with and his further identification, looking toward interview, is most desirable. Similar investigation concerning ho appears to have been roommate of in Bethesda, Maryland, is likewise desirable.

To:

SACs. Boston (65-5236) New Haven (65-2389) Norfolk (65-1236)

From:

Director, FBI (65-74060)

MC LEK 810-

ReBuairtel 2/17/72; report of dated 1/26/72 at Boston; and New Haven airtel 1/6/72, no copies to Norfolk.

For information of Norfolk and New Haven which did not receive copies of referenced Bureau or Boston communications, referenced Bureau airtel instructed Baltimore, Boston and WFO to initiate detailed investigation to determine whereabouts and activities during neriod Merch July, 1971, of all of whom appear to be

excellent suspects in the clandestine distribution of the "Pentagon papers" following initial publication on 6/13/71 by "The New York Times" of articles purportedly based on these highly classified documents.

FEB 1 8 1972

Referenced report contains results of interviews professor who mainta According partarime residence at resided at that address during approximate period April-November, 1971, and stayed with periodic basis at this address during this period.

On 1/4/72. identified three other individuals as having also resided a this address at one time or another during this period. ur. Felt individuals were all acquainted with Mr. Rosen were all classmates together Mr. Mohr Mr. Bishop Mr. Miller, E.S. REC-53 Mr. Callahan l - Baltimore (65-3896) I - Los Angeles (105-27952)

- WFO (65-11613)

ST-113

FEB 22 1972

Mr. Waikart Mr. Walters Mr. Sovers

Tela, Room Miss Holmes

Airtel to Boston RE: MC LEK 65-74060

from which advised that

were then located in and had no definite travel plans.

New Haven has determined that currently has no student named and has two students named. Referenced New Haven airtel furnished Boston with a photograph of one and of with request Boston exhibit these photographs to determine if these individuals resided at his address in 1971. currently is out of country and not expected to return until late February, 1972.

by that Daniel Ellsberg visited with ddress on at least one occasion during the time they were residing there.

Bufiles contain no information identifiable with

Latter probably lived at the
address at some time during pertinent period as Boston has
learned that who furnished that address, sent
a telegram on 10/9//1 to

Telegram appeared to be of
a congratulatory nature.

In view of Ellsberg's reported presence at the address on at least one occasion, it appears tay be acquainted with him.

These individuals definitely are acquainted with and may be able to provide significant information bearing on activities of the latter two individuals during the period March-July, 1971.

heir residence in Boston indicates possibility they may have been attending an institution of higher learning in that area at some time between April and November, 1971. This observation also applies to

Airtel to Boston RE: MC LEK 65-74060

Boston immediately institute investigation to further and to ascertain their present whereabouts and employment. In view of reported lead for WFO to contact Central Office of Immigration and Naturalization Service (INS) should be set out at once upon determination of appropriate background data.

New Haven immediately obtain available data from concerning

Since latter has already been identified WFO should contact Central Office of INS concerning him as soon as pertinent background data is received from New Haven.

Results of investigation requested above should be furnished Bureau, Los Angeles and other interested offices by teletype in summary form, and thereafter reported in full by LHM to be submitted by airtel. Handle leads by teletype and instruct auxiliary offices to submit and handle results of investigation by summary teletype followed by LHM.

Bureau desires to review and analyze information thus developed prior to considering interviews of above individuals.

NOTE:

"Pentagon papers" were distributed to various United States newspapers after initial publication of these documents by "The New York Times" on 6/13/71; however, three suspects, including have been recently identified and investigation of their whereabouts and activities during pertinent period is under way.

Tesided at Boston residence of during all or portions of this period and four other individuals who may be in position to furnish information concerning have also been identified as residents at the same address.

Investigation looking toward identification and interview of these individuals is most desirable and instructions concerning this are set forth above.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

In Reply, Please Refer to File No. Los Angeles, California February 3, 1972

"THE RAND CORPORATION"

On January 24, 1972, FM Radio Station KPFK, Pacificia Foundation, Los Angeles, California, presented a 40 minute program entitled, "The Rand Corporation". The show was written and produced by Steve Fedderman, who also conducted the interviews involved in the program.

Speakers in order of appearance are as follows:

Paul Fagan - Announcer

Darla Knerr - former Rand employee
Anthony J. Russo, Jr. - former Rand employee
Melvin Gurtov - former Rand employee
Paul Weeks - Communications Director,
Rand Corporation

Set forth below is a verbatim transcript of this program.

This document contains neither recommendations new conclusions of the FDI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

ENCIONE

65-74060-3139

"THE RAND CORPORATION"

and also bring new insights. My name is PAUL FAGAN. Rand began as an experiment. It was a pioneering attempt by governmental and scientific leaders at the close of World War II to create the conditions and institutional framework necessary for a sustained analytic interdisciplinary research designed to solve national problems as well as to advance knowledge. The primary concern was the future security during which the problems of preserving peace were almost certain to grow more complex than before and more costly in terms of human and material resources. The first step came in 1946 with the establishment of Project Rand, a wide ranging research activity funded by the United States Air Force, then the Army Air Forces under a contract with the Douglas Aircraft Company. Project Rand remained under the Douglas stewardship for about three years as a special program separated and largely insulated from other Douglas operations. During that time plans were completed to establish an institutional setting more appropriate to the unique character of the project. The result was the Rand Corporation, founded in 1948 as a wholly independent, non-profit corporation with it's own Board of Trustees. The Ford Foundation supplied initial operating capital for the institution and by the Fall of that

year the new corporation was ready to accept full responsibility for the Project Rand contract. Air Force Project Rand remains today the largest of Rand's research contracts. The conditions under which Project Rand began operations were, in large measure, responsible for it's future success. They've changed very little over the years. First, Rand's management has the freedom to identify problems it believes are revelant and fruitful to work on and to develor research programs cutting across scientific, economic, political, social and military dimensions of national security issues. Second, Rand has broad access to classified and proprietary information in both government and industry. Third, Rand's only product is research. It can not profit from the marketplace implications of it's recommendations. Fourth, research at Rand pursues problems wherever they may lead without concern for special interests or bureaucratic positions on policy and technical issues. And finally the results of Rand's studies are made widely available through publication. Taken together, these conditions persist as a major innovation in research worked out under government contract. From it's beginning Project Rand was concerned with the wide range of subjects related to -the future needs of the nation. There were studies of ram jets and rocket engines, boron and other high energy fuels, analog and digital computers, radar detection, atmospheric physics, metal fatigue, air defense, nuclear propulsion,

aircraft design, air traffic control and high energy radiation. These studies were back up by a broad program of basic research and mathematics, physics, electronics and other fields relevant to the development and use of future aircraft, missiles and satellites. One of the earliest Rand studies, published in 1946, dealt with the feasibility and the usefulness of an artificial earth satellite. It laid the foundation for a continuing research program that would eventually yield hundreds of reports on space exploration for use in government policy making and also in space flight development programs. The Tyrus weather satellite, for example, has ancestral roots in a Rand report published in 1951. It's two authors were honored a decade later by the American Meteorological Society for their pioneering work in the planning of meteorological satellites. Space became a national issue in the United States on October 1, 1957, with the flight of Sputnik I. Soon afterward Rand was asked by the United States Congress to help prepare the most authoritive assessment which was possible at the time to give to the American people on the status of space science and future course of the U.S. Space Program. Rand's reports to the Congress was widely distributed by the Superintendant of Documents and was later published in a book form as a space handbook. Early Rand research on missile propellents led to the discovery of a process for manufacturing hydrazine

that greatly decreased it's cost and made it a practical missile fuel. A Rand analysis led to the adoption of inflight refueling by the Air Force for it's long range missions. The first Rand report on the composition of the upper atmosphere down to ten thousand miles, a standard reference in the field for many years, was the basis for essential re-entry calculation for satellites and missiles. A Rand initiated research on new materials which contributed in a major way toward making titanium available in a production quantities for a military and commercial use. Because the physical problems of national security can not be understood apart from their causes and consequences, work was initiated early in the social sciences, particularly in economics and international relations. This was the beginning of broad Rand programs on strategic planning and intelligence, the psychology of warfare, the economics of defense planning, the scientific, economic and military potential with both the Soviet Union and Communist China and the process of social change and economic development in other regions of the world. The inclusion of experts in the social sciences on Rand's staff served to broaden it's interdisciplinary approach to research and resulted in studies which contributed to basic understanding of economics, foreign aid, arms control, organization theory and decision making, communications, game theory, conflict resolution, mental health, education and training, linguistics,

social welfare and many other facets of social behavior all within the broad definition of national security research. Increasingly in recent years, Rand has applied it's research methods and skills to problems directly affecting the lives of Americans at home. The work has ranged from analyses of such subjects as water pollution, urban transportation, weather modification, energy demand and evaluation of compensatory education. The biological studies of medical interest involving the co-operative efforts of engineers, physicians as in the application of fluid mechanics to problems of blood flow in the body and the studies of the fluid and heat transfer process in the human eye. Much of this work is a natural extension in both substance and method of Rand research carried out in other contexts. For example, knowledge obtained many years ago in the studies of environmental contamination caused by radioactive fallout from nuclear explosions has found application and research on air and water pollution from other sources. Early Rand work on mathematical models of chemical processes used to analyze the composition of rocket exhaust is directly relevant to the simulation by computer of the processes of human blood biochemistry, respiration -and metabolism work now being carried out by Rand in cooperation with a number of medical schools and hospitals and the results have passed Rand work on ways to use public

resources more efficiently in the management of large defense department organizations. The techniques of program budgeting for instance are now being widely used to improve the management of city, state and federal agencies charged with serving the other public needs. The current program can be summarized in terms of four broad areas of research. One major area concerns the planning, procurement, support and operations of military forces. It includes studies of strategic and tactical forces, systems acquisition, logistics management and manpower, personnel and training policies. A second area is international studies. Rand is working on political, economic and security situations in many regions in the world. Some of this activity is devoted to understanding the process of modernization in the less developed countries but much of it is focused on American security interests and commitments world wide. A third area deals with the problems of modern society at home, population, health care, education, transportation, communication, racial discrimination, poverty, housing, environmental pollution, public order and more generally the functions of government in providing public services. The fourth broad area underlies and contributes to all the others. It includes basic and applied research and science technology and methodology. Studies here seek fundamental advances in such fields as mathematics, computer sciences, economics, physics and bio sciences and they seek to apply new knowledge to specific problems in

these other fields. No single analytic technique is used in Rand's work. Rand has been a leader in the development and application of many techniques now widely used by analysts in government, industry and the scientific community. They include, for example, system simulation methods often involving the use of mathematical models, linear and dynamic programming, network theory, forecastic methods and it's decision theory, cost effectiveness analysis, sensitivity analysis and program budgeting. Methods are chosen according to the nature of the problem but there is a general approach to problem solving at Rand notable for it's attempt to see a problem whole and in it's proper context and to deal with it in a systematic way. Some important characteristics of the approach are that it looks critically at objectives, identifies and often designs alternative solutions and compares them on the basis of cost and effectiveness using quantitative models to make comparisons insofar as this can be done. It also treats uncertainty in an explicit way and tries to show how sensitive the results of the study are to changes in it's assumptions. The Rand staff brings together some 1,000 persons divided about equally between professional and support personnel. Rand also has some 650 consultants primarily in the universities. The names of the research departments headquartered in Santa Monica indicate broadly the kinds of professorial skills and interests at Rand. Economics, engineering sciences, information sciences,

management sciences, mathematics, physical sciences, resources, analysis, social science, the system sciences. Each department undertakes research and analysis in it's special field but major studies typically crossed departmental lines and called upon requisite professional abilities in the entire organization. Rand's work is supported by agencies of the federal government, by state and local governments, by it's own funds and on: contracts and by contracts and grants from private sources such as foundations, individuals and business or industrial organizations, but only on the condition that privately sponsored work is clearly in the public interest and that it's results can be made generally available. Rand's principle client is the Air Force. Other research sponsors, present and past, include such cabinet agencies as the office of the Secretary of Defense, the Department of State, the Department of Housing and Urban Development and the Department of Transportation. They include other federal agencies such as the Atomic Energy Commission, the National Aeronautics and Space Administration and the Agency for International Development, the Advanced Research Projects Agency, the National Science Foundation and the Office of Economic Opportunity, the Economic Development Administration, the Environmental Science Service Administration, the U.S. Patent Office and the National Institutes of Health, the National Library of Medicine and the National Institute of Law

Enforcement and Criminal Justice. They also include state and local jurisdiction such as the State of Arkansas, the State of Illinois, the Port of New York Authority, the City of New York, the California Committee on Regional Medical Programs and the San Jose Unified School District and they include private institutions such as the Ford Foundation, the Rockefeller Foundation, the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation, the Carnegie Commission on Future Higher Education, the Carnegie Corporation of New York, the Russell Sage Foundation, the John and Mary R. Markel Foundation, the Hospital of the Good Samaritans and the New York and American Stock Exchanges. In an unprecedented effort to bring wide ranging scientific analysis to bear on the problems of urban government, the City of New York invited Rand in 1968, January of 1968, to develop with the city a research program focused on some of New York's most urgent problems. The success of the co-operative project during it's first year led to the formation in April of 1969, of the New York City - Rand Institute, a permanent center for analysis of urban affairs administered and staffed by Rand and governed by the board of trustees, jointly selected by the city and Rand. From it's headquarters in New York the institute is carrying forward on problems of fire protection, housing, health care, criminal justice, environmental pollution, welfare and the economic development of the city and also the deployment of municipal services. Ultimately it will attempt to eliminate

relationships among the physical, economic and social forces at work in the city with the aim of helping to improve urban life in New York and in other metropolitan centers across the country. Rand research findings are documented in reports. professional journals and books. Over ten thousand publications have been produced with some five hundred new titles published each year. More than three quarters of these are available to the general public either from Rand directly or from the more than two hundred major libraries that maintain Rand depository collections or from government operated documentation centers. Rand publications are indexed in selective Rand extracts which are distributed quarterly to several thousand libraries and research centers around the world. Rand has been the subject of more than the usual publicity a corporation usually gets. A book entitled "The Rand Corporation" was written by Bruce L.R. Smith, was published in 1966. Five years earlier a song, "The Rand Hymn" was composed by Meldina Reynolds and recorded by Pete Seeger. (song) A Rand employee played, later wrote a rejoiner to that song.

"Oh the folk-singing crowd is a boon to the world, They sing all day for free. They sit and sing songs of injustice and wrong, For scapegoats they use you and me, honeybee, For scapegoats they use you and me.

T'would be nice if our life were only a song,
And it simply resolved as a chord,
But it's not, can't be missed, Russian bombs do exist,
It's the fact the folk singers ignored, dear Lord,
It's the fact the folk singers ignored."

Darla Kneer, a former employee at Rand talked last year about the Rand Corporation. She talked about classified clearances for employees.

DARLA: Everybody at Rand has a top secret clearance. You have to have to work there. When you're hired you're put in an area called pre-clearance and it's fantastic. You're up on the fifth floor overlooking the ocean and you just get to the point where you sorta hope that there's, the clearance will be a little longer in getting there so you can sit and enjoy the magnificent view and you do very little work. You do nothing that requires any confidential or, or secret material. When you get your secret you are allowed into Rand building. You can, you can work there in whatever department you're in and sometime along the way you get your top secret. This is usually all manner of light work and paper work and so forth. It took me two months to get my secret and I think it was another, oh I think it was probably a total of six months before I got my top secret. Once you get your top secret clearance you have access to anything as long as you have the need to know. The need to know depends on your project number. When you sign out for something you put down the project number for it. If you're working on a project that requires need to know then, then you have access to that. Most of the, the, probably 90% of the material that I had that was top secret I did not take back to my office. I just went

down to the control room and used it down there without bothering to check it out and you know locking it up in the safe every night and going through all that 'cause it was a hassle. If you lost something, you know, then there was a big hassle on where it was and all of that. It would not have been difficult at all to take anything out of there if you worked there. If you had a briefcase and you wanted to put anything in your briefcase and take it out with you when you went, you just took it out. The only problem would be when you left, you better have it back there. Any particular secret, top secret there, this is very loosly confidential controlled. Probably anybody could take anything out of there that was confidential and, you know, God knows, burn it in the fireplace or whatever.

STEVE FEDDERMAN: Um hum.

DARLA: Secret and top secret had, had controls. They checked every six months to make sure that you had what you were supposed to have and nothing else.

STEVE: What type of place did you find the Rand Corporation to be?

DARLA: It was a delightful place to work. The atmosphere was on the whole relaxed. People worked at all hours. There was no hassle if you got there late or stayed late or left early unless you were a secretary or somebody who had to answer phones, you know. Then they, then you were supposed to be there to answer

the phones. I had one complaint. I wanted to do some work
late at night time, got down there and found out that if you're
a woman you can't work after, I think it's ll o'clock or something like that, without a man in your office, which I thought
was pretty ridiculous 'cause they've got guards at every door,
you know. Nobody's gonna come in and beat you over the head.
But the people were all bright and interesting. The work was
for the most part interesting. There were some dull aspects
but for the most part it was interesting. The dress was pretty
casual particularly in the summertime about when the students
were there. Summer was very casual.

PAUL: Another view of the Rand Corporation was presented by Anthony J. Russo, Jr., currently indicted on charges in connection with the releasing of the Pentagon papers.

ANTHONY: It's a very sterile place. It's a place that is with, the ethic there is very much constrained by principles of sterile organizational logic, you understand? I mean you sorta get into your little slot man, and you stay there and there are formal seminars once in a while where people walk in very stiffly and debate very esoteric points and they say that's academic freedom (unintelligible) rap, rap, rap, rap. You can rap as much as you want to about the delphi method or a systems analysis or optimising things or you can talk about social cost. That's a euphemism for people dying, starving, becoming poisoned,

So the jargon you see is very wierd. The jargon social cost. blows your mind. There's as many, many, many things about the Rand Corporation that are straight out of Doctor Strangelove. Really, I mean I'm not kidding and one could go on and on and on about the various projects, about the various people, about the relationships with the government, about the principles of organizations like that and what they mean in there, in the politics of our society and what they mean to us, you know, because they really are very secret. They have, they have manipulative public relations men who say nothing, who say just 'no comment' you know, we're not part of the government, we're proud of it and we don't have to say anything to anybody. (laugh) It's private, it's super private enterprise I would say. Private enterprise right up there next to the government in the form of a non-profit organization.

PAUL: Russo also talked about his leaving at Rand.

ANTHONY: They fired me for, for political reasons really but they said it was because there was a budget cut-back. At the time I was the sole victim at a place that hired twenty thousand people, of the budget cut-back, you see. It was for political reasons that my boss fired me and that's a long, very long, very detailed story and when, and when I get that story together, because these bureaucratic things are very complicated. When I get that story together I'll, I'll have a pretty good sized

book simply on that one story alone.

PAUL: Melvin Gurtov is another former employee at Rand and helped work on the Pentagon papers. He told of what happened to him after he left Rand.

I left Rand, as it turns out, about two weeks after the first word broke that such a thing as the Pentagon papers existed and it was fortuitous in the sense that it, if I had been with Rand and the story had broken it would have been very difficult for me to speak out about my role in the Pentagon papers or more importantly about what I felt to be the continuing saga of the Pentagon papers in the Nixon administration's policies in Indo-China. But as it turns out I, I did leave on June 30 and that gave me every right to speak out. thing that might be of interest to you is something that I haven't mentioned until something, an event, happened just the other day, is that since leaving Rand and since giving support to Dan Ellsberg and since speaking out about the Pentagon papers, my feelings about it, my role in their, in their development, Rand has gone to some lengths to try to make me a non-person within it's organization. The event that I have in mind which is only the culmination of a series of others is that just yesterday in fact, which is to say September 20, I was told by the people who were going to publish my latest book that Rand, which owns the copyrite to it, has, wants to disassociate

the copyright although it does want to have the royalties and it wants the publisher to call back all copies of the book that have been printed and bound so that the name Rand Corporation can be taken off the jacket, taken off the title page, taken off the back of the book and so on and this is a, a rather stupid and petty sort of thing but it's only, as I say, the latest of a series of other moves that Rand has made in their great dismay over my speaking out. Why in the world they thought that once having left Rand I would do otherwise is beyond me but of course Rand's management is running scared at the moment. They don't want to do anything that might conceivably offend their Defense Department clients and so they do such silly things as this.

STEVE: You said this is one of a series of other things for the (unintelligible).

MELVIN: Yes. One other thing is that I'm no longer allowed on the Rand premises. This, after five years of faithful service. I'm not allowed to see any of my, of my good friends within the organization, within Rand. I can only see them outside. Secondly there were two studies that I had completed at Rand and which normally would have gone through the publication process, as it happens that both of them are classified but I think both of them, both these studies would have been of interest to a number of people working on China and Indo-China within the government

community. The Rand and in co-operation with it's clients in the Defense Department have managed to, in effect, to suppress these two papers. Not because necessarily they're in conflict with American policy but simply because they have my name on them and I gather there's this rather insane, irrational belief that somehow since I'm an ex-Rand type my name should no longer appear on, on Rand documents. So these are a few of the ways in which Rand is trying it's best to, to remove me from their roster.

STEVE: And they've taken your gold plaque off the ...

(BOTH AT ONCE)

MELVIN: I'm happy to say there's no such thing. But if there were one I wouldn't be surprised.

STEVE: You said that you can't see your friends who work inside the Rand Corporation. I'm sure that you've talked to them however. What has been a re.. what, you were there when the Pentagon papers were released. What was their reaction inside Rand when that took place?

MELVIN: In the two weeks before I left the people were still, to the extent that they were interested, were still I think grappling around for the full meaning of, of what all this was. I, I suppose I too was trying to, to figure out what, what the

The same of the sa

full significance in the papers was. So it's really very hard to generalize about such a large organization as Rand.

Typically those people who were and are friendly with Dan Ellsberg, and who were and are doves on the war, were sympathetic although I think probably 99.5% of everyone at Rand, it's fair to say, would, would not even conceive of doing what he did. But as far as publication of the Pentagon papers and viewing that as a step toward putting pressure on the administration to end the war, I think that the doves would support what he did and the hawks would denounce him.

PAUL: Gurtov also related an incident which he, Daniel Ellsberg and four other Rand employees took part.

MELVIN: The end of 1969, six of us at Rand, including Dan, got together and wrote this letter to the "New York Times" and the "Washington Post", critical of American policy. We had, in the Fall of '69, we had gotten together, these six of us and we talked about different ways in which we might voice our dissent from American policy and a number of things we discussed such as conference or a series of papers or what have you and then, I don't remember who's idea it was but the idea did come up of a letter to the "New York Times" and we all went along with this as a silent suggestion although we knew that there might very well be strong objections from Rand management because after all this was somewhat unprecedented for a dissenting which sent

these voices to be heard in the form of a letter to the editor.

Neverless we went ahead with it and we hammered out, over a period of about a week, the letter that we sent. It's to Rand management's everlasting credit I must say that there was really no major objection to our sending the letter ahead. Of course there were people who were somewhat uneasy about it but we really had a very little difficulty in sending the letter on and we did it. I think the letter today reads still very well even after two years and but after that we did not do anything further. Of course it was not too much later that Dan left Rand and we just, there was no further effort made to, to voice our feelings.

STEVE: Can you remember any part of that letter that particularly sticks with you today?

MELVIN: The, well the basic point of the letter was that the, the costs of our involvement were running so high and would continue to run very high that it was simply absurd or so we thought to continue this effort. No matter how one measures the costs, you know, there are costs here at home and there are costs abroad and we simply offered the opinion as policy analysists. That the time to get out was rapidly, at the, when we wrote the letter we said within one year to withdraw completely and without basing our withdrawal on any pre-conditions, which is not to say we didn't, weren't interested in negotiations but that we on the

other hand we didn't feel that negotiations were, should be critical to our withdrawal and we did not hedge as far as possible repercussions in Southeast Asia of our withdrawal. We indicated that surely there would be adverse consequences as well as good consequences flowing from our withdrawal but that in any event that these consequences would be a lot higher two years, three years, five years from that point than if we withdrew then and I think it's certainly coming to pass.

You said there were a few people that, you made it STEVE: sound like at least, that signed the letter. There was yourself, Dan Ellsberg...

MELVIN: Right.

Who else. Were you the only two? STEVE:

There were four others. In the economics department No. Oleg Hoeffding and the others were in social science, Arnold Horelick, Konrad Kellen, and Paul Langer.

Following the release of the Pentagon papers that particular letter was brought into the public spotlight. One Rand employee told Newsweek Magazine that Rand's president, Harry S. Rowen, should have alerted the security people when Ellsberg and the five other Rand workers signed the letter. On the subject of returning classified documents Gurtov had this to say.

MELVIN: Every member of Rand is required to sign a statement that when he leaves the organization he will turn over all classified materials, which is what I did. When it comes to higher officials I don't know whether they actually do sign such a statement but, and of course that's something that Ellsberg's lawyers will want to look into. But even if they don't I'm sure there is some kind of, of understanding that they have no special right to take any classified materials with them when they leave.

PAUL: Long before the Pentagon papers were released Rand was again in the spotlight. There was a report that a study was going on at Rand checking out the possibilities of postponing the 1972 Presidential Election. The story spread nationwide. Paul Weeks, a communications director at Rand, talked about that situation.

WEEKS: Well I recall that very well Steve. The trouble with talking about a rumor like that, it only seems to spread it. The rumor to the effect that Rand was studying for the White House some (unintelligible) cancelling our election of course is absurd (unintelligible) we certainly were not doing such a study, had no intention of doing such a study, never would do it. We issued a statement to that effect by our President, Harry Rowen and any time that anybody asks us we would provide with that response but the problem with, with a rumor is people want to

believe it. It was spread regardless of what you say.

PAUL: We hope that the past 40 minutes have brought some new insights on the Rand Corporation, that "Place" in Santa Monica. This program was written and produced by Steve Fedderman.

1	The HM LINES I	FBI T	
1		Date: 2/9/72 ESM/R.L.	
mit	the following in	(Type in plaintext or code)	
	AIRTEL	AIR MAIL - REGISTERED	
		(Priority)	_i
	TO:	DIRECTOR, FBI (65-74060) ATTN: DID	Secretary of
	FROM: SUBJECT:	MC LEK SIO REC-58 COPY OF SIO	
		ReLAtel 1/25/72.	A TANK BANG BANG
	captioned each are	Enclosed for the Bureau are five copies of "The Rand Corporation" and dated 2/3/72. Senclosed for Boston and WFO.	f an LHM Iwo copies
	on 1/24/7 in the tar	Enclosed LHM is a nearly verbatim transcringram presented on FM radio station KPFK, Los 2. ANTHONY RUSSO and Dr. MELVIN CURTOV parping of this program. Although of little evidentiary value, transa good picture of the Rand Corporation.	s Angeles ticipated
	kontra Pilonogo na Aking	One copy of this LHM will be furnished loa	cally to
I			
			- 7
	2- Bureau 2- Boston 2- Washing 1- Los Ang	(Encls5)(FM) REC-58 (65-5236)(Encls2)(FM) 6 5-74060 gton Field (65-11613)(Encls2)(FM)	-3139
	RFK:fet (7) :	2-14-71 3 FEB 12	
	Fi	OR INFO BY SPEC. MESS	
;	58FEB2		art
-	roved:	SentM Per	

FD-36 (Rev. #22-64)

LA 105-27952

Rand as

also interviewed, is still employed by

Regarding RUSSO's allegation that his termination at Rand was "political" and that he was the only one laid off in a budget cut-back, RICHARD BEST, Director of Security, Rand Corporation, has advised that RUSSO was one of many laid off at that time. In fact, when RUSSO was terminated as a regular employee, he was retained as a consultant until September 1969, at which time all consultant contracts are either renewed or dropped. As there was no work in RUSSO's field at that time he was dropped as a consultant. RUSSO's termination papers reflect he was eligible for rehire if work came up.

N. C. Y.

S. W.S.

M

	ng in	(Type in plaintext or code)	
A TRTEL	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
R. Springer Co.		(Priority)	Y D DX
			77N-\ } <i>}</i> */-/
TO: /	pirector, fbi (65-74060)	1 1 May
	2/1 -	500C) A	ON NATU
FROM:	SAG BOSTON (65	-5236)	D.A.
CUP TECT	MC LEK SIO	and the second	V
SUBJECT	FIG LER 310		\
Re	Boston teletype to	the Bureau dat	ed 2/11/72.
End	losed herewith for	the Bureau are	2 copies and for
Los Ange	les 2 copies of a	decision of the	U.S. Court of
	(USCA) for the Fir 2-1021 entitled:	st Circuit date	d 2/11//2 10 Case
Homber /	T. Marky Committee .	e execute o	LANCE OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY.
	UNITED ST	ATES OF AMERICA	
		v	
	JOHN D		
		ER OF GRAND JUR	
		ERG FOR PROTECT	
		ORDER.	1
The	se copies are bein	g furnished for	information.
			and the second second
* 1	- RCHED"		
	OSURE ATTA		entry the annual control of
^	USURF	The same and the	
2 Burea	u (Encs. 2) (RM) angeles (Encs. 2)		
2 Los A	ngeles (Encs. 2)	(105-27952) (94060-314
REB:mm	(I)	REC-58 65-	1900
(5)		JEL-30	1 FEB 1/6 1972
	Con alayon		1 FEB 1/8 1972
797.4.41	100 Color	EX-1:7	The same of the sa
	1 DUCK		1/4211
1466	1		SPEC. INV. PRO
The later of the l		The both of the state of the st	SPEC. 1600

United States Court of Appeals For the First Circuit

No. 72-1021.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

JOHN DOE, IN THE MATTER OF GRAND JURY PROCEEDING: APPLICATION OF DANIEL ELLSBERG FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER.

ON MOTION FOR STAY PENDING APPEAL

Before McENTEE and Coffin, Circuit Judges.

Charles R. Nesson for appellant upon application for stey.

Bichard J. Barry, Assistant United States Attorney, with whom Joseph L. Tauro, United States Attorney, David R. Nissen, and Warren P. Keese, Assistant United States Attorneys, were on motion to dismiss and memorandum in opposition to stay, for appellee.

February 11, 1972

Coffin, Circuit Judge. Daniel Ellsberg, a defendant in a pending criminal prosecution initiated by an indictment in the Central District of California, seeks a protective order barring a grand jury sitting in the District of Massachusetts (Boston) from gathering evidence which would be relevant to his trial in California. The district court denied the motion, basing its decision on the prosecution's representation "that its purpose in calling witnesses [in Boston] is not to obtain testimony or other evidence tending to prove the charge or charges [in California] but to determine

See Memorandum of Aldrich, Chief Judge, at the end of the opinion.

whether any offense or offenses against the United States may have been committed within the District of Massachusetts." Movant now asks for a stay of the denial pending appeal.

The California indictment charges Ellsberg with unlawful possession of classified government documents (18 U.S.C. § 793(e)) and with unlawful conversion of such documents to his own use (18 U.S.C. § 641). In addition, Ellsberg, Anthony Russo and "others unknown" are charged with entering into a conspiracy with two other named but unindicted co-conspirators "to defraud the United States... [by] defeating its lawful governmental function of controlling the dissemination of classified Government studies", more specifically, by receiving the Pentagon Papers, copying them, and communicating them to unauthorized persons. Trial is scheduled to commence in from six to ten weeks.

The protective order which movant seeks would bar the prosecution from asking any witness subpoenced to testify before the Boston grand jury questions bearing on the charge that he, Ellsberg, had conspired to communicate the Pentagon Papers to unauthorized persons, as broadly charged in the California indictment. The request is based on movant's assertion that use of the powerful and essentially unreviewable grand jury process in Boston to aid preparation of the government's case in California would subvert the protections accorded a defendant by the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure and would consequently jeopardize his right to a fair trial on the pending charges. Although movant's argument, so put, contains due process elements, he appeals principally for an exercise of this court's general supervisory powers.

Although the attorneys for the government—the same three being responsible for both the presentation before the Boston grand jury and the California trial jury—have stated that the principal purpose of the Boston proceedings is to ascertain whether offenses against the United States, other than those already charged in the California indictment, have been committed and have expressly disavowed any intent to utilize the Boston grand jury "to extract testimony for use . . . in Los Angeles", they candidly admit that the investigation here may turn up some evidence relevant to the California proceedings. As long as such evidence remains a necessary-though usable-byproduct of the Boston investigation and is not allowed to disturb the focus of that investigation, however, the government contends that such evidence is properly usable in the California trial. It also believes that the Boston grand jury is not foreclosed from inquiring into events in Boston which "suggest other aspects" of the conspiracy alleged in the California indictment or "separate conspiracies involving Daniel Ellsberg as a central figure".

As to the present appeal, the government challenges on jurisdictional grounds our power to issue a stay, arguing that the district court's denial of the motion for a protective order was not a final determination of movant's rights under 28 U.S.C. § 1291, citing Cobbledick v. United States, 309 U.S. 323 (1940); DiBella v. United States, 369 U.S. 121 (1962); and In re Grand Jury Investigation of Violations (General Motors), 318 F.2d 533 (2d Cir.), cert. dismissed, 375 U.S. 802 (1963). In the usual case in which the grand jury investigation and any subsequent trial are likely to be confined to a single jurisdiction, we would be inclined to agree with the government's contention. Our problem here is especially vexing, however, because we are not so sure that any other forum exists for a final testing or full review of movant's claim. Nor, the government admits, is it, suggesting only that all would not be lost were we to decline jurisdiction now since the Massachusetts District Court could, if necessary, exercise its continuing supervisory power and would retain its power to discipline the individual government attorneys in the event a breach of trust were later brought to our attention.

In several significant respects, the present case differs from those cited to us by the government. Cobbledick dealt with a grand jury witness who could assure himself of a final decision by provoking a contempt citation. DiBella concerned a pre-indictment movant for suppression of evidence who could have his day in court by moving to suppress at his own criminal trial. In re Grand Jury Investigation of Violations involved a factual situation much closer to the present case. But although the Second Circuit refused to disturb the district court ruling being appealed there it had considerable difficulty pointing out where the party seeking to quash grand jury subpoenas allegedly being used to produce evidence for a criminal prosecution against it. General Motors, could get real protection in the event it would ultimately prove an abuse of the grand jury process. 318 F.2d at 538.1

The nature of movant's claim is a large and compendious one. The rule, as accurately stated by Professor Moore, does not differ markedly from the general position staked out by the government: "It is improper to use the grand jury for the purpose of preparing an already pending indictment for trial. But the courts have generally held that where another purpose is predominant, the fact that the government may derive incidental benefit from a grand jury proceeding does not preclude its use." The classic case, In re National Window Glass Workers, 287 Fed. 219 (N.D. Ohio 1922), dealt with a grand jury subpoenaing the same

¹ We note also that the construction of DiBella as disapproving Perlman v. United States, 247 U.S. 7 (1918), loses force in the light of United States v. Ryan, 402 U.S. 530 (1971), which indicates that Perlman is alive and as well as can be expected.

witnesses and documents as had a prior grand jury which issued an indictment, where the court's compelled conclusion was that the "dominating, if not the only, object" was to prepare for trial of the earlier indictment. Id. at 227. In another case, the Supreme Court refused to interfere with government use of a grand jury transcript in the preparation of a civil suit, even though no indictment was returned, in the absence of a finding that the grand jury had been used as a short cut or that criminal procedure had been subverted. United States v. Proctor & Gamble Co., 356 U.S. 677, 683 (1958). In United States v. Dardi, 330 F.2d 316, 336 (2d Cir.), cert. denied, 379 U.S. 845 (1964), the test was thus stated: "It is improper to utilize a Grand Jury for the sole or dominating purpose of preparing an already pending indictment for trial."

Movant's claim of taint, therefore, would be the expansive one that the Boston grand jury, as a whole, had, as its sole or dominating purpose, preparation for trial of the California criminal prosecution. This claim could be tested only by a comprehensive viewing of the entire Boston grand jury minutes. But Rule 6(e) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure gives movant no right to disclosure, except upon a showing of grounds for dismissal of the indictment. Moreover, the 1970 amendments to the Jencks Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3500, make clear that a defendant has no right to compel pre-trial disclosure of grand jury minutes. 1970 U.S. Code Cong. and Ad. News, at 4017. And, we cannot envisage the California District Court, even in camera, reviewing months of testimony. Accordingly, either on the principle of Cohen v. Beneficial Ind. Loan Corp., 337 U.S. 541 (1949), or Gillespie v. U. S. Steel Corp., 379 U.S. 148, 152 (1964). we are inclined to feel that the denial of the motion for a protective order under the circumstances had such finality as to confer

appellate jurisdiction.2

But the same problem of immensity of claim that inclines us to take appellate jurisdiction also poses the most serious problem in structuring an intervention in such a way as to respect the independent role of the grand jury while at the same time giving absolute protection to movant. We cannot, for example, subscribe to the protective order requested and attempt to bar the grand jury from inquiring of a witness whether movant communicated the Pentagon Papers to an unauthorized person. In the first place, such a transaction could be a separate crime committed in Massachusetts, implicating additional prospective defendants, as well as being germane to the California trial. In the second place, we give credence to the government attorneys when they say that they do not know in advance what testimony will be forthcoming from a witness. And, finally, we recognize the futility both of barring questions which might occur to the jurors themselves and of policing the questions which could be put. This case presents neither the threat to a witness's constitutional rights nor the feasibility of remedy present in In re September 1971 Grand Jury Richard J. Mara. -F.2d __ (7th Cir. Dec. 1, 1971), where the court required an affidavit in open court demonstrating the reasonableness of compelling handwriting examplars. Nor can we devise any feasible system for the court's exercise of its supervisory powers, such as a review of the questions asked. Such a system, to provide complete assurance to movant, would involve exposing the entire grand jury transcript to movant on the basis of generalized suspicion. Cf. United States v. Proctor & Gamble Co., supra. We are not willing, under the

² Although both parties have briefly argued the issue of the standing of movant to seek a protective order, we have, in the absence of substantial authority on point, subsumed this issue under that of appealability. It seems to us that if movant faces possible action to his prejudice in Massachusetts, and has no sure opportunity of full review elsewhere, he must have standing to raise the issue here.

authority of our supervisory powers, to direct either kind of intervention in grand jury procedures on the facts of the present case.

We recognize, in short, that grand jury proceedings cannot be policed in any detail. It is a price we pay for grand jury independence that sometimes people are indicted on the basis of evidence tainted in part by hearsay, Costello v. United States, 350 U.S. 359 (1956), or of illegally obtained evidence, Lawn v. United States, 355 U.S. 339 (1958). Nor is a grand jury narrowly confined in its objectives, Hale v. Henkel, 201 U.S. 43 (1906), Blair v. United States, 250 U.S. 273 (1919). And, even when a grand jury produces evidence but does not return an indictment, that is insufficient to embargo its fruits. United States v. Proctor & Gamble, supra.

On the other hand, we are sensitive to the possibilities of abuse of the grand jury process which are inherent in the present situation. As Moore has observed. "The government has at its disposal one of the most effective discovery mechanisms yet devised—the grand jury. This body may call witnesses under compulsory process and examine them in secret under oath, unhampered by the rules of evidence or an adversary counsel's cross-examination, or in the case of a 'prospective defendant' by Fifth Amendment immunity. [citations omitted]." 8 Moore's Federal Practice ¶ 16.08 [1], at 16-100 (2d ed. 1970).

The problem is akin to that of using the wide-ranging discovery procedure available under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure to gather evidence for a criminal prosecution. This practice has been the subject of critical comment, see, e.g., Note, Stay of Discovery in Civil Court to Protect Proceedings in Concurrent Criminal Action—The Pattern of Remedies, 66 Mich. L. Rev. 738 (1968), but is not easily forbiden. United States v. Kordel, 397 U.S. 1 (1969). The Court in Kordel, however, specifically noted that the issue

there faced was not one in which the government brought a civil action "solely to obtain evidence for the criminal prosecution", id. at 11-12. Here we confront not only a broadly-phrased indictment in California, and a grand jury inquiry on the home ground of the movant, but an identity of prosecutors in the two proceedings. The difficulties they face in not confusing their roles, with the consequent potential for distortion of the role of the grand jury, cannot be dismissed as unreal.

We conclude that there is a modest though necessarily incomplete, protection which we can give movant, consistent with our respect for the integrity of the Boston grand jury. While, in the absence of a compelling showing of the probability of abuse, a total review, either here or there, of that jury's proceedings before movant's trial in California is not warranted, United States v. Proctor & Gamble, supra at 683, the record will be available here in the event that disciplinary action against the prosecutors should ever be called for. More important from the movent's point of view is the possibility of the California trial court's own scrutiny, pre-trial, of the testimony of such witnesses before the Boston grand jury as are expected to be called as witnesses at the California trial.

It is of course clear that the recent amendments to the Jencks Act, the restrictions surrounding the disclosure of grand jury proceedings in Rule 6(e), F.R. Crim.P., and even the proposed amendment to Rule 16(a)(3)³ give a

^{3&}quot;Except as provided in rule 6 and subdivision (a) (1) (i) of this rule, these rules do not relate to discovery or inspection of recorded proceedings of a grand jury." Preliminary Draft of Proposed Amendments to Rules of Criminal Procedure for the United States District Courts, Committee on Rules of Practice and Procedure of the Judicial Conference of the United States, January, 1970, 48 F.R.D. 547, 590 (1970). A contrary recommendation is contained in ABA Standards, Discovery and Procedure Before Trial § 2.1(a).(iii) (Approved Draft, 1970): "[The prosecuting attorney shall disclose to defense counsel]: Those portions of grand jury minutes containing testimony of the accused and relevant testimony of persons whom the prosecuting attorney intends to call as witnesses at the hearing or trial;". See discussion at 64-66.

defendant no right in the ordinary course to grand jury minutes. But Rule 6(e) itself authorizes a court "preliminarily to or in connection with a judicial proceeding" to direct disclosure. The Supreme Court in Dennis v. United States, 384 U.S. 855, 870 (1966), referring to this power, quoted the statement in United States v. Socony-Vacuum Oil Co., 310 U.S. 150, 234 (1940), "after the grand jury's functions are ended, disclosure is wholly proper where the ends of justice require it." See also Gibson v. United States, 403 F.2d 166, 167 (D.C. Cir. 1968), and cases cited therein.

Were transcripts of the Boston grand jury testimony available to the California trial court, it would be possible for such pre-trial scrutiny to be made, in camera. Cf. Rule 16(e), F.R. Crim.P.; 18 U.S.C. § 3500(c); ABA Standards, Discovery and Procedure Before Trial § 4.6, at 104-06 (Approved Draft 1970); Taylianetti v. United States, 394 U.S. 316 (1969) (per curism). In the unlikely event that scrutiny c." a number of witnesses' transcripts led the cour! to suspect that the Boston grand jury might in fact have been used dominantly to prepare for the California trial, it could conduct a more comprehensive review. The court might at some point conclude that the ends of justice would be served by such full disclosure. Short of this, the court could proscribe the calling of a witness whose testimony in Boston appeared to have been elicited chiefly for its contribution to the trial in California. This partial proscription would have been the result in United States v. Dardi, 330 F.2d 316 (2d Cir.), cert. denied, 379 U.S. 845 (1964), had the trial court concluded that a witness had been called before a grand jury largely to gather evidence for an ongoing trial. To be sure, such an inquiry may not uncover fruits stemming from Boston disclosures, but, as the Second Circuit observed in In re Grand Jury Investigation of Violations, supra at 537, a motion for suppression of evidence may seek to encompass

such fruits, "which is often quite as difficult of ascertainment as the benefits of the Government's allegedly improper discovery would be here."

In saying what we have said, we most particularly do not wish to be understood as asserting any competence to advise another federal court about the conduct of its business. All we seek is to assure the availability of testimony to the California court if it should see fit to make use of it. We do have and therefore exercise our power here to require the prosecutors who are presenting matters to the Boston grand jury to make available to the District Court of Central California the transcripts of testimony of each witness, if any, whom the government intends to call at trial in California, as seasonably as feasible. This will allow that court the opportunity, if it wishes to avail itself of it, to survey the proposed testimony to judge whether, at least as to the putative witnesses, the Boston grand jury was decoyed into serving primarily as a discovery device for the government's trial preparation.

Subject to this requirement, we deny the motion for stay.

ALDRICH, Chief Judge. In accordance with customary practice this motion to stay was originally heard orally before a single judge, in this instance myself. Thereafter movant sought a hearing before the full court. Because I was about to absent myself from the Circuit this request was assented to and I did not participate. Accordingly, I do not participate in the opinion. I wish, however, to note my concurrence in the result, and my disturbance with the suggestion, inherent in movant's position, that additional defendants could not be sought in the Massachusetts district

unless the case against him had been completed. I do not blame defendants for seeking whatever may be available to them, but judicial proceedings of late seem to be concerned with refusals to testify and claims that others should not testify or, it would seem, not be indicted, on the one hand, and, on the other, that indictments should be promptly brought and speedily disposed of. If I may mix metaphors, defendants seek to break up the play before it has started, and then claim the government was offside.

	FB1	
	Date: 2/18/72	et a son
Tra	ansmit the following in(Type in plaintext or code)	-
: 4	ATRIPET.	
Via	(Priority)	
A		1
1	TO : DIRECTOR, FBI (65-74060) (Attn: DOMINTEL)	and a
	(65 5006)	
		4
. ±° '	SUBJECT: MC LEK SIO	
. 1		
(b.)	Re Bureau airtel, 2/17/72.	
	A review of indices of the Boston Office con-	-40
	tains no information identifiable with	
4 i		
2.11		1
:		
	∠X-11 7 ;	
	1 DID 60 - 2141	
ِ شهر ژ	REC-58 6 5-74060-3141	
	1000	
4.7	(2) - Bureau (RM) 1 - Los Angeles (105-27952) (RM) 1 - Boston	
3	REB:mej	~
		A
- 4	And the state of t	1
4		17:

Approved: JJ J Pent in Charge

THE GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE: 1971-413-131

LA 105-27952

PAGE TWO

CONTAINS LATENT FINGERPRINTS OF VU VAN THAI AND STATE DEPARTMENT CLAIMS THE VOLUMES CONTAIN SENSITIVE INFORMATION WHICH SHOULD NOT BE MADE PUBLIC. NISSEN ADVISED HE INTENDS TO CONFER WITH STATE DEPARTMENT OFFICIALS IN NEAR FUTURE TO RESOLVE THIS ISSUE.

CASE RECEIVING CONTINUOUS AND PREFERRED ATTENTION.

END

MXW

FBI WASH DC CLR

I LULIVAL DUNEAU OF TOWEST AHUN COMMUNICATIONS SE' FION

FEB 1 7 1972

NR 808 LA CODE

6:48 PM NITEL 2-17-72 NEE

TO DIRECTOR (65-74060)

DID ATTN


WASHINGTON FIELD (65-11613)

FROM LOS ANGELES (105-27952)

28

MC LEK SIO .

Mr. Bishop Mr. Miller, E Mr. Callahan Mr. Casper. Mr. Conrad. Mr. Dalbey. Mr. Cleveland Mr. Walkart Mr. Wahers Mr. Soyars. Tele. Room. Miss Holmes Miss Gandy.



ADMINI STRATI VE ---

ASSISTANT U. S. ATTORNEY DAVID NISSEN ADVISED FEBRUARY SIXTEEN LAST THE JUSTICE AND STATE DEPARTMENTS ARE IN DISAGREEMENT OVER INTRODUCING FOUR VOLUMES OF THE MC NAMARA STUDY INTO EVIDENCE AT ELLSBERG'S TRIAL. ONE VOLUME IN QUESTION

END PAGE ONE


co to ISD data deleted

55FEB241972 150

Date: 1/19/72

ins m	it the following in	(Type in plaintext or code)	
., .	•	(1 ype in plantext or code)	The facility
1	AIRTEL		
	GI COLONIA DE LA	(Priority)	
	TO: DIRECTOR, FE	BI (65-74060) (ATTN: IDEN	FIFICATION
	DIVISION, I	ATENT FINGERPRINT SECTION	
21			
7 K	FROM: SAC, WFO (65	5-11613)	
' '>	10	•	
- (NC LEK		
`	SIO	A STATE OF THE STA	
		Divide	nion is the follow
	Enclosed for	r the Identification Divis	ie date
	ing described document	t obtained from DOD on the	IB date.
		ance tot Wilitamy Dress	ures Against
	TS-3275-DL-3	17268-ISA "Military Press	r Jan - June.
		Dart and Rolling Thunder 6/13/68, 217 pages.	This document
	1965" (U)	6/13/66, 217 pages.	This Goodman
	has been designated as	s LA exhibit #20.	
7	·	escribed document was for	merly maintained
0		Santa Monica, Cal. and wa	s returned to
ત	at Rand Corporation,	Santa Monica, Car. and wa	
(C)	DOD in September, 197	↓ •	
`,	The Intent	Fingerprint Section is re	quested to process
5	The Latent	for latent fingerprints	and compare them
_	the enclosed document	DANIEL ELLSBERG, LINDA SI	NAY, ANTHONY
1		OBERT ELLSBERG,	
_	RUSSO.	ose fingerprints are in I	ile from the
	and others with	ta Monica, Cal. 74060	0111
	are who to amo	the are necessary and the	re is no objectio
	Mo photogra	ay remain on the document	due to processin
٠.	to any stains which m	ay remain on the	<u> </u>
	3= Bureau (Enc. 1)		
٠.	1- Boston (65-5236)	9 FEB 15	1972
	2= Los Angeles (105-2	27952) 9 FLD 10	
	1- WFO	-117	
	- H-		FFICE (DS
	RBC:th	i Grand	7.00
-	(7)	7" I CODEC. "	1-that
2.7 2.1	10000	10 32 E 11/	South the Late of the state of
3	· 7		
· 4	AND THE PARTY OF T		
Ç.	ansol-31-		Property with the
٠,. ٠	Also Sale	C	Por
	pproved:		

Special Agent in Charge



Washington, D. C. 20537

REPORT

IDENTIFIC ATION DIVISION

LATENT FINGERPRINT SECTION

45-11613

January 31. 1972

Airtol 1-19-72

EXAMINATION REQUESTED BY: SPECIMENS:

One volume. IA #20. TO 3275-0217260-ISA

Prorteen Latent fingerprints and three Lebent pelm prints developed on specimen.

See actocked parter for the results of the converience of thirteen of the fingerwints and the time pale prints.

MAILED Bloom titled as a filter lextression of JAN3 1 1972

Felt Gale Rosen Walters FBI


LA #20 une returned to 1890 on 1-24-72.

One of the latent finger, wints has been

· 100 Angales (105-27952) Sullivan Mohr Room 608, 9th & D Bishop Room 612, 9th & D Brennan, C.D. Callahan Casper Conrad Dalbey

Your File No. 65-11613 VBI File No. 65-74060 Labout Game No. A-33774 Jarmary 31, 1972

RE: ANTHONY JOSEPH RUSSO, FRI



January 31, 1972

Your File No. 65-11613 FBI File No. 65-74060 Latent Case No. A-38774

RE: DAMINI HIJSPERG, FRI #105131JL

Three latent fingerprints, which were developed on LA #20 TS 3275-DL17263-ISA, have been identified as finger impressions of Deniel Elleberg.

Washington, D. C. 20537

REPORT

IDENTIFICATION DIVISION

LATENT FINGERPRINT SECTION

FBI FILE NO. LATENT CASE NO.

A-38774

February 8, 1972

TO: SAC, LED

RE: MC LEK

STO

pod rule

REFERENCE: Airtel 1/31/72

end LA #32

EXAMINATION REQUESTED BY: WEO

Five documents LA \$18, LA \$19, LA \$20, LA \$21

Sixteen letent fingerprints developed on specimens as follows:

One on LA #18, T5-2258 Hine on LA #19, TS-2258A Two on LA \$21, TS-3268-DL-17100-ISA One on LA \$22, TS-3266-DL-17055-ISA Three on LA #32, TS-3242-DL-16890-ISA

Two latent fingerprints which developed on LA #32, have been identified as fingerprints of

Sullivan Mohr 2 - Los Angeles (105-27952) Bishop Brennan, C.D. SA Callahan

(Continued on next page) Room 612 - 9th & D

SA

Room 608 - 9th & D

John Edga Ho

Tolson

Casper

Dalbey

Felt . Gale


Rosen

ORT IS FURNISHED FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

February 8, 1972

SAC, WYO

The remaining fourteen latent fingerprints are not identical with the fingerprints of Butler or other Rand Corporation employees of Santa Monica; West Coast Conspirators and Associates for whom we have fingerprints; or with the fingerprints of the following individuals:



Specimens returned to WFV.

1 dge 2 LC #A-38774 LA # 18 TS-2258
Draft entitled "A Program of Action To Prevent Communist Domination of South Vietnam" Date May 6. 1961,17 pages, copy 3 of 11 copies, series D

LA# 19 TS-2258A

Draft of above entitled document, Annexes 1 thru 6 dated May 6, 1961
42 pages, copy 5 of 10 copies, Series D

LA # 21 TS 3268-DL-17100-ISA
"U. S. Training of the Vietnamese National Army" (U) by G. H.
Shubert dated May 9, 1968, 73 pages, copy 2 of 3 copies, Series A

LA# 22 TS-3266-DL-17055-ISA
"The U. S. and The French Colonial War" (U) by G.H. Shubert dated April 30, 1968, 41 pages, copy 3 of 3 copies, Series A

LA# 32 TS-3242-DL-16890-ISA
"Study of Alternate Strategies" by D. Ellsberg, Dated March 22, 1968
35 pages, copy 1 of 2 copies, Series B

Rezerved 2/11/72

AIRTEL	
	(Priority)
то:	DIRECTOR, FBI (65-74060) (ATTN: IDENTIFICATION DIVISION, LATENT FINGERPRINT SECTION)
FROM:	SAC, WFO (65-11613)
MC LEK	
	Re WFO airtel 9/2071.
documer	Enclosed for the Bureau are the following describe its obtained from DOD on this date:
Prevent 6 May 1	TS-2258 - Draft entitled, "A Program of Action to Communist Domination of South Vietnam", dated 961, 17 pages, copy 3 of 11 copies, series D. ted as L.A. exhibit #18.
	TS-2258A - Draft of above entitled document, Annexed 6, dated 6 May 1961, 42 pages, copy 5 of 10 copies D. Designated as L.A. exhibit #19.
9 May,	TS-3268-DL-17100-ISA, "U.S. Training of the dese National Army" (U) by dated 1968, 73 pages, copy 2 of sepies, series A. ted as L.A. exhibit #21.
War" (1 3 of 3	TS-3266-DL-17055-ISM, "The U. S. and the French Co dated April 30, 1968, 41 pages, 1979 copies, series A. Designated as L.A. exhibit #22
*copies,	TS-3242-DL-16890-ISA, "Study of Alternate Strategic LLSBERG, dated 22 March 1968, 35 pages, copy one of the series B. Designated as L.A. exhibit #32.
1- Bost	on (65-5236)
2- Los 1- WFO	Angeles (105-27952)
(7)	91 SPEC. WOFFICE

WFO-65-11613 No photographs of these documents are necessary and DOD has advised there is no objection to any stains remaining on the documents due to the latent fingerprint processing. It is requested all the enclosed volumes be processed for latent fingerprints as set out in referenced WFO airtel 9/20/71.

Mr. A. Rosen 1 - Mr. J. P. Mohr 1 - Mr. T. E. Bishop

The Attorney General


February 16, 1972

UNAUTHORIZED DISCLOSURES OF CLASSIFIED INFORMATION TO THE PRESS 1 - Mr. D. J. Dalbey 1 - Mr. E. S. Miller

1 - Mr. C. D. Brennan

1 - Mr. J. R. Wagoner

Weekly summaries covering our continuing investigation relating to Daniel Elisberg and the publication of material concerning the United States-Vietnam relations during the period 1945-1967 have been furnished to you in the past. Copies of these summaries have also been furnished to the Honorable H. R. Haldeman, Assistant to the President. and to the Honorable Egil Krogh, Jr., Deputy Assistant to the President for Domestic Affairs. From time to time these summaries have also included pertinent developments in our investigations of leaks of classified data to the press



Our investigation of Daniel Ellsberg and a codefendant, Anthony J. Russo, Jr., relative to their illegal possession and disclosure of classified information at Los Angeles has successfully resulted in their indictment, with trial now scheduled for early May, 1972. Our activity in this area currently involves the detailed analysis and study of evidence, and the organization of such evidence for presentation by Departmental attorneys at the forthcoming

NOT RECORDED 191 153 17 372

SEE NOTE PAGE TWO

57 FEB22 1972

The Attorney General

Alleged violations by Ellsberg in the Boston,
Massachusetts, area involving his illegal dissemination of
the "Pentagon papers" to elements of the news media continue
to be the subject of Federal Grand Jury interest and Bureau
investigative attention. Action by the Federal Grand Jury
at Boston has been effectively estopped by motions now pending
before the United States District Court of Appeals and before
the United States Supreme Court. These motions have been
filed by Senator Nike Gravel of Alaska and other individuals
who have been subposensed before the Federal Grand Jury at
Boston.

As a result of the above, this Bureau will discontinue furnishing the weekly summary memorandum of developments in the Ellsberg matter. When the trial of Ellsberg commences in May, 1972, and the Federal Grand Jury proceedings at Boston are allowed to resume, pertinent information will be furnished to you upon its receipt.

The above information is also being furnished to the Honorable H. R. Haldeman, Assistant to the President, and to the Honorable Egil Krogh, Jr., Deputy Assistant to the President for Domestic Affairs.

- 1 Deputy Attorney General
- 1 Assistant Attorney General Internal Security Division

NOTE:

See cover memorandum C. D. Brennan to E. S. Miller, dated 2/15/72, captioned as above, prepared by JRW:bjp.



Washington, D. C. 20537

REPORT

ATION

ATENT FINGERPRINT SECTION

YOUR FILE NO. FBI FILE NO. LATENT CASE NO.

65-11613 65-74060 33774

February 17. 1972

TO:

SAC. WFO



REFERENCE: **EXAMINATION REQUESTED BY:** SPECIMENS:

WFO

The following is an updated summary report of the latent prints developed and the identifications effected.

To date, 2,938 latent fingerprints, 5479 FEB 22 1972 latent palm prints and four latent impressions, which may be either fingerprints or partial palm prints. have been developed on the specimens submitted in A total of 2,625 latent print identificathis case. tions have been effected.

The following is a listing of latent prints developed and identifications effected:

Mohr . (Continued on next page) - 7.0s Angeles (105-27952) Brennan, C.D. - SA Rm. 612, 9th & D Casper 608 9th & DEH: STP John Edga REPORT IS FURNISHED FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

81% 44

Tolson Sullivan

Bishop Callahan

Conrad Dalbey Felt Gale

Rosen Tavel Walters Soyars

Registration card, Q3, five fingerprints
and two palm prints
No identification
Travelers check, Q1, one fingerprint
No identification
Paper cutter, one fingerprint
No identification
LA#2, IV.C.3, 179 fingerprints and fiftysix palm prints developed
166 fingerprints and forty-nine
palm prints identical

LA#2, IV.C.7(a), twenty-four fingerprints and six palm prints developed

Five fingerprints and one palm print identical

Sixteen fingerprints identical

Morton H. Halperin

LA#2, V.B.4, Book 2, twenty-six fingerprints and sixteen palm prints developed

One fingerprint identical Damiel

Ellsberg

Twenty fingerprints and seven palm

prints identical One fingerprint identical

LATI, IV.B.5, seventy-nine fingerprints and four palm prints developed

Thirty-three fingerprints identical Anthony Joseph Russo

Pifteen fingerprints identical

Two fingerprints identical

Twenty-four fingerprints identical Dr. Two fingerprints identical

(Continued on next page)

Three fingerprints identical

IAM1. IV.C.5, sixty-seven fingerprints and five palm prints developed

Twenty-nine fingerprints and one palm print identical Russo Nine fingerprints and two palm prints identical two palm

Four fingerprints identical Five fingerprints identical thirty-nine fingerprints and two

LAWI, I, thirty-nine fingerprints and two palm prints developed

Seven fingerprints identical D. Ellsberg

One fingerprint identical Russo One fingerprint identical

Twenty-three fingerprints identical Fox

Three fingerorints identical

One fingerprint identical Jr.

One fingerprint identical

LAW1. II, twelve fingerprints and one palm print developed

One fingerprint identical D. Elisberg
Ten fingerprints identical
One fingerprint identical

1.A#1, IV.A.2, eleven fingerprints and one palm print developed

> One fingerprint identical D. Ellsberg One fingerprint identical Russo

One fingerprint identical

LAM, IV.A.3, fifteen fingerprints and eight palm prints developed

One fingerprint identical Four fingerprints identical

(Continued on next page)

Page 3

One fingerprint identical

One fingerprint identical

Eight fingerprints identical

LA#1. IV.A.4, eleven fingerprints, five palm prints and one impression developed One fingerprint identical One fingerprint identical Four fingerprints identical

One fingerprint identical

LAW1, IV.C.4, eighteen fingerprints developed
One fingerprint identical D. Ellsberg
Fifteen fingerprints identical Russo
LAW1, IV.B.4, one fingerprint developed and
identified as fingerprint of
LAW1, IV.C.8, thirty-two fingerprints and
three palm prints developed
Nine fingerprints identical Russo
Nine fingerprints identical

Cone fingerprint identical
Two fingerprints identical

LAM1, IV.A.5, thirty-four fingerprints and eight palm prints developed

Eighteen fingerprints identical

D. Elleberg

One palm print identical Russo

Six fingerprints identical

One fingerprint identical

One fingerprint identical

One fingerprint identical

(Continued on next page)

Page 4 10 #38774 LAMI, VI.C.4, eighty-five fingerprints and thirteen palm prints developed

One fingerprint identical D. Ellsberg Twenty-one fingerprints and five palm prints identical Russo

Ten fingerprints identical Vu Van Thai One palm print identical

Two fingerprints identical

Seven fin erprints identical

Four fingerprints identical Twenty-seven fingerprints identical

Two fingerprints identical LAM1, VI.C.3, seventeen fingerprints and seven palm prints developed

Two fingerprints identical

One fingerprint identical Two fingerprints identical Soven fingerprints identical

LAWI, VI.C.2, thirty-three fingerprints, three
palm prints and two impressions developed
One fingerprint identical
Eighteen fingerprints identical
One fingerprint identical

Two fingerprints identical Two fingerprints identical Two fingerprints identical

LAFI, VI.C.1, thirty-four fingerprints and two palm prints developed

Two palm prints identical Russo Five fingerprints identical Eleven fingerprints identical Five fingerprints identical Three fingerprints identical Three fingerprints identical

LAW1, IV.C.9(a), thirty-six fingerprints and three palm prints developed

(Continued on next page)

Page 5 LC #38774 The second of the second of the war in the test One fingerprint identical D. Ellsberg Twenty-three fingerprints and two palm prints identical Russo One fingerprint identical Five fingerprints identical One fingerprint identical One fingerprint identical AMI, IV.C.9(b), thirteen fingerprints and two palm prints developed Four fingerprints identical D. Ellsberg One fingerprint identical Russo One fingerprint identical Lynda Rae Sinay One fingerprint identical One fingerprint identical Two fingerprints identical Two fingerprints identical LA#3-1, 230 fingerprints and twenty-six palm prints developed Four fingerprints identical D. Ellaborg One palm print identical Russo 150 fingerprints identical Eight fingerprints and one palm print identical Four fingerprints identical One fingerprint identical Nine fingerprints identical Six fingerprints identical One fingerprint identical Four fingerprints identical Two fingerprints identical Eleven fingerprints identical One fingerprint identical

(Continued on next page)

Page 6 LC #38774 Ten fingerprints identical Three fingerprints identical

1.473-2, ninety-two fingerprints and sixteen palm prints developed

Two fingerprints identical D. Elleberg

Three fingerprints identical Three fingerprints identical One fingerprint identical Two fingerprints identical

Three fingerprints identical
One fingerprint identical
Eleven fingerprints identical
Twenty fingerprints identical
Two fingerprints identical
One fingerprint identical

LAF4, fifty-five fingerprints and one palm print developed

Twenty-one fingerprints identical

Fifteen fingerprints identical Thirteen fingerprints identical

LAFS, 231 fingerprints and twenty palm prints developed

Twelve fingerprints identical D. Ellsberg 177 fingerprints identical Nine fingerprints and five pain prints

Five fingerprints identical Four fingerprints identical Three fingerprints identical

Six fingerprints identical 1.0%, forty-six fingerprints and five palm prints developed

Two fingerprints identical D. Ellsberg Printy-nine fingerprints identical

One fingerprint identical Five fingerprints identical

(Continued on next page)

Page 7

One fingerprint identical
Four fingerprints identical
One fingerprint identical
Two fingerprints identical
LAST, forty fingerprints and seven palm prints
developed

Three fingerprints identical D. Ellaberg
Eighteen fingerprints identical
Two fingerprints identical
One fingerprints identical
Three fingerprints identical
Five fingerprints identical
Four fingerprints identical

IA#8, thirty-six fingerprints, one palm print and one impression developed
Thirty-three fingerprints identical

ASSESSMENT OF THE STATE OF THE

One fingerprint identical
Two fingerprints identical
LAW9, ten fingerprints and four palm prints
developed

Four fingerprints and one palm print identical Russo
Two fingerprints identical

LA#10, three fingerprints and two palm prints developed

Two fingerprints identical One fingerprint identical LAMII, six fingerprints developed Three fingerprints identical

1.0712, fifteen fingerprints developed Two fingerprints identical One fingerprint identical

One fingerprint identical Six fingerprints identical

(Continued on next page)

Page 8 LC #33774 LAW13, nine fingerprints and one palm print developed

Two fingerprints identical Pive fingerprints identical One fingerprint identical One fingerprint identical

LA#2. VI.C.2, one fingerprint developed has been identified as finger impression of

paim prints developed

Two fingerprints identical

One fingerprint identical

LW2, V.A. Vol I, two palm prints developed

No identification

LA#2. V.A. Vol II. twelve fingerprints and five palm prints developed all have been identified as finger and palm prints of

LAW2, IV.A.1, two fingerprints developed both identical fingerprints of Spoonhour LAW2, IV.C.10, three fingerprints developed Two identical

LA#2, III, Eleven fingerprints developed all identical fingerprints of

Green Book #1, ten fingerprints and three palm prints developed

Green Book #2, one-hundred fingerprints and twelve palm prints developed

Twenty-six fingerprints identical Eighteen fingerprints identical Four fingerprints identical Come fingerprint identical Halperin

Eight fingermeints identical

Three fingermints identical

(Continued on next page)

Page 9 LO #38774 and the same of the same of the

Eight fingerprints identical

Green Book #3, ten fingerprints developed

Crean Book 64, sixteen fingerprints and six palm prints developed

One fingerprint identical
Three fingerprints identical
Two fingerprints identical

One fingerprint identical Halperin Green Book #5, eighteen fingerprints and one

palm print developed
Three fingerprints identical

Two fingerprints identical Grean Book #6, 133 fingerprints and nine palm prints developed

Twenty-seven fingerprints identical

Thirty-three fingerprints identical

Verty-eight fingerpeints identical

Black Book #7, thurteen fingerprints and two
palm prints developed
One fingerprint identical
One fingerprint identical

Black Book #8, four fingerprints and one palm print developed

Ture fingerprints identical

One fingerprint identical LAMIT, fifty-six fingerprints and ten palm prints developed

Twenty fingerprints and six palm prints identical Robert Boyd Ellaberg

LAM2, Vol I, sixteen fingerprints and five palm prints developed

Three fingerprints identical Halperin
Two fingerprints identical
Ten fingerprints identical

(Continued on next page)

the state of the s

Page 10 LC #38774

which the state of the state of the IAMZ, Vol II, twenty-one fingerprints and thirteen palm prints developed One fingerprint identical Sixteen fingerwints identical LAMZ, IV.A.2, one fingerprint developed. identical fingerprint of Halperin 14 #2, IV.A.5, thirty-six fingerprints and eight palm prints developed Fifteen fingerprints and seven palm prints identical Two fingerprints identical Halperin Pourteen fingerprints identical One fingerprint identical LA#2. IV.B.2. one finderprint developed, identical fingerprint of LA72, IV.B.4, three palm prints developed, all identical palm prints of LAF2. IV.B.5, seven fingerprints and four palm prints developed One fingerprint identical Halperin Two fingerprints identical One fingerprint identical Two fingerprints identical LA#2, IV.C.2(a), thirty fingerprints and four palm prints developed Two fingerprints identical Seventeen fingerprints identical

Six fingerprints identical

LA#2, IV.C.2(b), twenty-seven fingerprints and
four palm prints developed

Eleven fingerprints and one palm

print identical

Four fingerprints identical
One fingerprint identical
Ten fingerprints identical

或者可以上XX 的。因为自己的是一种,但这个种类的。

(Continued on next page)

Page 11 LC #38774 LA#2, IV.C.2(c), thirteen fingerprints and five palm prints developed

Two fingerprints identical Two fingerprints identical Two fingerprints identical

LA#2, IV.C.4, one fingerprint developed, identical fingerprint of

L4#2, IV.C.S. thirteen fingerprints and nine palm prints developed

Ten fingerprints and nine palm prints identical

LAW2, IV.C.S, twenty-three lingerprints and four palm prints developed, all identical finger and palm prints Averch

LAW2, IV.C.9(a), eighteen fingerprints and twelve palm prints developed

Ten fingerprints and two palm prints

Four fingerprints identical Halperin Two fingerprints identical Two fingerprints identical

LAF2, IV.C.9(b), seven fingerprints and rour palm prints developed

Two palm prints identical Seven fingerprints identical

Final Report - OSD - Task Force - Vietnam and Index, six fingerprints and two palm prints developed

Two fingerprints identical One fingerprint identical

LA#2, IV.B.1, eleven fingerprints and three palm prints developed

Nine fingerprints and three palm prints identical Cone fingerprint identical Halperin

LA#2. IV.B.S. three fingerprints and one palm print developed

的。《**《**图》:"《《》:"

(Continued on next page)

Page 12 LC #38774 One fingarprint identical Halperin
Two fingerprints identical
LAF2, IV.C.1, nine fingerprints and ten palm
prints developed
One fingerprint and eight palm
prints identical
Two fingerprints identical
Two fingerprints identical
Two fingerprints identical

One fingerprint identical

LA#2, IV.C.6(a), fifty-eight fingerprints
and twenty-five palm prints developed

Fifty-two fingerprints and twentyone palm prints identical

Three fingerprints identical

Two fingerprints identical Wiley

LAW2, IV.C.6(b), forty-five fingerprints and ten palm prints developed

Thirty-five fingerprints and ten palm prints identical Cone fingerprint identical Halperin Nine fingerprints identical

LAF2, IV.C.6(c), five fingerprints and two

LA#2, IV.C.7(b), thirty fingerprints and seven palm prints developed

prints identical h

Nine fingerprints identical Helperin
Three fingerprints identical
Four fingerprints identical

LA#2, V.B.1, one palm print developed No identification

LA#2, V.B.2, Book 1, one fingerprint developed, has been identified as a fingerprint of

LAS'2, V.B.2, Book 2, seven fingerprints and seven palm prints developed

(Continued on next page)

Page 13 LC #38774

One fingerprint identical Two fingerprints identical LA#2, V.B.3, Book 1, thirteen fingerprints developed One fingerprint identical Five fingerprints identical LA#2, V.B. 3, Book 2, two fingerprints developed No identification LA#2, V.B.3, Book 3, thirteen fingerprints developed One fingerprint identical D. Ellsberg One fingerprint identical Three fingerprints identical LA#2, V.B.3, Book 4, twenty-one fingerprints and seven palm prints developed One fingerprint identical Twelve fingerprints identical Five fingerprints identical One fingerprint identical LA#2, V.B.4, Book 1, one-hundred fingerprints and twenty-five palm prints developed Forty fingerprints and twolve palm prints identical One fingerprint identical Six fingerprints identical Four fingerprints identical Forty-eight fingerprints identical LA#2. Part VI.A. five fingerprints and three palm prints developed No identification LA#2, Part VI.B, thirty-nine fingerprints and three palm prints developed Two fingerprints identical Twenty-four fingerprints identical One fingerprint identical

Page 48 of IV.B.1, page 27 of IV.C.6(c); page 55 of IV.B.3 and page 176 of IV.C.6(b) submitted with airtel 12-8-71; nine fingerprints and four palm prints developed

Three fingerprints and one palm print identical

(Continued on next page)

Page 14 LC #38774 Halperin Archive papers, 107 fingerprints and twenty-three palm prints developed Three fingerprints identical D. Ellsberg
Ten fingerprints identical Thirteen fingerprints identical

Eleven fingerprints identical

Two fingerprints identical One fingerprint identical

Thirteen fingerprints identical

One fingerprint identical

LA#1, V.A. Vol I, thirty-seven fingerprints and two palm prints developed Twenty-eight fingerprints identical

LA#1, Part VI.B, thirty-six fingerprints and seven palm prints developed

Twenty-six fingerprints identical
Two fingerprints identical

LAW1, Part VI.A, five fingerprints and one palm print developed

Two fingerprints identical One fingerprint identical

LA#1, V.B.2, Book II, three fingerprints and three palm prints developed

One fingerprint identical Two fingerprints identical

LAFI, V.A. Vol II, two fingerprints developed.

LA#1, V.B.1, eleven fingerprints developed
Four fingerprints identical
Six fingerprints identical
One fingerprint identical

LAW1, V.B.4, Book I, twenty-four fingerprints and five palm prints developed

(Continued on next page)

Page 15 LC #38774 Company And

One fingerprint identical Four fingerprints identical Three fingerprints identical Two fingerprints identical LA#1. V.B.3. Book I. eleven fingerprints and three palm prints developed Three fingerprints identical One fingerprint identical Five fingerprints identical LAWI, V.B.3, Book IV, nineteen fingerprints and ten palm prints developed Three fingerprints identical Five fingerprints identical One fingerprint identical Seven fingerprints identical LAWI, V.B.2, Book I, nine fingerprints and six palm prints developed Three fingerprints identical Three fingerprints identical Two fingerprints identical LA#1, V.B.3, Book III, twenty-eight fingerprints and six palm prints developed Ten fingerprints identical One fingerprint identical Thirteen fingerprints identical One fingerprint identical LAW1. V.B.4. Book II, twenty-eight fingerprints and three palm prints developed One fingerprint identical Ten fingerprints identical Two fingerprints identical LAFI, V.B.3, Book II, twenty-seven fingerprints and five palm prints developed Six fingerprints identical Five fingerprints identical Two fingerprints identical Two fingerprints identical Six fingerprints identical 8

(Continued on next page)

LA#20, fourteen fingerprints and three palm prints developed Three fingerprints identical D. Ellsberg Ten fingerprints and three palm prints identical Russo One fingerprint identical LA#14, thirteen fingerprints and two palm prints developed Thirteen fingerprints identical LA#15, eight fingerprints developed Seven fingerprints identical LA#16, two fingerprints developed One fingerprint identical D. Ellsberg LA#18, one fingerprint developed No identification LA#19, nine fingerprints developed No identification LA#21, two fingerprints developed No identification

LA#22, one fingerprint developed

No identification LA#32, three fingerprints developed

Two fingerprints identical

CONTINUNICATIONS SECTION Mr. Miller, E Mr. Callahan 1 018 CODED WE Mr. Casper. TELETYPE Mr. Conrad. 129 PM URGENT Mr. Dalbey-Mr. Cleveland. Mr. Ponder. DIRECTOR Mr. Bates. Mr. Walkart. DOMESTIC INTELLIGENCE Mr. Walters. Mr. Soyars. Tele. Room. BOSTON Miss Holmes Miss Gandy. LOS ANGELES ROM WASHINGTON FIELD (65-11613) FOUR PAGES MC LEK DASH SIO FORMER VIETNAM TASK FORCE STUDY (VTFS) MEMBER INTERVIEWED FEBRUARY SIXTEEN LAST. MABLE TO FURNISH ANY PERTINENT INFORMATION OF EVIDENTIARY. ALUE BUT DID COMMENT AT LENGTH REGARDING HIS ASSOCIATION IITH VIFS AND DANIEL ELLSBERG . WHILE EMPLOYED BY INSTITUTE FOR DEFENSE ANALYSES NINETEEN SIXTYFIVE DASH SIXTYSEVEN UNQUOTE. 117 HAD NO ASSOCIATION WITH DANIEL ELLSBERG DURING END PAGE ONE "cc to 150 Adm. data deleted" (58 5 JFEB 2 41972

PAGE TWO WITH VIFS AND DID NOT SEE ELLSBERG AT THE PERIOD WAS REQUESTED, HOWEVER, PENTAGON DURING THAT TIME. TO SALVAGE TWO OF ELLSBERG 'S INPUTS-TO THE STUDY CAPTIONED QUOTE APPRAISALS AND INITIAL DECISIONS UNQUOTE THE QUOTE TAYLOR MISSION AND AFTERMATH UNQUOTE, BUT -AFTER READING THIS MATERIAL WHICH HE DESCRIBED AS ROUGH DRAFTS AND QUALITATIVELY GARBAGE, CATEGORICALLY REFUSED. HE SAID THE WORK COULD NOT BE DONE WITHOUT STARTING FROM SCRATCH, DOING THE RESEARCH AGAIN AND THEN REWRITING IT. BOTH IDA EMPLOYEES WERE LATER ASSIGNED TO SALVAGE THE DRAFTS. HAD NO PERTINENT INFORMATION REGARDING THE UNAUTHORIZED DISCLOSURE OF THE VIFS BUT REMARKED THAT BY LATE SIXTYNINE RUMORS WERE WIDESPREAD THAT COPIES OF THE PENTAGON HAD NO HARD FACTS ABOUT THE PAPERS WERE AROUND TOWN . RUMORS AND COULD NOT RECALL THEIR SOURCES.

ENDPAGE TWO

PAGE THREE

REGARDING ELLSBERG, WHO HE DESCRIBED AS A POLISHED FRAUD,

MET HIM IN SIXTYTHREE WHEN ELLSBERG TRIED TO GET

ACCESS TO AN IMCOMPLETE JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF (JCS) STUDY

ON THE CUBAN MISSILE CRISIS.

THEN ENGAGED ON THAT.

STUDY, WAS INSTRUMENTAL IN PREVENTING ELLSBERG'S ACCESS TO II.

AGAIN, IN SIXTYTHREE OR SIXTYFOUR, ELLSBERG TRIED TO OBTAIN A

CRITICAL INCIDENT STUDY CONCERNING LAOS, WHICH

WAS

WORKING ON FOR THE JCS BY DIRECTION OF TOP OFFICIALS. ELLSBERG

WAS GIVEN A SANITIZED, PURGED VERSION OF THE STUDY WHICH MADE HIM FURIOUS

AT THE PER AND OBSERVED ELLSBERG KEPT A COPY OF THE FULL UNSANITIZED ORITICAL INCIDENT STUDY ON LAOS IN THE SAFE. DID NOT KNOW HOW ELLSBERG OBTAINED THE COPY AND WAS SURPRISED THAT ELLSBERG HAD IT BECAUSE, HE SAID, THE JCS NEVER GAVE UP COPIES IF ITS CRITICAL INCIDENT STUDIES.

HAD NO ADDITIONAL PERTINENT INFORMATION ABOUT END PAGE THREE

PAGE FOUR

ELLSBERG .

ADMINISTRATIVE. WFO WILL FURNISH COMPLETE DETAILS OF



FORM .

END

DE TOWNSHIP LOND WITEEL BUT VENERO AVIATE FOR DIVIN

FEDERAL BUREAU OF AMESTICATION COMMUNICATION SECTION **FEC 1 G 1972** Mr. Miller, ES. Mr. Callahan 002 MP CODE TELETYPE NITEL 2/16/72 SKL 5:53 (65-74060) ATTN: DID DIRECTOR TO BOSTON (65-5236) Tale Room Miss Holmes LOS ANGELES (105-27952) Mint Gendy. NEW YORK (65-25641) FROM MINNEAPOLIS (65-1447) (3P) RE MINNEAPOLIS LETTER TO BUREAU, JUNE TWENTY TWO, NINETEEN SEVENTY ONE; MINNEAPOLIS AIRTEL AND LHM TO BUREAU, JUNE TWENTY EIGHT, NINETEEN SEVENTY ONE: MINNEAPOLIS REPORT OF AUGUST NINE, NINETEEN SEVENTYONE; MINNEAPOLIS AIRTEL JANUARY SEVENTEEN, LAST; NEW YORK NITEL TO BUREAU, FEBRUARY SIXTEEN . INSTANT . REFERENCED MINNEAPOLIS COMMUNICATIONS WITH EXCEPTION OF AIRTEL DATED JANUARY SEVENTEEN, LAST, REFER TO CONTACT WITH DANIEL ELLSBERG . (PH) IN RE UNDOUBTEDLY INDIVIDUAL REFERRED TO AS NITEL . FEB 22 1972 END PAGE ONE "cc to to "

MP 65-1447

MINNESOTA EIGHT, A GROUP OF INDIVIDUALS ARRESTED BY BUREAU AGENTS JULY ELEVEN, NINETEEN SEVENTY, AND CONVICTED ON FEDERAL CHARGES EMANATING FROM THEIR ATTACKS ON MINNESOTA DRAFT BOARDS.

PRESENTLY FREE ON TEN THOUSAND BOND PENDING APPEAL OF FIVE YEAR PRISON SENTENCE.

ON BEHALF OF ________, JANUARY FOURTEEN,
NINETEEN SEVELTY ONE. HE SUBSEQUENTLY PUBLICLY STATED THAT HE
WAS PREPARED TO REVEAL CONTENT OF PENTAGON PAPERS ON THAT
OCCASION, BUT FEDERAL JUDGE SET GUIDELINES FOR TESTIMONY WHICH
PRECLUDED HIS DOING SO.

DURING PUBLIC APPEARANCE OF ELLSBERG IN MINNEAPOLIS,

JANUARY TWENTYEIGHT, LAST, ELLSBERG WAS INTRODUCED TO AUDIENCE

BY WHO ALSO APPEARED ON LOCAL TELEVISION SHOW WITH

ELLSBERG.

END PAGE TWO

MP 65-1447 PAGE THREE



BOSTON AS BOSTON , MASSACHUSETTS . DISCONTINUE EFFORTS TO

DENTIFY

P

END

MXW

FBI WASH DC CLR

UNITED STATES G Memorandum: DIRECTOR, FBI (65-74060) DOMINTEL) (ATTENTION: : SAC, PHILADELPHIA (65-6346-SUB B) SUBJECT: MC LEK SIO-Re Boston airtels 1/5/72 and 1/12/72. 65-74060-3155 (5) Bureau (65-74060)(RM) 7- Boston (65-5632)(RM) 2- Los Angeles (105-27952)(RM) 2- New York (65-25641)(RM) 2- WFO (65-11613)(RM) 1- Philadelphia (65-6346-SUB B) BJT:rel (14)FEB241972 Buy U.S. Savings Bonds Regularly on the Payroll Savings Plan

FEUEK. BUKLAU Ur Washington, D. C. 20537 REPORT



of the

IDENTIFICATION DIVISION

LATENT FINGERPRINT SECTION

YOUR FILE NO.

85-11613

February 22, 1972

INVES JAIIUN

FBI FILE NO.

LATENT CASE NO.

A-38774

EAC. WFO

REFERENCE:

Verbal request by

EXAMINATION REQUESTED BY:

SPECIMENS:

This confirms verbal report of 2-17-72 relative to request for comparisons of fingerprints of employees of the Defense Printing Service of the Department of Defense with latent prints developed on the eighteen volumes couriered by Daniel Ellsberg of LA #1 and LA #2.

No fingerprint record located of the following individuals:

MAILED 7. FBI

Tolson Sullivan Mohr .

Bishop Brennan, C.D.

Callahan Casper Conrad Dalbey

Felt Gale Rosen

Tavel Walters

Soyars

Tele. Room

19 FEB 23 1972

(Continued on next page)

2 - Los Angeles (105-27952)

Room 608, 9th and D

Room 612, 9th and D

DEH: jat 3

TELETYPE UNIT

REPORT IS FURNISHED FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

SAC. WFO



None of the latent prints in the aforementioned volumes are identical with the imgerprints of:



Twelve latent (ingerprints have been identified as (inger impressions of on three of the volumes as follows:

Three on LA #2, IV.C.7(a)
Five on LA #2, V.B.3, Book 3
Four on LA #2, Part VI.B

Other latent prints not fingerprints of

Page 2 LC #A-38774

2	Date: 2/15/72
	the following in(Type in plaintext or code)
	AIRTEL (Priority)
2 44 5 22	

	TO : DIRECTOR, FBI (65-74060) (Attn: DOMINTEL)
	FROM JAC, BOSTON (65-5236) SUBJECT MC LEK STO
	SUBJECT! MC LEK
·	Re Bureau airtels to Boston dated 2/11/72.
	On 2/14/72, who has
1	previously been contacted in this case, reiterated that her employment with the Christian Science Monitor (6SM)
	at the present time has her separated from the normal
	operational functions of the CSM and therefore she has not been in a position to obtain any information per-
	taining to the mechanics of the receipt of the Pentagon Papers by the CSM prior to publication in that newspaper.
	stated that she wished to be
	cooperative with the Bureau but was not in a position
A. F	to do so at this time. However, if any information pertinent to this investigation came to her knowledge,
	she would immediately notify the FBI. She added that she
	had no knowledge of any individuals who might know of the manner in which the CSM received the Pentagon Papers.
	On 2/15/72,
	Hotel Continental, Cambridge, Mass., who has previously
	been contacted in this case, advised, confidentially, that
	(3) Bureau (RM) ST 101 35 65
	(1 - ADP) 1 20 FFR 18 1972
1	1 - Los Angeles (105-27952) (RM)
in h	REB: mej (Brossen)
ins.	SPEC / CA POFFICE
App	Special Agent in Charge

BS 65-5236

there appears to be no reason why an interview of should not be undertaken by the Boston Office to determine if he has any knowledge of his son's possible activity regarding duplication of the Pentagon Papers during the period 3/22-23/71 when the visited him at the Hotel Continental.

Boston therefore recommends that be contacted with Bureau authority regarding knowledge he might have of his son's possible participation in the duplication of the Pentagon Papers during March, 1971.

1 - Mr. J. R. Wagoner 1 - Mr. L. E. Belanger

SAC. Boston (65-5236)

From:

Director, FBI (65-74060)

MC LEE

ST-111

ReBSairtel 2/15/72.

Authority is granted to interview Interview must be conducted by two mature experienced Agents who are thoroughly familiar with the facts of this case. Submit results by summary airtel followed by inclusion in a communication suitable for dissemination.

1 - Los Angeles (105-27952)

LEB: bjp

NOTE:

S 00

Mr. Felt . Mr. Rosen

Mr. Mohr

fr. Bishop

gr. Callahan

Casper

is the associated with the Institute for Policy Studies (IPS), a New Left "think tank" in Washington, D. C. has been in touch with Daniel Ellsberg in the past and IPS has published a book, "Washington Plans an Aggressive War," based largely on material concerning Vietnam found in the "Pentagon papers." Previous investigation has disclosed that Neil and Susan Sheehan were in Cambridge, Massachusetts, during the period 3/21-23/71 and engaged in copying sessions of the "Pentagon papers." It has also been established that with a party of six, stayed at the Hotel Continental. Cambridge, 3/22-23/71 and that the father has been a permanent guest of the of 🖳

The Boston Office has recommended that hotel since tr. Miller, E.S. who was described as an individual of excellent health, be interviewed to determine any knowledge he may have concerning his son's possible participation in the duplication of the "Pentagon papers" during 3/71. Interview with the elder appears justified and necessary.

TELETYPE UNIT

PLIVERAL BUREAU OF THE STIGATION Mr. Rosen COMMUNICATIONS ECTION Mr. Mohr. Mr. Bishop. Mr. Miller, ES Mr. Callahan NR 020 WF CODED Mr. Casper. Mr. Conrad 8:38PM URGENT 2-22-72 ALM Mr. Dalbey_ Mr. Cleveland TO DIRECTOR (65-74060) Mr. Ponder. Mr. Baies. Mr. Walkart. ATTENTION: DOMESTIC INTELLIGENCE DIVISION Mr. Walters_ Mr. Soyars_ BALTIMORE (65-3896) Tele. Room. Miss Holmes. Miss Gandy_ BOSTON (65-5236) LOS ANGELES (105-27952) FROM WASHINGTON FIELD (65-11613) Deleted Copy Sent A by Letter 4/16 MC LEK DASH SIO . Per FOIA Request INFORMATION AVAILABLE ON THE COUNCIL ON ECONOMIC PRIORITIES (COEP) TEN TWENTYEIGHT CONNECTICUT AVENUE, NORTHWEST, WASHINGTON, D. C., INDICATES THIS COUNCIL FOUNDED CIRCA NINETEEN SEVENTY AND IS SELF DESCRIBED AS AN INDEPENDENT. NONPROFIT ORGANIZATION ESTABLISHED TO DISSEMINATE UNBIASED MATES CORPORATIONS IN INFORMATION ON POLICIES OF UNITED FOUR MAJOR AREAS: EMPLOYMENT. EFFECT ON ENVIRONMENT. DEFENSE PRODUCTION AND FOREIGN INVESTMENT. THE INITIAL P FEB 24 1972 PUBLICATION OF QUOTE ECONOMIC PRIORITY REPORT UNQUOTE. AN ORGAN OF COEP HIGHLIGHTED MAJOR UNITED STATES CORPORATIONS ENGAGED IN PRODUCTION OF ANTIPERSONNEL WEAPONS UNDER GOVERNMENT CONTRACTS. ACCORDING TO INFORMATION AVAILABLE IN NINETEEN SEVENTY. 1945 , THEN TWENTYFIVE YEARS OLD . WAS

PAGE THREE

ACCORDING TO THE QUOTE NUC NEWLETTER UNQUOTE, OFFICIAL PUBLICATION OF NUC, DATED AUGUST FIFTEEN, SIXTYNINE, NUC IS DESCRIBED IN PART AS QUOTE A NATIONAL ORGANIZATION OF RADICALS WHO WORK IN, AROUND AND IN SPITE OF INSTITUTIONS OF HIGHER EDUCATION. FORMED IN TIME OF IMPERIALIST WAR AND DOMESTIC REPRESSION, THE NUC IS PART OF THE STRUGGLE FOR THE LIBERATION OF ALL PEOPLES UNQUOTE.

ACCORDING TO THE CURRENT WASHINGTON, D. C., TELEPHONE
DIRECTOR, COEP IS NOW LOCATED AT ELEVEN TWENTYSEVEN CONNECTICUT
AVENUE, NORTHWEST, WASHINGTON, D. C., TELEPHONE SIX TWO EIGHT
DASH THREE ZERO ONE SIX.

ON THE AFTERNOON OF FEBRUARY NINETEEN LAST ELLSBERG

SPOKE AT THE NATIONAL PRESBYTERIAN CHURCH, WASHINGTON, D.C.

HIS APPEARANCE WAS SPONSORED BY THE AMERICAN UNIVERSITY

GRADUATE STUDENT COUNCIL, KENNEDY POLITICAL ACTION UNION,

ELLSBERG DEFENSE COMMITTEE AND PRESBYTERIAN CHURCH. ELLSBERG 'S


COMMENTS FOLLOWED HIS USUAL ANTI VIETNAM WAR THEME CENTERING

END PAGE THREE

PAGE FOUR

ON WHAT HE DESCRIBED AS A NEED FOR CHANGE WITHIN OUR SOCIETY
TO END THE WAR. HE EXPRESSED SURPRIST AT THE CHARGES AGAINST
HIM IN WHAT HE DESCRIBED AS A FALSE INDICTMENT EXPLAINING THAT
THERE WERE NO STATUTES DEALING WITH WHAT HE DID. ELLSBERG
MADE NO ADMISSIONS AGAINST INTEREST OR OTHER STATEMENTS OF
APPARENT EVIDENTIARY OR LEAD VALUE.

ADMINISTRATIVE:



PAGE SIX

RE WFO TELETYPE FEBRUARY EIGHT, LAST CONCERNING
ELLSBERG 'S POTENTIAL APPEARANCE ON FEBRUARY NINETEEN AT
NATIONAL PRESBYTERIAN CHURCH. ELLSBERG 'S APPEARANCE WAS
OVERED BY SPECIAL AGENT AND SOURCE ATTENDANCE. RECORDING
IMPOSSIBLE FOR SECURITY REASONS; HOWEVER, SUMMARY OF HIS
OMMENTS BEING INCORPORATED IN FD THREE ZERO TWO.

FND

MPF FRT WA DC

TILLINGS BURD RE INVESTIGATE COMMUNIC. Mr. Mohr. Mr. Bishop Mr. Miller, Es NR Ø21 LA CODE Mr. Callahan Mr. Casper. 704PM NUTEL 2-22-72 LLS Mr. Conrad. Mr. Dalbey_ Mr. Cleveland TO DIRECTOR (65-74060) Mr. Ponder. Mr. Bates_ BOSTON (65-5236) Mr. Waikart. Mr. Walters. NEW YORK (65-25641) Mr. Soyars. Tele. Room. Miss Holmes WASHINGTON FIELD (65-11613) Miss Gandy. FROM LOS ANGELES (105-27952) 2F MC LEK) SIO.

REPORTER NEIL SHEEHAN APPEARED ON KNBC TELEVISION, LOS ANGELES. FIVE P.M. NEWSCAST, FEBRUARY TWENTY ONE LAST. SHEEHAN FROMOTING HIS NEW BOOK "ARNHEITER" WAS INTERVIEWED BY KNBC NEWSCASTER BOB ABERNATHY . SHEEHAN ADMITTED "RECEIVING" PENTAGON PAPERS BUT POINTEDLY REFUSED TO NAME HIS SOURCE. SHEEHAN DEFENDED RIGHT OF NEWSPAPERS TO PUBLISH PENTAGON PAPERS ON BASIS OF FIRST AMENDMENT AND PUBLIC'S "NEED TO KNOW." STATED HE WOULD NOT PRINT HIGHLY SENSITIVE INFORMATION: THAT HE IS A QUALIFIED JUDGE OF WHAT IS SENSITIVE AND PENTAGON PAPERS NEED NOT HAVE BEEN CLASSIFIED AT ALL.

7-74060-3139 ADMINISTRATIVE. LOS-ANGELES UNABLE TO TAPE SHEEHAN'S REMARKS AS HIS

APPEARANCE WAS LIVE AND NOT PRIOR PUBLICIZED. TRANSCRIPT OF FEB 24 1972

PROGRAM CAN ONLY BE OBTAINED THROUGH SUBPOENA PROCESS.

OF LOS ANGELES VIEWED PROGRAM.

59 FEB 2 8 1972 .. Bata dole 1907

LA 105-27952 PAGE TWO

FEBRUARY TWENTY TWO INSTANT ASSISTANT U.S. ATTORNEY

ANTICIPATES EASTCON TRIAL WILL LAST NO

LATER THAN MID APRIL NEXT. NISSEN ANTICIPATES ELLSBERG - RUSSO

TRIAL TO START ON SCHEDULE MAY NINE NEXT.

CASE RECEIVING CONTINUOUS AND PREFERRED ATTENTION.

END

JRM FBI WASH DC CLR

smit the follow	ving in		
IA		in plaintext or code) AIL - REGISTERED MAIL	
A Company of the Company	The state of the s	(Priority)	
			Section 2
TO:	DIRECTOR, FBI (65-7) (ATTN: VOUCHER-	74060) -STATISTICAL SECTION)	
FROM:	SAC, BUFFALO (65-29	971) (RUC)	
	ELLSBERG	M	and it
ESP - (00:	X LOS ANGELES)	99 yeste 2000 yeste 200	aggio, a
2/2/72 San Fr SIO".	Re San Francisco ai , captioned "MC LEK, SI ancisco, et al, dated 2	rtel to Bureau, et al, 10"; and Bureau airtel 2/10/72, captioned "MC	dated to LEK,
"DANIE	Enclosed for the Bud two copies respective L ELLSBERG" per instructancisco airtel.	areau and Los Angeles a ely, of an LHM captione tions set out in refer	d
any in	Indices of the Buff formation identifiable	alo Office do not cont	ain \$
sources	This LHM is classifuthorized disclosure of sutilized in this LHM wal defense interests.		to same
LAN-ADF	1EHDEON S	69-74060-	3160
100 2 - Los	reau (Encs. 6) (RM) E s Angeles (Encs. 21.4 RM) ffalo		3/10
1 - But JWS:kms	ffalo 2 ccenel tappo 15 Par	estin (inje) FEB 18 1972	1. METCE
7 (7)	8 2-22.72 Hympry	REC 25	and or
		and.	The ASID



UNION STATES DEPARTMENT OF WISTICE


FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

February 15, 1972

In Reply, Please Refer to



During period June 13-15, 1971, "The New York a daily newspaper published in New York City, published the so-called "Pentagon papers." DANIEL ELLSBERG has since publicly admitted being responsible for making the documents available to the news media in the United States. ANTHONY JOSEPH RUSSO, JR., and DANIEL ELLSBERG are currently under indictment for violation of Title 18, Section 371, United States Code (Conspiracy); Title 18, Section 641, United States Code (Stealing, Concealing, Unauthorized Conveying and Receiving Stolen Government Property); and Title 18, Sections 793 (c), (d) and (e), United States Code (Espionage Act). Investigation has indicated that DANIEL ELLSBERG may have had help in reproducing and distributing these documents to various newspapers throughout the country. Investigation is being conducted to determine if SPENCER B. MARX, brother-in-law of DANIEL ELISBERG, may have assisted ELLSBERG in the release of the "Pentagon papers" to the news media.



		A CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF
BA.	FBI - Date: 2/17/72	
Transmit Via	AIRTEL AIR MAIL (Priority)	E308
	TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (65-74060)	Comon
	FROM: SAC, NEW ORLEANS (65-2279) (RUC) SUBJECT: MC LEK SIO (00: LOS ANGELES)	Jones
\$	Re San Francisco airtel, 2/2/72, and Bureau a 2/10/72.	1.00 · 10 · 10 · 10 · 10 · 10 · 10 · 10
	Enclosed for the Bureau are six copies and for Angeles two copies of an LHM captioned DANIEL ELLSBERG	or Los
	## Bureau (Encs. 6) (RM) 2 - Los Angeles (Encs. 2) (RM) 2 - New Orleans (1 - 65-2279) (1 - 134-1171) SMC/pd accend to \$\text{ADO-ISD-Martin}(inf)\) (8) 2-22-72 HAM/Rep	
		OFFICE
	B 28 1972 Sent MSPROCES MSPROCES	A Park



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE


FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

In Reply, Please Refer to File No.

New Orleans, Louisiana February 17, 1972

DANIEL ELLSBERG

During period June 13-15, 1971, "The New York Times," a daily newspaper published in New York City, published the socalled "Pentagon papers." Daniel Ellsberg has since publicly admitted being responsible for making the documents available to the news media in the United States. Anthony Joseph Russo, Jr., and Daniel Ellsberg are currently under indictment for violation of Title 18, Section 371, United States Code (Conspiracy); Title 18, Section 641, United States Code (Stealing, Concealing, Unauthorized Conveying and Receiving Stolen Government Property); and Title 18, Sections 793 (c), (d) and (e), United States Code (Espionage Act). Investigation has indicated that Daniel Ellsberg may have had help in reproducing and distributing these documents to various rewspapers throughout the country. Investigation is being conducted to determine if Spencer B. Marx, brother-in-law of Daniel Ellsberg, may have assisted Ellsberg in the release of the "Pentagon papers" to the news media.



63-74060-3161

ENCLOSURE

好	
1	100
1	1
1	
37	

nit the	following in	(Type in plaintext or code)
No.	AIRTEL	AIR MAIL
11	hat the section of the section of	(Priority)
	TO:	DIRECTOR, FBI (65-74060)
	FROM:	SAC, LOUISVILLE (65-933) (RUC)
	SUBJECT!	MC LEK
	SOBSECT	SIO TEN
No.		
2	2/10/72 1	Re Bureau airtel to San Francisco, dated
	2/10/72,	Louisville airtel and LHM to Bureau, dated San Francisco airtel to Bureau, dated 2/2/72,
	Louisville	e report of dated 11/18/71, "DANIEL ELLSBERG, ESPIONAGE - X" (Louisville
	file 65-93	
		Enclosed for the Bureau are six (6) copies
	of an LHM	captioned "DANIEL ELLSBERG, ESPIONAGE - X".
0.636	is or is	Investigation set forth in enclosed LHM has
	been previ	iously reported in referenced Louisville report, 5
	conducted	in connection with captioned matter inasmuch
c	during the	e pertinent period, was previously identified
	and approp	oriate background data ascertained.
		It is further noted that information contained
	airtel and	ed LHM was previously Symmitted by referenced LHM, dated 2/10/72 at Louisville, Ky., however,
۸۵	said LHM	contained introductory paragraph per instructions
1d	12610D	by San Francisco airtel to Bureau, dated 2/2/72, 2/H OWNEREC 25 6 5-74060-316 2 (Enc. 6) FRED SUMER REC 25 6 5-74060-316 2
12		(Enc. 6) F(RM) (INFO) 65-74060-3162
De lui	Los A	ngeles (Enc. 1) (INFO) (RM)
20.0	2 - Louisy	ville 200 encl ASO 150 Martin (info & FEB 18 1972
-	(1 - 6	55-933) d'ad-12 Hyllseg -
	EVA/vra (8)	Mr mano
		BALLOFF
Approv	. 1	WTW Sent M Per C VILLY



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

In Reply, Please Refer to File No.

Louisville, Kentucky February 15, 1972

DANIEL ELLSBERG ESPIONAGE - X

During period June 13-15, 1971, "The New York Times," a daily newspaper published in New York City, published the so-called "Pentagon papers." Daniel Ellsberg has since publicly admitted being responsible for making the documents available to the news media in the United States. Anthony Joseph Russo, Jr., and Daniel Ellsberg are currently under indictment for violation of Title 18, Section 371, United States Code (Conspiracy); Title 18, Section 641, United States Code (Stealing, Concealing, Unauthorized Conveying and Receiving Stolen Government Property); and Title 18, Sections 793 (c), (d) and (e), United States Code (Espionage Act). Investigation has indicated that Daniel Ellsberg may have had help in reproducing and distributing these documents to various newspapers throughout the country. Investigation is beig conducted to determine if Spencer B. Marx, brother-in-law of Daniel Ellsberg, may have assisted Ellsberg in the release of the "Pentagon papers" to the news media.



This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

63-74060-3162

DATE: 2/9 /72 DIRECTOR, FBI (105-127934) SAC, BOSTON (105-6225) (C) SUBJECT: Enclosed for the Eureau are nine copies of an LHM dated and captioned as above; two additional copies each have been designated for Legat Ottawa and the New York Division; one information copy each is being furnished to Los Angeles, San Francisco, WFO and Legat Hong Kong in view of past and/or possible future investigation. APPROPRIATE AGENCIES AND FIELD OFFICES (8) - Bureau (Enc. 11) ATT INFORMATION CONTAINED Classified by 6080 Lestrap 2-105-127934 HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED 1-105-214716 Exempt from GDS, Cate EXCEPT WHERE SHOWN 1-65-74060 Date of Declassification Inde OTHERWISE. LI-1-105-103656 5-16-7 (1-105-4168) (1-105-3837) 2-Legat1 - New York (105-103056) (RM) (Enc. 2) - San Francisco (105-16711) (Info) (Enc.2 1 - WFO (Info) (Enc. 2) 192 FEB 18 1972 - Los Angeles (Info) (Enc. 2) Boston 1-105-6225 1-65-5236 (MC LEK 1-105-1909 ENCLOSURE Bonds Regularly on the Payroll Savings Plan

For information of the Bureau and receiving offices, subject was also under investigation in case captioned MC LEK, Bureau file 65-74060, Boston file 65-5236, in attempt to establish any association between Dr. DANIEL ELLSBERG and inasmuch as was Consultant at the Rang Corporation, Santa Monica, California during the summers of 1965 through 1968, a period of time when ELLSBERG was also there. During the course of MC LEK investigation, information was developed that

Mass. residence of auring the period June 1, through July 6, 1971. The "St. Louis Post Dispatch" printed excerpts from the so-called "Pentagon Papers" on various dates between 6/23 and 7/6/71.

It is to be noted that of the SLPDWD was a US Delegate to a Canadian Press Seminar held by CNCP in Montreal, Canada, 11/7/70, and had an established relationship with In Boston report of captioned DANIEL ELLSBERG, ESP - X dated 12/3/71, the Boston Division advised that by separate LHM it will report results of investigation pertaining to recipient since it

with this case. As a result, information recontained in case captioned MC LEK has been incorporated with suitable peraphrasing into instant LHM.

Since it has been established through investigation in the Boston Division that is presently residing in Toronto, Ontario, Canada for a unspecified time and is associated with York University, the Boston Division will conduct no further investigation at this time and case is being closed, UACB.

Identities of source utilized in instant
LHM have been set forth on Non-Symbol Informant Page.



In Reply, Please Refer to File No.

TED STATES DEPARTMENT OF USTICE

Boston, Massachusetts February 9, 1972

Title DANIEL TRETIAK

Character INTERNAL SECURITY - CHINESE
Reference Boston memoranda dated and captioned as above.

All sources (except any listed below) whose identities are concealed in referenced communication have furnished reliable information in the past.

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is leaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

4	FBI Date: 2/16/72	
Tran	AIRTEL AIRMAIL (Priority)	ESM/CRM
	TO: DIRECTOR, FBI FROM: SAC, ALBANY (65-2913) (P) SUBJECT: MC LEK - SIO (00: LA) Re Boston airtel to Bureau, 12/9/71, Bureau airtel to Albany, 1/18/72.	A survived and
	109 6101) (2) Bureau 2 - Boston (65-5326) (RM) 2 - Los Angeles (105-27952) (RM) 2 - New York (65-25641) (RM) 2 - WFO (65-11613) (RM) 2 - Albany GAP: pms (12)	0-3/64 18/1972

Approved:

Special Agent in Charge

59 FEB 28 1972

nsmit tl	he following in	N. C. State of the	A STATE OF THE STA		. The second of the second	
Α.	TRTEL	the state of the s	-(Type in plain			A VOLUME
70	多 产生。			(Priority)	Town of the control of	
A. A.	TO:	DIRECTOR,	FBI (65-740	060)		
		0.10 0.100	n (OVID / C.E. 222	/3/ _DIIC_		
	FROM:		MOND (65-227	3) - 100-	MCod	(1)
	CAND THOSE	Ocong Mc LEK		ter de la	Wagod	
. 2	SCRUECTY	SIO				
10 m	in and	D - C - P-	agratica escribe Ma	ol to the I	Surpay deted	e de la secola
	2/2/72,	end Bureau	ancisco airt airtel to Sa	en Francisco	dated 2/10,	/72. D
			The second secon	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	The state of the s	
2		Enclosed	herewith for	the San Fr	ancisco Off	ice /21 05
N.	and LHM	opy of Rich dated 10/19	herewith for mond airtel 0/71, both ca	to the Bure	eau dated 10	1.7/1-5 3
	is one c and LHM ESPIONAG	opy of Rich dated 10/19 E - X".	mond airtel 0/71, both ca	to the Bure	eau dated 10 DANIEL ELLSB	ERG, 3
	and LHM ESPIONAG	opy of Rich dated 10/19 E - X". Informati	mond airtel 0/71, both co on concernia	to the Bure aptioned, "I appear to the Bure appear	eau dated 10 DANIEL ELLSB er to teleph l and submit	erg, i
	mumber to the B	opy of Rich dated 10/19 E - X". Information of same dated at the control	on concerning was previous case by a ce, captioned	to the Bure aptioned, "I aptioned, "I appear to be a subscribe as a subscribe airtel dated at a "DANIEL EI	eau dated 10 DANIEL ELLSB er to teleph l and submit 1 10/19/71, LLSBERG, ESP	erg, some ted
	mumber to the B and LHM	opy of Rich dated 10/19 E - X". Information of same date cordingly,	on concerning was previous case by a ce, captioned no LHM is be	to the Bure aptioned, "I aptioned, "I appear to be a subscribe as a subscribe airtel dated at a "DANIEL EI	eau dated 10 DANIEL ELLSB er to teleph l and submit 1 10/19/71, LLSBERG, ESP	erg, a one ted
****	mumber to the B and LHM X." Ac request	opy of Rich dated 10/19 E - X". Information of same date cordingly, by San Francone Copy	on concerning was previous case by a captioned no LHM is beneficiated.	to the Bure aptioned, "I aptioned, "I appear to be appeared by handled airtel dated airtel baniel Eleing submitted and LHM of the appear to be appeared by the	eau dated 10, DANIEL ELLSB er to teleph l and submit d 10/19/71, LLSBERG, ESP ted on recen	one ted TONAGE- t
	and LHM ESPIONAG mumber to the B and LHM X." Ac request	opy of Rich dated 10/19 E - X". Information of same date cordingly, by San Francone copy for complete	on concerning was previous case by a ce, captioned no LHM is beneficiated.	to the Bure aptioned, "I aptioned, "I appear to be appeared by handled airtel dated in "DANIEL Eleing submitted and LHM of San Francis	er to telepher to telepher to telepher to telepher and submited 10/19/71, LLSBERG, ESPeed on recent of 10/19/71, seco Office f	one ted IONAGE- t are ile
	and LHM ESPIONAG mumber to the B and LHM X." Ac request	opy of Rich dated 10/19 E - X". Information of same date cordingly, by San Francone Copy	on concerning was previous case by a ce, captioned no LHM is beneficiated.	to the Bure aptioned, "I aptioned, "I appear to be appeared by handled airtel dated in "DANIEL Eleing submitted and LHM of San Francis	er to telepher to telepher to telepher to telepher and submited 10/19/71, LLSBERG, ESPeed on recent of 10/19/71, seconfice f	one ted IONAGE- t are ile
	enclosed concerning to the band LHM and LHM and LHM are request	opy of Rich dated 10/19 E - X". Information of same date cordingly, by San France on Copy for complete the complete the cordingly one copy for complete the comp	on concerning was previous case by a captioned no LHM is beneficiated. of the airtection of the caption of the airtection of the caption of	to the Bure aptioned, "I aptioned, "I appropriate the subscribe airted dated in BANIEL Eleing submitted and LIM (San Francis 15-74)	er to telepher to telepher to telepher to telepher and submited 10/19/71, LSBERG, ESPected on recent of 10/19/71, sco Office for 10/19/71, sco Off	erg, some ted TONAGE- t are ile
	enclosed concernications of the band LHM X." Ac request 2 - Los 2 - San 1 - Rich	opy of Rich dated 10/19 E - X". Information of same date cordingly, by San France on Copy for complete the complete the cordingly one copy for complete the comp	on concerning was previous case by a captioned no LHM is bencisco. of the airtection of the REC 25	to the Bure aptioned, "I aptioned, "I appropriate the subscribe airted dated in BANIEL Eleing submitted and LIM (San Francis 15-74)	er to telepher to telepher to telepher to telepher and submited 10/19/71, LLSBERG, ESPeed on recent of 10/19/71, seconfice f	erg, some ted TONAGE- t are ile
	enclosed concernications of the Band LHM X." Ac request 2 - Los 2 - San	opy of Rich dated 10/19 E - X". Information of same date cordingly, by San Francisco (10 Francisco	on concerning was previous case by a captioned no LHM is beneficiated. of the airtection of the caption of the airtection of the caption of	to the Bure aptioned, "I aptioned, "I appropriate the subscribe airted dated in BANIEL Eleing submitted and LIM (San Francis 15-74)	er to telepher to telepher to telepher to telepher and submited 10/19/71, LSBERG, ESPected on recent of 10/19/71, sco Office for 10/19/71, sco Off	one ted IONAGE- t are ile
	enclosed concernications of the band LHM X." Ac request 2 - Los 2 - San 1 - Rich	opy of Rich dated 10/19 E - X". Information of same date cordingly, by San Francisco (10 Francisco	on concerning was previous case by a captioned no LHM is beneficiated. of the airtection of the caption of the airtection of the caption of	to the Bure aptioned, "I aptioned, "I appropriate the subscribe airted dated in BANIEL Eleing submitted and LIM (San Francis 15-74)	er to telepher to telepher to telepher to telepher and submited 10/19/71, LSBERG, ESPected on recent of 10/19/71, sco Office for 10/19/71, sco Off	erg, some ted TONAGE- t are ile

RH 65-2273

Bureau and Los Angeles were previously in receipt of the airtel and LHM of 10/19/71.

To:

SAC, Boston (65-5236)

From:

Director, FBI (65-74060)

MC LEK 810-


ReBuairtels 2/17/72, 2/18/72 and 2/22/72 instructed Boston to initiate investigation of

Bufiles indicate above individuals reportedly

esided at residence of

various times during the

period April-November, 1971.



Bufiles reveal Boston presently has lead from in effort to establish positive New Haven to contact This lead is identification for is presently in Europe and not pending inasmuch as expected to return until the latter part of February, 1972.

Nr. Tolson	Bure with the thoroughly	eau desires trespect to ou	tstanding 1	New Haven	lead, that	No. of the last of
		""	the other	4 md t w t due 1	mentione	d above
Mr. Mohr	concerning	and	the other	THUIATURA		7
fr. Bishop	_	,	DEC CO	1 5 14	060-	316X
Mr. Miller, E.S Mr. Callahan	1 - Baltimore	(65_3896)	, REC-60-	100		
Mr. Casper	1 - Baltimore	(03-3000)	MAILED 3	. ,		
Mr. Conrad	I - New Haven	(65-2389)				The state of
Mr. Dalbey	- Los Angel	es (105 -2 795	2) 9 9 1072	40	FEB 24 1972	****
Mr. Cleveland	T TOO // CE 1	1619)	0 4 0 1314	7-3.	LED WA	J
Mr. Ponder	I - WFO/(65-1)	1019)				1
Mr. Been A	TD 9 5 1079 /		(·FB(· ·		NAME OF TAXABLE PARTY.	- O4, "
Mr. Neilmat	= B & DAJI			A Section	1.15	110
Mr. Walters	- 36/ 1 COX					~ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \
Tele. Room	IRH!bjp 6 以 VO		*	The state of the s	* 1 % 1 C	J
Miss Holmes			7	SEE NO	TE PAGE TH	REE
Mine Condy	- 642 L ROOM I	TELETYPE UNIT	- Contract to the second	DAD NO.		

Airtel to Boston RE: MC LEK 65-74060

should be thoroughly questioned concerning background of these individuals and especially concerning their activities during the period March-July, 1971. Determine whether personally acquainted with Anthony J. Busso. Ir. and ascertain, if possible, identity of individual at residence who was telephonically contacted by Russo on 8/1/71 and 7/31/71. Also determine whether is personally acquainted with Neil Sheehan and whether he is aware of any connection between Sheehan and the aforementioned individuals who resided at residence.

with on 12/13/71 wherein observed that numerous telephone calls charged to his home telephone number had been made to individuals he did not know, as a result of which he assumed these calls had been made by either specifically noted one such call had been made to a at Princeton, New Jersey, charges for which had been in the vicinity of \$10.

Since telephone bills are rendered on a monthly basis and do not identify subscribers to long-distance numbers called during the period, it would appear that prior to submitting payment for such bills that discussed charges for calls not made by him either with and in this manner acquired his information concerning the call made to Boston should tactfully determine from if he did, in fact, discuss these toll calls with and determine whether he is aware of identity of the individuals who received long-distance calls from during the period the latter two individuals were residing at Ascertain from identity of person or persons who reimbursed him for these toll calls made from his residence and determine whether he has in his possession any notes or copies

Boston airtel 1/5/72 refers to as also having utilized residence, together with Bureau desires this information be clarified by determining from whether actually resided at residence during period April-November, 1971, or whether simply visited on various occasions during this period.

of pertinent telephone bills which definitely identify persons

thus called and/or individuals who made such calls.

Airtel to Boston RE: MC LEK 65-74060

During interview of Boston should be alert for any information indicating possibility that Daniel Ellsberg may have utilized residence as a hideout during period 6/13-28/71.

Interview of should be handled as soon as possible following his return to the United States and results thereof furnished Bureau and interested offices by summary teletype and thereafter incorporated in next investigative report submitted by your office. Logical leads arising from information furnished by should, of course, be set out by teletype and auxiliary offices instructed to report results of investigation in same manner, to be followed by prompt submission of investigative report.

NOTE:

Bureau recently developed three suspects, including in the clandestine dissemination of the "Pentagon papers" to various United States newspapers after 'The New York Times" initially published article on 6/13/71 based on these documents. Investigation has established resided at Boston during period April-November, 1971, and that residence of and number of other individuals also lived at this address at various times during this period. has furnished some information concerning and the other individuals who stayed but at the time he was interviewed these individuals did not appear to be of further interest to this investigation and he was, therefore, not elesely questioned concerning them. Thorough interview of regarding these individuals is now most desirable and appropriate instructions who is in for same are therefore being issued charge of the also teaches at Yale University, New Haven. He was previously interviewed on 12/13/71 and 1/4/72, and was extremely cooperative on these occasions.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE


FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Albuquerque, New Mexico February 16, 1972

In Reply, Please Refer to File No.

SPENCER B. MARX

During period June 13-15, 1971, "The New York Times," a daily newspaper published in New York City, published the so-called "Pentagon papers." Daniel Ellsberg has since publicly admitted being responsible for making the documents available to the news media in the United States. Anthony Joseph Russo, Jr., and Daniel Ellsberg are currently under indictment for violation of Title 18, Section 371, United States Code (Conspiracy): Title 18, Section 641, United States Code (Stealing, Concealing, Unauthorized Conveying and Receiving Stolen Government Property): and Title 18, Sections 793 (c), (d) and (e), United States Code (Espionage Act). Investigation has indicated that Daniel Ellsberg may have had help in reproducing and distributing these documents to various newspapers throughout the country. Investigation is being conducted to determine if Spencer B. Marx, brother-in-law of Daniel Ellsberg, may have assisted Ellsberg in the release of the "Pentagon papers" to the news media.



This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency: it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

65-74060-3169

1 - Mr. A. Rosen 1 - Mr. J. P. Mohr 1 - Mr. T. E. Bishop 1 - Mr. D. J. Dalbey


February 16, 1972

BY LIAISON

Honorable H. R. Haldeman Assistant to the President The White House Washington, D. C. 1 - Mr. E. S. Miller 1 - Mr. C. D. Brennan 1 - Mr. J. R. Wagoner

Dear Mr. Haldeman:

Weekly summaries covering our continuing investigation relating to Daniel Ellsberg and the publication of
material concerning the United States-Vietnam relations
during the period 1945-1967 have been furnished to you in
the past. Copies of these summaries have also been furnished
to the Honorable Egil Krogh, Jr., Deputy Assistant to the
President for Domestic Affairs, and to the Attorney General.



Our investigation of Daniel Ellsberg and a codefendant, Anthony J. Russo, Jr., relative to their illegal possession and disclosure of classified information at Los Angeles has successfully resulted in their indictment, with trial now scheduled for early May, 1972. Our activity

62-98194-(1) - 65-74060 (MC LEK) JRW: bjp (10) 61FEB241972 SEE NOTE PAGE TWO
65-74060NOT RECORDED
191 15 17 172

Honorable H. R. Haldeman in this area currently involves the detailed analysis and study of evidence, and the organization of such evidence for presentation by Departmental attorneys at the forthcoming trial. Alleged violations by Ellsberg in the Boston, Massachusetts, area involving his illegal dissemination of the "Pentagon papers" to elements of the news media continue to be the subject of Federal Grand Jury interest and Bureau investigative attention. Action by the Federal Grand Jury at Boston has been effectively estopped by motions now pending before the United States District Court of Appeals and before the United States Supreme Court. These motions have been filed by Senator Mike Gravel of Alaska and other individuals who have been subpoensed before the Federal Grand Jury at Boston. As a result of the above, this Bureau will discontinue furnishing the weekly summary memorandum of developments in the Ellsberg matter. When the trial of Ellsberg commences in May, 1972, and the Federal Grand Jury proceedings at Boston are allowed to resume, pertinent information will be furnished to you upon its receipt. The above information is also being furnished to the Honorable Egil Krogh, Jr., Deputy Assistant to the President for Domestic Affairs, and to the Attorney General. Sincerely yours, See cover memorandum C. D. Brennan to E. S. Miller. dated 2/15/72, captioned "Unauthorized Disclosures of Classified Information to the Press." prepared by JRW: bjp.

1 - Mr. A. Rosen 1 - Mr. J. P. Mohr 1 - Mr. T. E. Bishop 1 - Mr. D. J. Dalbey

February 16, 1972

BY LIAISON


Honorable Egil Krogh, Jr.
Deputy Assistant to the President
for Domestic Affairs
The White House
Washington, D. C.

1 - Mr. E. S. Miller 1 - Mr. C. D. Brennan

1 - Mr. J. R. Wagoner

Dear Mr. Krogh:

Weekly summaries covering our continuing investivation relating to Daniel Ellsberg and the publication of material concerning the United States-Vietnam relations during the period 1945-1967 have been furnished to you in the past. Copies of these summaries have also been furnished to the Honorable H. R. Haldeman, Assistant to the President, and to the Attorney General. From time to time these



Our investigation of Daniel Ellsberg and a codefendant, Anthony J. Russo, Jr., relative to their illegal possession and disclosure of classified information at Los Angeles has successfully resulted in their indictment, with trial now scheduled for early May, 1972. Our activity

62-98194 (1)- 65-74060 (MC LEK) JRW: bjp (10)

RECORDED; SEE NOTE PAGE TWO

61FEB241972

167 FEB 18 1972

CREATING PLACED EN

Honorable Egil Krogh, Jr.

in this area currently involves the detailed analysis and study of evidence, and the organization of such evidence for presentation by Departmental attorneys at the forthcoming trial.

Alleged violations by Ellsberg in the Boston, Massachusetts, area involving his illegal dissemination of the "Pentagon papers" to elements of the news media continue to be the subject of Federal Grand Jury interest and Bureau investigative attention. Action by the Federal Grand Jury at Boston has been effectively estopped by motions now pending before the United States District Court of Appeals and before the United States Supreme Court. These motions have been filed by Senator Mike Gravel of Alaska and other individuals who have been subpoenaed before the Federal Grand Jury at Boston.

As a result of the above, this Bureau will discontinue furnishing the weekly summary memorandum of developments in the Ellsberg matter. When the trial of Ellsberg commences in May, 1972, and the Federal Grand Jury proceedings at Boston are allowed to resume, pertinent information will be furnished to you upon its receipt.

The above information is also being furnished to the Honorable H. R. Haldeman, Assistant to the President, and to the Attorney General.

Sincerely yours,

NOTE:

See cover memorandum C. D. Brennan to E. S. Miller, dated 2/15/72, captioned "Unauthorized Disclosures of Classified Information to the Press," prepared by JRW:bjp.

Airtel

To: SAC, Charlotte (65-1767)

2/25/72

From: Director, FBI (65-74060)

1 - Mr. Morgan

MC LEK SIO

ReCEairtel and LHW 2/11/72.

Dissemination of referenced LHM being withheld at this time. Page three, first full paragraph of referenced LHM, sets forth information concerning and Information in present form fails to reflect pertinency or relevance to this investigation. Review of Bureau files fails to show any relationship between above two individuals and subscriber to telephone called by Spencer B. Marx.

You should submit amended page three for referenced LHM either eliminating first full paragraph or including data showing relationship between and two above-mentioned individuals along with characterization of the would make reading of LHM more meaningful.

Expedite.

1 - Los Angeles (105-27952) ED 11 - FEB 2 4 1972 (6)

Charlotte submitted airtel and LHM, 2/11/72, showing subscriber to telephone called by Spencer B. Marx from Big Sur, California, on two occasions, 6/71.71. Also set forth was information on page three of LHM which has no relevance to current investigations unless Charlotte has information showing relationship between two persons mentioned, one of whom is

persons mentioned, one of whom is
and subscriber to telephone called by Marx. Instructions issued
to amend LHM by eliminating irrelevant data or adding
to amend LHM by eliminating irrelevant data or adding
information which would show pertinence of data submitted by
Charlotte.

Wr. Bishop ______

Wr. Bishop ______

Wr. Calleban ______

Wr. Calleban ______

Am

Mr. Casper ... Mr. Courad ... Mr. Dalbey ... Mr. Cleveland



FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

In Reply, Please Refer to File No.

Charlotte, North Carolina February 11, 1972

DANIEL ELLSBERG; ANTHONY J. RUSSO, JR. CONSPIRACY; THEFT OF GOVERNMENT PROPERTY; ESPIONAGE

During the period June 13-15, 1971, "The New York Times," a daily newspaper published in New York City, published the so-called "Pentagon papers." DANIEL ELLSBERG has since publicly admitted being responsible for making the documents available to the news media in the United States. Anthony Joseph Russo, Jr., and Daniel Ellsberg are currently under indictment for violation of Title 18, Section 371, United States Code (Conspiracy); Title 18, Section 641, United States Code (Stealing, Concealing, Unauthorized Conveying and Receiving Stolen Government Property); and Title 18, Sections 793 (c), (d), and (e), United States Code (Espionage Act). Investigation has indicated that DANIEL ELLSBERG may have had help in reproducing and distributing these documents to various newspapers throughout the country. Investigation is being conducted to determine if SPENCER B. MARX, brother-in-law of DANIEL ELLSBERG, may have assisted ELLSBERG in the release of the "Pentagon papers" to the news media.



ITS CONTENTS ARE NOT TO BE DISTRIBUTED OUTSIDE YOUR AGENCY.

65-74060-3170

ENCLOSURE



In Reply, Please Refer to File No.

UNDED STATES DEPARTMENT OF STICE

Charlotte, North Carolina February 11, 1972

Title

DANIEL ELLSBERG:

ANTHONY J. RUSSO, JR.

Character

CONSPIRACY: THEFT OF GOVERNMENT

PROPERTY: ESPIONAGE

Reference

Memorandum at Charlotte, North Carolina, dated February 11, 1972,

captioned as above.

All sources (except any listed below) whose identities are concealed in referenced communication have furnished reliable information in the past.

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusio is of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION COMMUNICATIONS SECTION

FEB 2 8 1973 DCW TELETYPE

NRØØ5 BA PLAIN

145 PM IMMEDIATE 2-23-72 VLH

TO DIRECTOR (65-74060)

BOSTON (65-5236) -

LOS ANGELES (105-27952) -

SAN FRANCISCO

PHOENIX

OKLAHOMA CITY

CLEVELAND

WASHINGTON FIELD OFFICE (65-11613) -

FROM BALTIMORE (65-3896) (P) 8P

MC LEK, SIO

1 - 71/0/10- - 31

END PAGE ONE

REC-35 6 5-74060- -317

FEB 25 1972


5- 1

ec ISD

78.4:68 1-

Mr. Ponder
Mr. Bates
Mr. Walkart
Mi. Walters
Mi. Soyars
Tele. Room
Miss Holmes
Miss Gandy

Mr. Conred ____ Mr. Dalbey ___ Mr. Cleveland




ADMINISTRATIVE:

RE BUREAU AIRTELS TO BALTIMORE, FEB SEVENTEEN AND EIGHTEEN, SEVENTY

FOR INFORMATION OF RECEIPIENTS NOT IN RECEIPT OF REFERENCES, THE
BUREAU HAS INSTRUCTED THAT INTENSE INVESTIGATION BE CONDUCTED TO DETERMINE FURTHER BACKGROUND DATA RELATIVE TO AND INVESTIGATION BE
CONDUCTED TO DETERMINE ASSOCIATES OF AND ACTIVITIES

DURING THE PERIOD FROM MARCH TO JUNE, SEVENTY ONE. HAS BEEN
REPORTED TO BE A CLOSE ASSOCIATE OF DOCTOR DANIEL ELLSBERG

END PAGE FIVE



ALSO AMONG THOSE PRESENT AT EDITING SESSION IN BOSTON, MASS.

IN COMPANY OF ELLSBERG AND IS REPORTED TO BE THE INTERMEDIARY BETWEEN ELLSBERG AND PUBLISHING COMPANY.



END PAGE SIX

CODE

TO: SACS, BALTIMORE (65-3896) WFO (65-11613)

DIRECTOR. FBI (65-74060)

MC LEK - SIO

REBATEL FEBRUARY TWENTY-THREE LAST.

RETEL NOTES REPORTEDLY EMPLOYED PROFESSOR, AMERICAN UNIVERSITY (AU), WASHINGTON, D. C. SINCE NINETEEN SIXTY-EIGHT

WFO SHOULD IMMEDIATELY DETERMINE WHETHER CURRENTLY EMPLOYED AT AU AS PROFESSOR, ADVISING BUREAU AND RECIPIENTS OF RESULTS BY TELETYPE. IN EVENT NO LONGER CONNECTED WITH AU, WFO ENDEAVOR TO DETERMINE

CURRENT EMPLOYMENT.

INVESTIGATION TO DATE HAS NOT LINKED TO DANIEL ELLSBERG AND ALTHOUGH

ASSOCIATE OF AVAILABLE INFORMATION DOES NOT

NOW INDICATE PARTICIPATED IN DISTRIBUTION OF 1 - BOSTON (65-5236)(BY MAIL) 1 - LOS, ANGELES (105-27952) (BY MAIL) JRH: bip

EE NOTE PAGE TWO

AIL ROOM [

TELETYPE TO BALTIMORE RE: MC LEK 65-74060

"PENTAGON PAPERS." IN VIEW OF THIS, RECIPIENTS SHOULD LIMIT INVESTIGATION OF TO RECORD CHECKS AND CONTACTS WITH LOGICAL INFORMANTS IN EFFORT TO FURTHER IDENTIFY HIM.

COPIES BY MAIL TO BOSTON AND LOS ANGELES.

NOTE:
Investigation of a suspect in the distribution of the "Pentagon papers" to various United States newspapers during June-July, 1971, has determined apparently Maryland, residence and Bureau airtel 2/18/72 instructed Baltimore to further identify and referenced Baltimore teletype reported information from Baltimore confidential source to effect that
While interview of concerning his knowledge of appears desirable once is further identified, overt investigation of own activities does not appear necessary unless additional data concerning him is not available through record checks. We will not consider interview of until latter is satisfactorily identified and recommendations of office covering his residence have been obtained and evaluated.

FRI

Date: 2/18/72

•		1. T. C.
	Insmit the following in(Type in plaintext or code)	
Via	(Priority)	
	TO : DIRECTOR, FBI (65-74060) ATTENTION: VOUCHER-STATISTICAL SECTION	· / ·
	SUBJECT: MC LEK SIO	fore
, ,	Re San Francisco airtel 2/2/72.	
1	Enclosed for the Bureau are six copies, Los Angeles two copies, and for San Francisco one of an LHM captioned "DANIEL ELLSBERG, ESPIONAGE."	for copy
	Confidential source utilized was	
	LHM classified confidential to protect information from above confidential source, the unauthorized disclosure of which could be detriment to the national defense interests of this country.	ntal
	It is being left to the discretion of the office of origin or Bureau as to whether or not a direct approach will be made of to determ nature of his relationship with SPENCER MARX and/subject ELLSBERG.	ine
	Denver indices negative re	0.2
. 6.7	REC-35 6 5-7 \(0.60 - 3 \) 2 - Los Angeles (Enc. 2)(RM) (105-27952) 1 - San Francisco (Enc. 1)(Info)(RM) (65-6076)	12
	1 - Denver 2 - (and 15D - month (info) BDA/11d J-24-72 Hell Trep (8)	
	8 FEB 23 1972	-/-
4	Approved: Sent M Per M Per Special Agent in Charge	OFFICE
	MAD A Special Agent in Charge	* **



In Reply, Please Refer to File No.


UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Denver, Colorado February 18, 1972



DANIEL ELLSBERG ESPIONAGE

During period June 13-15, 1971, "The New York Times," a daily newspaper published in New York City. published the so-called "Pentagon papers." Daniel Ellsberg has since publicly admitted being responsible for making the documents available to the news media in the United States. Anthony Joseph Russo, Jr., and Daniel Ellsberg are currently under indictment for violation of Title 18, Section 371, U. S. Code (Conspiracy); Title 18, Section 641. U. S. Code (Stealing, Concealing, Unauthorized Conveying and Receiving Stolen Government Property); and Title 18, Sections 793(c), (d) and (e), U. S. Code (Espionage Act). Investigation has indicated that Daniel Ellsberg may have had help in reproducing and distributing these documents to various newspapers throughout the country. Investigation is being conducted to determine if Spencer B. Marx, brother-in-law of Daniel Ellsberg, may have assisted Ellsberg in the release of the "Pentagon papers" to the news media.



DECLASSIFIED BY 6080 SedITAF ON 5-16-77

APPROPRIATE AGENCIES

APPROPRIATE AGENCIES

AND VISED BY POUT IN CONTROL OF THE PROPERTY OF TH



1603173

Mr. Bishop Mr. Miller, ES Mr. Callahar Mr. Casper Mr. Conrad. ELTYPE Mr. Dalbey. Mr. Cleveland NR 021 NY CODE Mr. Ponder_ Mr. Bates. Mr. Walkart 33 2PM Mr. Walters. Mr. Soyars. DYRECTOR (65-74060) Tele. Room_ Miss Holmes. Miss Gandy. ATT : DOMESTIC INTELLIGENCE DIVISION BOSTON (65-5236) (NITEL) MINNEAPOLIS (65-1447) (NITEL) FROM NEW YORK (65-25641) MC LEK -SIO REBUTEL, FEBRUARY TWENTY THREE, SEVENTY TWO. ON FEBRUARY TWENTY FOUR . SEVENTY TWO, ADVISED ABOUT TWO WEEKS AGO HE MENTIONED TO A CBS NEWSCASTER, WHO WORKS IN CHICAGO, THAT HE WAS ATTEMPTING TO CONTACT DANIEL ELLSBERG. THIS NEWSCASTER, WHOM HE DECLINED TO IDENTIFY. ADVISED HIM THAT ELLSBERG WAS RECENTLY IN MINNEAPOLIS TO ATTEMD A MOCK TRIAL INVOLVING DUPONT OR

THE THREE M COMPANY FOR WAR CRIMES, BEING HELD BY A GROUP HEADED HE SUGGESTED TO SOURCE THAT

MIGHT BE ABLE TO PUT HIM IN CONTACT WITH ELLSBERG.

SOURCE CONTACTED

END PAGE ONE 51 MAR 1 1972

PAGE TWO

HAD LEFT MINNEAPOLIS. HE SUGGESTED THAT SOURCE CONTACT

HAD WORKED WITH AND TRAVELED WITH

DANIEL ELLSBERG. IT WAS AT THIS POINT THAT SOURCE CONTACTED

HE WOULD COMMUNICATE HIS

REQUEST TO ELLSBERG, AND THAT ELLSBERG WOULD TELEPHONICALLY
GET IN TOUCH WITH HIM. TO DATE, SOURCE HAS HEARD NOTHING


FROM DANIEL OR PATRICIA ELLSBERG .

AT MINNEAPOLIS

MAIL COPY SENT LOS ANGELES.

FND

MRF FBI WA DC



1248 AM 2-24-72

PM NITEL 2-23-72 BGW

TO DIRECOR

ATTN: DID (65-74060)

BOSTON (65-5236)

LOS ANGELES (105-27952)

WFO (65-11613)


FROM NEW YORK (65-25641)2P

Brentga.

TION

MC LEK -SIO.

RE NY AIRTEL AND LHM FEBRIARY TEN, SEVENTYTWO, AND BUAIRTEL FEBRUARY SEVENTEEN, SEVENTEEN, SEVENTYTWO.



ANY RELATIONSHIP THAT MIGHT EXIST BETWEEN

AND

- DANIEL ELLSBERG . HE NOTED THAT HE HAS HEARD THAT

MAY BE

ABOARD HE DOES NOT KNOW HIS CURRENT LOCATION. HE NOTED THAT AS BEEN A PERSONAL

ONE AND HE HAS HAD NO BUSINESS DEALINGS WITH THEM. HE ADVISED EB 28 1972

FOURTH LINE OF TEXT SHOULD READ

NOOS

PAGE TWO

THAT A CHECK OF FIRM RECORDS ESTABLISHED THAT THEY HAVE NEVER

STORED ANY MATERIAL FOR DANIEL OF PATRICIA ELLSBERG. HE AGAIN STATED

THAT HE PERSONNALLY KNOWS OF NO RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN

AND PANIEL ELLSBERG AND THAT HE KNOWS NOTHING ABOUT THE

DISSEMINATION OF THE SO CALLED PENTAGON PAPERS".

CONTINENTAL AMERICAN TRAVEL ON FEBRUARY TWENTYTHREE, SEVENTYTWO ESTABLISHED SHE IS OUT OF TOWN UNTIL EARLY NEXT WEEK. SHE WILL BE CONTACTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH BUREAU INSTRUCTIONS BY THE NYO UPON HER RETURN.

END

AKK FOR SIX PLS

REC 6 FBI WA RDR

HOLD

ON THAT LAST ONE LINE FIVE

E DISSEMINATION F THE SO

RE-P---RPT-THAT-LINE-PLS


CODE

TELETYPE

SAC. NEW YORK (65-25641)

FROM: DIRECTOR, FBI

MC LEK - SIO



BOSTON DEFER SUBMISSION OF LHM CONTAINING DATA REGARDING

KRONCKE, REQUESTED BY REFERENCED BUREAU TELETYPE, PENDING

RECEIPT OF DATA INDICATING KRONCKE BEING UTILIZED ON REGULAR

Basis as go-between by persons interested in contacting ellsberg. COPIES BY MAIL TO BOSTON, LOS ANGELES AND MINNEAPOLIS

- BOSTON (65-5236) (BY MAIL)

- LOS ANGELES (205-27952) (BY MAIL)

- MINNEAPOLIS (65-1447) (BY MAIL)

OFFICE MONTHES SECTION Classified by 6080 L

Exempt from CDS, (

Date of Declassification

NOTE: r. Felt r. Rosen

(7)

. Bishop r. Miller, E. . Callah

Caspe r. Dalbey

Cleveland

as Holme

Mr. Rosen. Mr. Mohr_ Mr. Bishop. Mr. Miller, ES Mr. Callahan. Mr. Casper. Mr. Cenrud. Mr. Dalb. .. Mr. Cleveland Mr. Fonder. Mr. Bates. **Ø26 LA CODE** Mr. Walkart Mr. Waliers. 809PM NITEL 2-24-72 GLD Mr. Soyars. Tele. Room. Miss Holmos. TO DIRECTOR (65 - 74060)ATTN: D.I.D. Miss Gandy. BALTIMORE (65-3896) (65 - 5236)BOSTON WASHINGTON FIELD (65-11613)FROM LOS ANGELES (105-27952) IP SIO . IN THE FUTURE NISSEN CONTEMPLATES ISSUING NEW TRIAL DATE AND HAS REQUESTED SUBPOENAES FOR THEY BE SERVED BY BUREAU AGENTS . BUREAU ADVISE IF THIS IS PERMISSIBL CASE RECEIVING CONTINUOUS AND PREFERRED ATTENTION. END

2/25/72

TO: SAC, LOS ANGELES (105-27952)

1 - Mr. C. D. Brennan 1 - Mr. J. R. Wagoner

1 - Mr. L. E. Belanger

FROM: DIRECTOR. FBI (65-74060)

MC LEK - SIC

RELATEL FEBRUARY TWENTY-FOUR LAST.

BUREAU AUTHORITY GRANTED FOR LOS ANGELES AGENTS TO

SERVE SUBPOENAS ON

YVONNE SUENCE

AS REQUESTED IN RETEL.

LEB:bjp & X

SMAY

. Bishop

Miller, E.S. Casper Dalbey Cleveland

NOTE: SIN AYAND SUEALE

will be Government witnesses against Daniel Ellsberg and Anthony Joseph Russo, Jr., in the upcoming trial in Los Angeles. Original trial date was 3/7/72, and in connection therewith. the Director on 1/4/72 approved Bureau Agents serving subpoenas on

Upon drawing up of a new indictment naming Russo as a codefendant, a new trial date was set for 5/9/72. Assistant to the United States Attorney David Nissen, Los Angeles, according to retel, small contemplates issuing a new trial date subpoenas for and requested they be served by Bureau Agents. Los Angeles requested

Bureau to advise if service by Bureau Agents was permissible. Authority

is being granted based upon Director's previous approval.

FEDERAL BISTAN OF INVESTIGATIONED

Washington, D. C. 20537

REPORT

DIVISION

YOUR FILE NO. FBI FILE NO. LATENT CASE NO 65-7406 38774

February 29, 1972

SAC. WYO

REFERENCE:

EXAMINATION REQUESTED BY:

SPECIMENS:

The fingerprints of the following individuals have been compared with all latent fingerprints reported in captioned case:

MAILED 2 FEB 2 9 1972

MAIL ROOM

Tele. Room

Gandy




Tolson Felt Los Angeles (105-27952) REC-14 5-740 Rosen Mohr Room 612, 9th & D Bishop Miller, E.S. Room 608, 9th & D Callahan Casper Conrad DEH: smg p Dalbey Cleveland Ponder (9)Bates . Waikart Walters ! Sovara

19 MAR 1 1972

REPORT IS FURNISHED FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

SAC, WFO



Forty-nine additional latent print identifications were effected as follows:

Fifteen latent prints identified as fingerprints of three
on Green Book #2; four on LA #1, V. B. 4,
Book I; two on LA #1, V. B. 3, Book IV;
and six on LA #1, V. B. 3, Book II

Four latent prints identified as fingerprints
of one on Green Book
#3; two on Green Book #4; and one on Black
Book #7

Thirty latent prints identified as fingerprints

of two on Green Book #1; twenty-one on Green Book #2; two on Green Book #3; three on Green Book #5; and two on Green Book #6

The remaining unidentified latent prints were compared, insofar as possible, with the fingerprints of

Page 2 LC #38774 (Continued on next page)

the aforementioned persons, but no additional identifications were effected.

One latent fingerprint developed on LA #2,

1V. C. 2(a) has been identified as a finger impression

of All other latent finger
prints developed on the documents, except those on the

Halperin Archive papers and the thirteen volumes from

Washington, D. C. delivered with airtel dated 1-4-72,

were compared with fingerprints of the papers and the dated 1-4-72,

were compared with fingerprints of the papers and the dated 1-4-72,

were compared with fingerprints of the papers and the dated 1-4-72,

were compared with fingerprints of the papers and the dated 1-4-72,

were compared with fingerprints of the papers and the dated 1-4-72,

were compared with fingerprints of the papers and the dated 1-4-72,

were compared with fingerprints of the papers and the dated 1-4-72,

were compared with fingerprints of the papers and the dated 1-4-72,

were compared with fingerprints of the papers and the dated 1-4-72,

were compared with fingerprints of the papers and the dated 1-4-72,

were compared with fingerprints of the papers and the dated 1-4-72,

were compared with fingerprints of the papers and the dated 1-4-72,

were compared with fingerprints of the papers and the dated 1-4-72,

were compared with fingerprints of the papers and the dated 1-4-72,

were compared with fingerprints of the papers and the papers and the papers and the dated 1-4-72,

were compared with fingerprints of the papers and the papers are the papers and the papers are the papers and the papers and the papers and the papers are the papers and the papers and the papers are the papers are the papers and the papers are the papers and the papers are the papers are the papers and the papers are the pa

Page 3 LC #38774



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF LUSTICE


FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Chicago, Illinois February 24, 1972

In Reply, Please Refer to File No. 65-5369

DANIEL MLLSBERG ESPIONAGE - X

During period June 13 - 15, 1971, "The New York Times", a daily newspaper published in New York City, published the so-called "Pentagon papers". Daniel Ellsberg has since publicly admitted being responsible for making the documents available to the news media in the United States. Anthony Joseph Russo, Jr., and Daniel Ellaborg, are currently under indictment for violation of Title 18, Section 37, United States Code (Conspinacy); Tatle 18, Section 641, United States Code (Stealing, Concealing, Unauthorized Conveying and Receiving Stolen Government Property); and Title 18, Sections 793 (c), (d), and (e), United States Code (Espionage Act). Investigation has indicated that Daniel Ellaborg may have had help in reproducing and distributing those documents to various newspapers throughout the country. Investigation is being conducted to determine if Spancer B. Marx, brother-in-law of Daniel Elluberg, may have assisted Ellsberg in the release of the "Pentagon papers" to the news media.



63-74000-3184

ENGLOSURE

	FBI FBI	Mr. Miller, ES
	Date: 2/11/72	Mr. Casper Mr. Conrad
-		Mr. Dalbey Mr. Cleveland
Tra	insmit the following in(Type in plaintext or code)	Mr. Ponder
ું" Via	AIRTEL	Mr. Waikart
10	The second second second second second (Priority) date to the second sec	Mr. Walters Mr. Soyars
		Yele. Room. Miss Holmes
1172	TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (65-74060) ATTN: LATENT FIN	
	FROM: SAC, WFO (65-11613)	DENT DIV.
23/4		
	MC LEK SIO	
12	The Latent Fingerprint Section, Identification	
	Division is requested to compare unidentified latent fir	ger-
1	prints developed on the 18 volumes of the Preliminary Vie Task Force Study (VTFS) couriered by DANIEL ELLSBERG to F	tnam
13	Corporation. Santa Monica. California, the 47 volume set	of
X	the VTFS and miscellaneous Rand, Santa Monica, documents fingerprints that may be on file for	with
X .	Tingerprints that may be on life for	
5		
6		美国 医毒素
4	Thas be	en 17
thu:	described as active in Peace Action Co	palitions
7	and in charge of ELLSBERG's speaking engagements. Invest conducted to date indicates possible association between	igation .
a.	predating publication of the Pen	agon
·	Papers. REC 21' 6 5-74060-3781	-
	LEAD 1 FEB 2	0 1000
	A: //	3 8/2
	THE BOSTON OFFICE	R P.
. 4.	At Revere, Massachusetts - contact established sources for additional identifying data on	and H
	furnish same to Latent Fingerprint Section, Identification	n Div,
* 7	for assistance in locating fingerprint record for this in	- +37.71
	2- Bureau SPE	CXXXX
	2 - Boston (65-5236) 2 - Los Angeles (105-27952) /CC Muller, E	5
, , , , ,	1 - New York (65-25641) (Info) 111.1	02-22-
* 1	1 - WFO CAR: teb	"SM'
	(8) 1 (O P) 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	H
2 2	Approved:M Per	
1 2	Special Agent in Charge	FICE: 1871-413-185
	的复数形式 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 10	1 2 E . CV

PD.	-36 (Rev. 5-22-64)	
-		
1.0		
OP	TEN NOTE OF THE PROPERTY OF TH	
27	Date: 2/25/72	
71	The state of the s	ė.
Tran	nsmit the following in	
TALL S	AIRTEL	
Via.	ATRIFIL (Priority)	
77	The second second second control of the second seco	
Ser		ti i
1	TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (65-74060) (Attn: DOMINTEL)	
* * *		: i,
	FROM SAC, BOSTON (65-5236)	
200	SUBJECT: MC LEK	
· in	SIO SIO	Ž.,
A ST	맛있다면 하는 것으로는 그 같은 그가 하셨는데 아이가 하셨다면 하는데 그렇게 함께 취해졌다.	-
		A. E.
1.5	Re Bureau airtel, 2/24/72; Bureau airtel to	
	Baltimore, Boston, and WFO, 2/10/72; and Boston teletype,	ik.
24		÷.
	Re Boston teletype reflects that, during a	
3.3	canvass of logical hotels in the Cambridge, Mass., area	
	concerning occupancy by any individuals connected with this case, it was determined that ANTHONY RUSSO,	•
A 18 3	272 Entrada Santa Monica. Calif., resided in Room 212	
7	the et the Shereton Commander Hotel. Cambridge, Mass., during the first	•
	the period 7/29/71 through 8/1/71. During that stay, RUSSO was billed for telephone calls on 7/31/71 and 8/1/71	
	to telephone number	i- ,, i-
1 7		.7
	NO Re Bureau airtel, 2/18/72, requested data	
	concerning connection of	,
	with this case. In this regard, above, stated during interview that he stays at his Boston	
4	apartment for only two days a week and added that	
3.5	SEC.21 1- 711060-3190	
- 1	(2)- Bureau (RM)	
-	1 - Los Angeles (105-27952) (info) (RM) (RM) (TE FEB 28 1972	
*	1 - New Haven (65-2389) (info) (RM)	5. 1.
+ 1	REB: mej	. ,
8	(D) modern A	3
	Partie of the state of the stat	
	Sentimental Company of the Company o	
~;	是一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个	
9	Approved: Special Agent in Charge Suns sovernment Apriling of Price; 1971-419-135	ř
-	KAMADI - 1077	÷.

is caretaker of his apartment who is present on a permanent basis. In noted that, although does not stay in apartment, he has a telephone in the apartment building which would be accessible to persons using apartment. For that reason, suggested that, if the Bureau planned to obtain the toll records for him they should also obtain the toll records for telephone since that telephone could also have been used by persons staying in apartment.

In that regard, it should be noted that telephone calls were made from telephone number in the becoment of on several occasions and a call was also made from telephone number to a number which appears to be identical with that number namely Based on that information, assumption that telephone could have been used by guests in his apartment with or without permission appears to be correct.

will be contacted for interview immediately upon his return to the Boston area which is assumed to be 2/28/72, at which time further background information regarding will be developed as well as other leads outstanding will be discussed with Upon conclusion of interview, a recommendation will be submitted regarding interview of

REPURT

of the

LATENT FINGERPRINT SECTION



YOUR FILE NO.
FBI FILE NO.
LATENT CASE [2]

65-11613 65-74060-2185 A-38774 February 22, 1972

TO: SAC, WEO

RE: MC LEX

REFERENCE:

Mrtel 2-11-72

EXAMINATION REQUESTED BY:

MIO

SPECIMENS:

No fineernrint record located of

of LA #1, couriered by Daniel Ellsberg, LA #2 through

MAILED 11 LA #22, and LA #32, have been compared, but no identification effected with the fingerprints of the following individuals:

FBI -

Tolson	
Felt	2 - Los Angeles (105-27952)
Mohr	2 - Los Angeles (105-27952) Room 608, 9th 6-60 . 50 6 6 6 7
Miller, E.S.	1 - Room 612, 9th a D
Callahan	Con
Conred Dalbey	(DEH: smg pmg
Cleveland	(9) John Edga Hower, Director
54MAR	6 1972 (m) 1972
Walters	

THIS REPORT IS FURNISHED FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

MAIL ROOM TELETYPE UNIT

20/	
14-	
3	

2/24/72 Date:

Transmit the following in

(Type in plaintext or code)

AIRTEL

(Priority)

TO:

DIRECTOR, FBI (65-74060)

(ATT: DID)

FROM:

SAC, NEW YORK (65-25641)

SUBJECT:

MC LEK - SIO

Re Boston airtel to Bureau, 2/4/72.

Regirtel requested NYO to review its indices concerning who, as of 9/20/67, was at the Union Theological Seminary, NYC. Wil.

Files of NYO contain information indicating that on 10/17/67 the US Department of Justice had received a communication signed by certain faculty members of the Union Theological Seminary, NYC, supporting the action of certain students attending the Seminary who had turned in their draft cards on 10/16/67 in protest against US involvement in Vietnam. Among those who signed this communication was

On 3/10/65, "The Washington Post" newspaper contained an advertisement sponsored by the National Committee for the Repeal of the McCarren Act, which listed some 300 people who called upon the "Executive and Legislative representatives to reassess an Act which, borne in panic and productive of fear, adds nothing to our security and diminishes the honor of the democracy" One of those listed in the advertisement was Union Theological Seminary.


REC-21 2/Bureau (RM) 63-74060-8192 1-Boston (65-5236) (RM) 1-Los Angeles (105-27952) 1-New York **57:113**

TJD:EG

FEB 26 1972

Approved:

SPEE .




The indicies of the Boston Office contain to information identifiable with

LEAD

The Boston Office recommends that since there is no derogatory information pertaining to and the telephone contact reported above was of duration, he should be interviewed concerning his association with DANIEL ELLSBERG and possibly the distribution of the Pentagon Papers provided the indicies of the New York Office contain no derogatory information regarding

In connection with the Union Theological Seminary, it is recalled that of New York City, who has been associated with DANIEL ELLSBERG, also attended Union Theological Seminary.



Braited to DS. 2/29/12.

3

16

FORTAL E DIE CE INVESTIGA COMMUNICATIONS SECTION

> **n***... 1 1972

TELETYPE

TO DIRECTOR (65-74060)ATTN: D I D

BOSTON (65-5236)

10:30PM NITEL 2-29-72 JCD

FROM LOS ANGELES (105-27952)


MC LEK ./SIO .

NR Ø21 LA CODE

Mr. Miller, ES Mr. Callahan Mr. Casper. Mr. Daibey. Mr. Cleveland Mr. Ponder. Mr. Waikart Mr. Walters. Mr. Soyars. Miss Holmes Miss Gandy.

Mr. Rosen Mr. Mohr.

Mr Bishop



ADMINISTRATIVE. REFERENCE LOS ANGELES TELETYPE FEBRUARY TWENTY EIGHT LAST.

DEPARTMENTAL ATTORNEY DAVID R'. NISSEN ADVISED FEBRUARY TWENTY WINE INSTANT DEFENSE MOTIONS CHALLENGING JURY Y SELECTION SYSTEM DENIED THIS DATE BY FERERAL JUDGE MATTHEW BYRNE. DEFENSE DURING HEARINGS REQUESTED VALL PAST GRAND JURY TRANSCRIPTS PERTAINING TO INSTANT MATTER BE MADE AVAILABLE TO THEM, POINTING OUT THEY BELIEVE THOROUGH REVIEW COULD FURNISH BASIS FOR 4 MAR 3 1972

PAGE TWO

DIMISSAL OF CHARGES AGAINST ANTHONY JOSEPH RUSSO, JR. JUDGE
BYRNE ORDERED ALL SUCH TRANSCRIPTS BE PROVIDED HIM FOR IN CAMERA
REVIEW PRIOR TO MAKING A RULING ON THIS MOTION.

NISSEN ANTICIPATES FURTHER HEARINGS WILL BE HELD MARCH ONE

LOS ANGELES WILL CONTACT HOLIDAY INN, SUPRA, SUBSEQUENT TO ELLSBERG'S DEPARTURE, FOR DETAILS CONCERNING HIS REGISTRATION AND POSSIBLE TELEPHONE CHARGES.

CASE RECEIVING CONTINUOUS AND PREFERRED ATTENTION.

END

HOLI



In Reply, Please Refer to File No.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION Los Angeles, California February 22, 1972

DANIEL ELLSBERG;
ANTHONY J. RUSSO, JR.
CONSPIRACY THEFT OF GOVERNMENT PROPERTY;
ESPIONAGE

During period June 13-15, 1971, "The New York Times," a daily newspaper published in New York City, published the so-called "Pentagon papers." Daniel Ellsberg has since publicly admitted being responsible for making the documents available to the news media in the United States. Anthony Joseph Russo, Jr., and Daniel Ellsberg are currently under indictment for violation of Title 18, Section 371, United States Code (Conspiracy); Title 18, Section 641, United States Code (Stealing, Concealing, Unauthorized Conveying and Receiving Stolen Government Property); and Title 18, Sections 793 (c), (d) and (e), United States Code (Espionage Act). Investigation has indicated that Daniel Ellsberg may have had help in reproducing and distributing these documents to various newspapers throughout the country. Investigation is being conducted to determine if Spencer B. Marx, brother-in-law of Daniel Ellsberg, may have assisted Ellsberg in the release of the "Pentagon papers" to the news media.



RECTS (p. 5, 6

OBE A STORM

Scyetts.

NR 017 WF CODE

8-29PM IMMEDIATE 2-24-72 ALM

TO DIRECTOR (65-74060)

ATTENTION: DOMESTIC INTELLIGENCE DIVISION

1 4. ..

BALTIMORE (65-3896)

BOSTON (65-5236)

LOS ANGELES (105-27952)

FROM WASHINGTON FIELD (65-11613) 8P

MC LEK SIO

OVER •

FOR BACKGROUND ASSISTANCE OF BALTIMORE, COPIES OF WFO
AIRTEL AND LETTERHEAD MEMORANDUM TO BUREAU, AUGUST NINE, LAST,
CONTAINING CONSIDERABLE INFORMATION RE
AND DISPATCH NEWS SERVICE BEING FORWARDED UNDER SEPARATE

RECHECK THIS DATE WITH A SOURCE AT NATIONAL PRESS BUILDING

CONFIRMED THAT DISPATCH NEWS SERVICE HAS NOT OCCUPIED SPACE IN

BUILDING SINCE DECEMBER, SEVENTY. WITH REFERENCE TO INFORMATION

IN ABOVE MENTIONED LETTERHEAD MEMORANDUM REPORTING CERTIFICATE

OF AMENDMENT FILED BY

IN WASHINGTON, D.C. CORPORATION

RECORDS, MARCH ONE, LAST, CHANGING CORPORATE NAME FROM DISPATCH

NEWS SERVICE TO REPORTERS NEWS SERVICE, INCORPORATED, SOURCE

END PAGE ONE


CC to IDD


Adm. data Gainers

5 3 MAR 7 1972 (3º


PAGE TWO

ADVISED THERE IS ABSOLUTELY NO RECORD OF OCCUPANCY BY DISPATCH NEWS SERVICE IN NATIONAL PRESS BUILDING BEYOND DECEMBER, SEVENTY, OR SUBSEQUENT OCCUPANCY BY REPORTERS NEWS SERVICE. SOURCE CONFIRMED AGAIN TODAY THAT CONTINUES TO OCCUPY IN HIS OWN NAME, WHICH HE ORIGINALLY ROOM RENTED IN APRIL, SEVENTYONE. THE DOOR TO SPACE DOES NOT CONTAIN ANY INDIVIDUAL OR ORGANIZATIONAL LISTING AND NO OTHER INDIVIDUALS ARE KNOWN TO OCCUPY THE SPACE WITH HIM. SINCE ATTESTED TO THE CERTIFICATE OF AMENDMENT FILED BY OCCUPANCY IS RELATED TO REPORTERS






DISTRIBUTION OF THE PENTAGON PAPERS OR FURTHER KNOWLEDGE OF END PAGE FOUR



Ø 65-6346 PAGE TWO

ON FEBRUARY TWENTY EIGHT

NINETEEN SEVENTY TWO ADVISED ELLSBERG WAS SCHEDULED FOR COUNTER TRIAL PANEL. AS OF FEBRUARY TWENTY EIGHT NINETEEN SEVENTY TWO ELLSBERG HAD NOT CONFIRMED HE WOULD APPEAR AS A PANELIST AT THE WORKSHOP CONCERNING THE COUNTER TRIAL SPONSORED BY THE HDC. WORKSHOP TO BE HELD AT AUGSBURG LUTHERAN CHURCH. HARRISBURG.



END

HOLD

JDJ FBI WASH DC

A

A DE TON

r. Mohr r. Bishop r. Miller, r. Collaboration r. Cosper r. Cosper r. Cosper

NR 819 BA CODE

7:35 PM NITEL 2-28-72 ALB TO DIRECTOR (65-74060)


WF6 (65-11613)

FROM BALTIMORE (65-3896) P

CARS DO

Mr. Wolfers
Mr. Wolfers
Mr. Boyors
Tele. Room
Miss Holmes
Miss Gandy

CMC LEK, SIO.



REPEATED CONTACT WITH

SEAT

PLEASANT, MARYLAND, HAS DEVELOPED NO POSITIVE RESULTS RE

FURTHER IDENTIFYING DATE OF THE FOUR INDIVIDUALS

PRESENT WITH

AT THE "WASHINGTON

POST" ON JUNE THIRTY, SEVENTY-ONE, WHEN "PENTAGON PAPERS"

END PAGE ONE

REC- 45 65.

60-3205

55MAR7 1972

"cc to /50 Adm. data deleted" MAR 3 1972

E Latter 9 - 10 40

PAGE TWO

BA 65-3896

WERE DUPLICATED.

DOUBTED HE WOULD BE ABLE TO SECURE

SUCH INFORMATION.

ADMINISTRATIVE:

END.

CORR: PAGE ONE EIGHTH LINE THIRD WORD SHOULD BE "DATA" AND NOT DATE.

END


	FBI		Mr. Miller, ES Mr. Callahan
	Date: 2/25	/72	Mr. Casper Mr. Cenrad Mr. Dalbey
ne following in			Mr. Cleveland_
AIRTEL	(Type in plaintext or code)	a British Long	Mr. Ponder Mr. Bates Mr. Walkart
	(Priority)		Mr. Walters Mr. Soyars
			Miss Holmes
TO: DIRECTOR	, FBI (65-74060)		Miss Gandy
/	[2] [1] [1] [1] [2] [2] [2] [2] [2] [2] [2] [2] [2] [2	NTION: DOMEST	
PROM: SAC, WFO	(65-11613)	LLIGENCE DIVIS	TON
			defenne
MC LEK	A Christian and the	and the P	10
SIO			
Enclosed	for information of the	ne Bureau. Bos	ton.
and Los Angeles is			
	one copy each or an .	internai beien	se
Department memoran	dum dated 1/11/72 made	e available 2/	se 24/72
Department memoran	dum dated 1/11/72 made Dr. FRED STIR	e available 2/	24/72
Department memoran to Counsel's Office.	Dr. FRED STIR	e available 2/ ES of the DOD : RES document a	24/72 Legal nalysis
Department memoran to Counsel's Office. of the Simons Pape	dum dated 1/11/72 made Dr. FRED STIR	e available 2/ ES of the DOD : RES document a	24/72 Legal nalysis
Department memoran to Counsel's Office. of the Simons Pape	Dr. FRED STIR	e available 2/ ES of the DOD RES document a ablication of	24/72 Legal nalysis Pentagon
Department memoran to Counsel's Office. of the Simons Pape	Dr. FRED STIR	e available 2/ ES of the DOD RES document a ablication of	24/72 Legal nalysis Pentagon
Department memoran to Counsel's Office. of the Simons Pape	Dr. FRED STIR Dr. FRED STIR The memo records STIR ers and Beacon Press pr	e available 2/ ES of the DOD RES document a ablication of 1	24/72 Legal nalysis Pentagon
Department memoran to Counsel's Office. of the Simons Pape Papers.	Dr. FRED STIR	e available 2/ ES of the DOD RES document a ablication of 1	24/72 Legal nalysis Pentagon
Department memoran to Counsel's Office. of the Simons Pape	Dr. FRED STIR Dr. FRED STIR The memo records STIR ers and Beacon Press pr	e available 2/ ES of the DOD RES document a ablication of 1	24/72 Legal nalysis
Department memoran to Counsel's Office. of the Simons Pape Papers.	Dr. FRED STIRE The memo records STIRE Trs and Beacon Press pre	e available 2/ ES of the DOD RES document a ablication of 1	24/72 Legal nalysis Pentagon
Department memoran to Counsel's Office. of the Simons Pape Papers.	Dr. FRED STIR Dr. FRED STIR The memo records STIR ers and Beacon Press pr	e available 2/ ES of the DOD RES document a ablication of 1	24/72 Legal nalysis Pentagon
Department memoran to Counsel's Office. of the Simons Pape Papers.	Dr. FRED STIRE The memo records STIRE Trs and Beacon Press pre	e available 2/ ES of the DOD RES document a ablication of 1	24/72 Legal nalysis Pentagon
Department memoran to Counsel's Office. of the Simons Pape Papers.	Dr. FRED STIRE The memo records STIRE Ex. 101	e available 2/ES of the DOD RES document aublication of	24/72 Legal nalysis Pentagon
Department memoran to Counsel's Office. of the Simons Pape Papers.	Dr. FRED STIRE The memo records STIRE Ex. 101	e available 2/ ES of the DOD RES document a ablication of 1	24/72 Legal nalysis Pentagon
Department memoran to Counsel's Office. of the Simons Pape Papers. / ENCLOSURE	Dr. FRED STIRE The memo records STIRE EX-101	e available 2/ES of the DOD RES document aublication of	24/72 Legal nalysis Pentagon
Department memoran to Counsel's Office. of the Simons Pape Papers. / ENCLOSURE 2 - Bureau (Enc.	Dr. FRED STIRE The memo records STIRE Trs and Beacon Press press EX-101 A 1)	e available 2/ES of the DOD RES document aublication of	24/72 Legal nalysis Pentagon
Department memoran to Counsel's Office. of the Simons Pape Papers. / ENCLOSURE 2 - Bureau (Enc. 1 - Boston (Info)	Dr. FRED STIRE The memo records STIRE Trs and Beacon Press process EX-101 (Enc. 1)	e available 2/ES of the DOD RES document aublication of	24/72 Legal nalysis Pentagon
Department memoran to Counsel's Office. of the Simons Pape Papers. / ENCLOSURE 2 - Bureau (Enc. 1 - Boston (Info) (1 - Los Angeles (I	Dr. FRED STIRE The memo records STIRE Trs and Beacon Press process EX-101 (Enc. 1)	e available 2/ES of the DOD RES document aublication of	24/72 Legal nalysis Pentagon
Department memoran to Counsel's Office. of the Simons Pape Papers. / ENCLOSURE 2 - Bureau (Enc. 1 - Boston (Info)	Dr. FRED STIRE The memo records STIRE Trs and Beacon Press process EX-101 (Enc. 1)	e available 2/ES of the DOD RES document aublication of	24/72 Legal nalysis Pentagon
Department memoran to Counsel's Office. of the Simons Pape Papers. /ENCLOSURE 2 - Bureau (Enc. 1 - Boston (Info) (1 - Los Angeles (I1 - WFO)	Dr. FRED STIRE The memo records STIRE Trs and Beacon Press process EX-101 (Enc. 1)	e available 2/ES of the DOD RES document aublication of	24/72 Legal nalysis Pentagon
Department memoran to Counsel's Office. of the Simons Pape Papers. / ENCLOSURE 2 - Bureau (Enc. 1 - Boston (Info) (1 - Los Angeles (I 1 - WFO) BCR: cas Counsel Cas C	Dr. FRED STIRE The memo records STIRE Trs and Beacon Press process EX-101 (Enc. 1)	e available 2/ES of the DOD RES document aublication of	24/72 Legal nalysis Pentagon
Department memoran to Counsel's Office. of the Simons Pape Papers. /ENCLOSURE 2 - Bureau (Enc. 1 - Boston (Info) (1 - Los Angeles (I1 - WFO)	Dr. FRED STIRE The memo records STIRE Trs and Beacon Press process EX-101 (Enc. 1)	e available 2/ES of the DOD RES document aublication of	24/72 Legal nalysis Pentagon

PAGE FIVE

WAR" WOULD BE COMPLETED.

ADMINISTRATIVE

FOR THE INFO OF THE SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION BUREAU AIRTEL
FEBRUARY SEVENTEEN LAST ADVISED THAT INFORMATION DEVELOPED
TO DATE CONCERNING POINTS TO AS A DEFINITE
SUSPECT IN THE DESSEMINATION OF THE PENTAGON PAPERS TO VARIOUS
NEWSPAPERS THROUGHOUT THE U.S. IN LATE JUNE THROUGH JULY LAST,
AND DIRECTED DETAILED INVESTIGATION OF HIS ACTIVITIES AND
ASSOCIATES TO FULLY ESTABLISH HIS WHEREABOUTS DURING THE
PERIOD MARCH THROUGH JULY LAST. THE BUREAU INSTRUCTED THAT
LEADS BE SET OUT BY TELETYPE TO AUXILIARY OFFICES WITH
INSTRUCTIONS TO FURNISH REPLY BY SIMILAR MEANS. WFO WILL
SUBMIT PERTINENT INFO DEVELOPED RE IN NEXT INVESTIGATIVE
REPORT.




END PAGE FIVE

5:43 PM NITEL 2-28-72 RVM

FROM LOS ANGELES (185-27952)

Mr. Mohr. Mr. Lishop. Mr. Millet, Mr. Callahan Mr. Casper. Mr. Conrud. Mr. Dalbey. Mr. Cleveland Mr. Ponger. Mr. Bates_ Mr. Walkart Mr. Wal'ers. 'Ar. \$. 4": Tele. Ricm. Miss Holmes

Miss Gandy.



END PAGE ONE "CC to 150"
Adm. data deleted

9 7MAR7 1972


MAR 3 1972

UNRECORDED COPY FILED

LA 105-27952

PAGE TWO

PATRICIA ELLSBERG ON WILSHIRE BOULEVARD, SANTA MONICA, DURING MORNING FEBRUARY TWENTY EIGHT INSTANT IN COMPANY OF ANTHONY J. RUSSO. JR.




ADMINISTRATIVE .

DEPARTMENTAL ATTORNEY DAVID R. NISSEN ADVISED FEBRUARY
TWENTY EIGHT INSTANT AN INFORMAL HEARING WAS HELD BEFORE FEDERAL
JUDGE MATTHEW BYRNE FEBRUARY TWENTY EIGHT INSTANT. NISSEN
REPRESENTED THE GOVERNMENT AND CHARLES MESSON REPRESENTED THE
DEFENSE. IT WAS INDICATED TO JUDGE BYRNE BY THE DEFENSE THEY
ANTICIPATE THEY WILL BE READY FOR TRIAL AS SCHEDULED THIS COMING
MAY. COURT FURTHER ADVISED DEFENSE WILL ADD FORMER SENATOR
CHARLES GOODELL AND
END PAGE ONE

PAGE TWO LA 185-27952

UNIVERSITY, LOS ANGELES, AS ATTORNEYS OF RECORD ON FEBRUARY TWENTY NINE HEXT.



IN ADDITION ACCOMPANIED BY DAVID R. NISSEN, AT A TIME WHEN THEY WERE BOTH ASSISTANT U.S. ATTORNEYS VISITED AT SEAT OF GOVERNMENT WITH THE DIRECTOR ON SEPTEMBER TWENTY SIX NINETEEN SIXTY NINE.

LOS ANGELES SPECIAL INDICES NEGATIVE CONCERNING



NISSEN ADVISED THE HEARINGS TO BE HELD BEFORE JUDGE BYRNE FEBRUARY TWENTY NINE NEXT WILL CONCERN THE DEFENSE'S MOTIONS REGARDING DISCOVERY.

CASE RECEIVING CONTINUOUS AND PREFERRED ATTENTION.

END

BJM FBI WASHDC

OLR.

To: SAC, Cleveland (65-3521)

From: Director, FBI (65-74060)

MC LEK BIO

- Mr. Wagoner

- Mr. Hagy

ReBuairtel 2/18/72 to Baltimore, Boston, and WFO, copy enclosed for Cleveland. Also refer to Cleveland airtel 1/13/72 and Boston airtel 1/5/72.



Inclosure

65-74060-2212

1 - Boston (65-5236)

1 - Los Angeles (105-27952)

JRH: bsf / Mr. Tolson (7)

T	MAILED	10
	MAR 2	1972
l	(**	FBI -

19 MAR 3 1972

SEE NOTE PAGE TWO

Mr. Rosen Mr. Mohr Mr. Bishop Mr. Miller, E.S. Mr. Callahan Mr. Casper Mr. Dalbey Mr. Cleveland Mr. Ponder . Mr. Bates Mr. Waikart Mr. Walter

Mr. Felt_

TELETYPE UNIT

Airtel to SAC, Cleveland RE: MC LEK 65-74060

of information concerning request appropriate investigation by teletype, instructing auxiliary offices to submit results of their investigation to Bureau, Boston, and Los Angeles in similar manner, to be followed by investigative report.

NOTE:

Investigation has failed to determine how the "Pentagon papers" were distributed to various U. S. newspapers after initial publication of these documents by "The New York Times" on 6/13/71; however, certain individuals resident of Boston, during this period have been developed as suspects in this matter and investigation has determined who lives in the basement at this address, is acquainted with these individuals and may have assisted them. Investigation to further identify is under way and instructions contained above compliment this inquiry.

2	ì
H	1
5[١,

AIRTEL

1 - Mr. J.R. Wagoner 1 - Mr. H. J. Morgan

To:

SAC, Boston (65-5236)

From:

Director, FBI (65-74060) 321

MC LEK

810

REC-88

ReNYtel 2/28/72 containing interview of

In view of statement of wife are acquainted with and the possibility that his son, who is currently attending Harvard University, may have made the telephone call in question. Boston should immediately take steps to fully identify and submit recommendations concerning his interview. Background information should be furnished so that a review of Bufiles may be instituted. If, in fact, called his parents from the residence of it would strongly indicate the possibility of his association with these individuals in question and it would appear MAILENE would have knowledge of possible interest to this investigation.

MAR 1 - 1972

Clevela

1 - Los Angeles (105-27952)

1FB! New York (65-25641)

HJM:bjp ♥ 🖔

NOTE CONTINUED PAGE TWO

Sper

AM

ZMAR 7 (9)

MAIL ROOM

TELETYPE UNIT

Airtel to Boston RE: MC LEK 65-74060

NOTE CONTINUED:

of lack of knowledge of persons of interest to us and possibility his son made the call in question, investigation should be conducted to fully identify his son and entertain recommendations concerning his interview. It is pointed out the son of could possibly have knowledge of involvement of in dissemination of the 'Pentagon papers.'



Washington, D. C. 20537

REPORT

IDENTIFICATION DIVISION

LATENT FINGERPRINT SECTION

YOUR FILE NO. FBI FILE NO. LATENT CASE NO.

65-7406 38774

TO: SAC, WED

March 2, 1972



REFERENCE:

EXAMINATION REQUESTED BY:

SPECIMENS:

The latent prints developed on the "Courier 18" volumes of LA #1 and those developed on volumes of LA #2 through LA #22 and LA #32 have been compared with the fingerprints of the following San Honica employees:

MAILED 2 MAR 2 - 1972 " FBI

Felt Rosen

Mohr -Bishop

Casper

Dalbey Cleveland

Miller, E.S. Callahan

15 MAR 3 1972

(Continued on next page)

2 - Los Angeles (105-27952)

Room 612, 9th & D Room 608, 9th & D


THIS REPORT IS FURNISHED FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

MAIN ROOM 1972 ELETYPE UNIT

WECKED O

SAC, WFO

March 2, 1972



Two latent fingerprints developed on the volume entitled "Final Report OSD, Task Force, Vietnam and Index," part of LA #2, received Latent Fingerprint Section 11-26-71, have been identified as finger impressions of

The remaining unidentified latent prints developed on these volumes were compared, insofar as possible, with the fingerprints of the aforementioned individuals, but no additional identifications were effected.

Page 2 LC #38774

FEDE JL

INVE_ (IGALION BUREAU

Washington, D. C. 20537

REPORT

of the

IDENTIFICATION DIVISION

LATENT FINGERPRINT SECTION

YOUR FILE NO.

65-11613

FBI FILE NO. 65-74060

LATENT CASE NO. A-38774

TO: SAC, WFO

REFERENCE: Fingerprints received by courier on 2-25-72

EXAMINATION REQUESTED BY: UFO SPECIMENS:

Fingerprints of

elimination purposes

The latent prints developed on the courier eighteen volumes of LA #1 and those developed on the MAILE Volumes of LA #2 were compared, insofar as possible, MAR 3 but no identifications were effected, with the submitted inked printa REC-14

Inasmuch as the prints present on the crids are blurred and not completely recorded, conclusive comparisons could not be conducted in all instances.

Enc. (4)

(Continued on next page)

for

March 3, 197

2 - Los Angeles (105-27952) - SA

Room 612, 9th and D

Room 608, 9th and

H:bka

John Edgar Hoover, Director

SAC, WFO

March 3, 1972

Photographic copies of the inked prints have been retained for possible future use.

The submitted prints are enclosed.

Page 2 LC #A-38774

Date: (Frionty) Re New York nitels, 2/16/72, 2/22/72 and Minneapolis nitel, 2/16/72. Bureau teletype, - New York (55-2551) (- Minnsepolis (65-147) - Boston Classified by 6080 Lol TAF Exempt from GDS, Categor Date of Declassification Indefinite 5/16/

Airtel


[EX-112

To: SAC, WFO (65-11613)

From: Director, FBI (65-74060) - 3216

MC LEK 810

RewFotels 3/1/72 and 2/29/72.



1 - Los Angeles (105-27952)

JRH: bsf hof

SEE NOTE PAGE TWO

Wr. Tolson	
Mr. Roses	MAILED 6
Mr. Miller, E.S	MAR 3 1972
Mr. Callshan	FBI
Mr. Dalbey	FBI
Mr. Cleveland	
Vr. Bates	

Airtel to SAC, WFO RE: MC LEK 65-74060



absence of derogatory information in your files concerning you should locate and interview him concerning his knowledge of with special emphasis placed on activities of during the period March-July, 1971. Determine from dates resided at the address as well as identity of other individuals with whom may have lived while at this address. Bureau files contain no information identifiable with based on data furnished in referenced teletype 2/29/72.

cultural Affairs, Bureau of Far Eastern Affairs, U. S. Department of State. Bureau files contain no information concerning which would preclude his interview at this time.

has been identified as a suspect in the dissemination of the "Pentagon papers" to various U. S. newspapers during the period June-July, 1971, and Bureau recently instructed pertinent offices, including WFO, to determine whereabouts, contacts, and activities during this period. Prior investigation ascertained

during part of this period; however, continuing investigation by surfaced conflicting dates for this residency.

and Daniel Ellsberg suggest possible answer to conflicting data.

Matter can apparently be definitely resolved through interview of individual who resided at this address since 1965.

COMMUNICATIONS SECTION

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

r. Miller, ES. Ir. Callahar Mr. Casper.

Mr. Mohr.

Mr. Conrad

Mr. Dalbey_ Mr. Cleveland Mr. Ponder

Mr. Bates. Mr. Waikart

Mr. Walters. Mr. Soyars.

Tele. Room.

Miss Holmes Miss Gandy

NR 003 NH CODED

85 6PM NITEL 3/4/72 LF

DIRECTOR, FBI (65-74060), (ATTN: DID), AND SACS, TO:

LOS ANGELES (105-27952), BOSTON (65-5236)

SAC, NEW HAVEN (65-2389) FROM:

MC LEK

RE: NEW HAVEN NITELS, MARCH ONE, LAST AND FEBRUARY TWENTY-FIVE LAST.

DANIEL ELLSBERG SPOKE ON MARCH THREE LAST AT YALE UNIVERSITY LAW SCHOOL AT ONE FORTY-FIVE PM AND AT TEMPLE MISHKAN ISRAEL, HAMDEN, CONNECTICUT AT EIGHT FIFTEEN PM.

HIS TALK AT YALE UNIVERSITY WAS SPONSORED BY CALHOUN COLLEGE FELLOWSHIP. HE SPOKE ON "NIXED AND THE WAR; WHAT'S GOING ON ." NHO UNABLE TO AFFORD TAPE COVERAGE OF SPEECH AT YALE UNDER SECURE CONDITIONS . SO MAR 7 STATION TAPED THE SPEECH FOR REPLAY EVENING OF MARCH THREE LAST. THIS REPLAY MONITORED BY NHO. HOWEVER. QUALITY OF ORIGINAL RECORDING SO POOR THE REBROADCAST OF THE SPEECH WAS ILLEGIBLE . END PAGE ONE "CC 157

1972. data que un

NH (65-2389)

PAGE TWO

SOURCES IN ATTENDANCE ADVISED ELLSBERG MADE NO
COMMENTS CONCERNING HIS RELEASING OF THE PENTAGON PAPERS
AND HIS CURRENT INDICTMENT ON CHARGES RELATING TO THAT
ACT.

AT TEMPLE MISHKAN TAPED BY NHO. AGAIN ELLSBERG

MADE NO DIRECT STATEMENTS CONCERNING THE CURRENT CRIMINAL

CHARGES PENDING AGAINST HIM BY THE U.S. GOVERNMENT. HIS

TALK RELATED TO THE GENERAL HISTORY OF THE U.S. INVOLVE
MENT IN VIETNAM GOING BACK TO THE U.S. SUPPORT OF THE

FRENCH GOVERNMENT DURING THEIR FIGHTING IN VIETNAM IN

FORTY-SIX.

THERE WERE APPROXIMATELY TWO HUNDRED PEOPLE IN ATTENDANCE AT ELLSBERG'S TALK AT THE YALE LAW SCHOOL. DONATIONS FOR THE ELLSBERG DEFENSE FUND WERE SOLICITED AT THE DOOR.

AT THE ELLSBERG TALK ON THE EVENING OF MARCH THREE LAST
THERE WERE APPROXIMATELY FOURTEEN HUNDRED PEOPLE IN ATTENDEND PAGE TWO

NH (65-2389)

PAGE THREE

ANCE. NO ADMISSION WAS CHARGED NOR WAS ANY SOLICITATION
MADE FOR THE ELLSBERG DEFENSE FUND. PSI ADVISED THAT HE
DID 2NOT BELIEVE ANY FUNDS WERE PAID TO ELLSBERG BY THE
TEMPLE MISHKAN ISRAEL.

LHM FOLLOWS WITH TRANSCRIPTION OF ELLSBERG'S SPEECH AT TEMPLE MISHKAN ISRAEL.

END

MOE EDT MA DO

TEGERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION COMMUNICATIONS SE TION Mr. Mohr. Mr. Dishop. Mr. Miller, ES Mr. Callahan ELETYPE Mr. Casper. Mr. Conrad. Mr. Dalbey_ NR Ø14 MM CODE Mr. Cleveland Mr Ponder. 1134PM NITEL 3-3-72 WTC Mr. Bates. Mr. Waikart INTELLIGENCE DIVISION Walters TO DIRECTOR 65-74060 ATTN: Mr. Soyars. Tele. Room. LOS ANGELES 105-27952 Miss Holmes Mins Gandy. BOSTON 65-5236 NEW YORK 65-25641 WASHINGTON FIELD 65-11613 FROM MIAMI 65-3264 2P MCLEK . SIO RE WFO TELETYPE TO BUREAU, ET AL, MARCH ONE, SEVENTYTWO. ON MARCH TWO, SEVENTYTWO, EASTERN AIRLINES (EAL), MIAMI, ADVISED THAT A MAJORITY OF PASSENGERS WHO UTILIZED EAL SHUTTLES BETWEEN WASHINGTON, D.C. AND NEW YORK AND BETWEEN NEW YORK AND BOSTON WRITE THEIR OWN TICKETS AND FREQUENTLY PURCHASE THE TICKETS WHEN ALREADY AIRBORNE IN THESE CASES THE ONLY RECORD IS THE BOARDING PASS WHICH IS A COPY OF THE FLIGHT COUPON. ALL EAL SHUTTLE FLIGHT TO MAR D BOARDING PASSES ARE FORWARDED TO MIAMI WHERE THEY ARE MAINTAINED FOR ONLY NINETY DAYS AND THEN ARE DESTROYED.

THEREAFTER, THERE IS A RECORD OF THE FLIGHT AND NUMBER OF

Fin. data delated

END PAGE ONE

97MAR 9 1972

PAGE TWO

PASSENGERS WHO UTILIZED THE SHUTTLE TICKETS BUT NO RECORD OF THE IDENTITY OF THE INDIVIDUAL PASSENGERS.

SOME PASSENGERS USING THE SHUTTLES BUY REGULAR TICKETS AND THESE ARE MAINTAINED BY EAL FOR ONE YEAR LIKE ALL OTHER REGULAR TICKETS.

IN VIEW OF THE ABOVE INFORMATION, IT IS NOT ONLY
POSSIBLE BUT LIKELY THAT COULD HAVE TRAVELED ON EAL
SHUTTLE FLIGHTS DURING THE SPRING OF NINETEEN SEVENTY ONE
AND EAL WOULD HAVE NO REOCRD.

MADE AVAILABLE THE REGULAR TICKETS USED ON

FAL SHUTTLE FLIGHTS ORIGINATING AT WASHINTON, D.C. DESTINED

TO NEW YORK, NEWARK AND BOSTON ON APRIL TWENTYEIGHT, SEVENTYONE,

MAY FOURTEEN SEVENTYONE AND JUNE TWENTYTWO, SEVENTYONE.

REVIEW OF THESE RECORDS ON MARCH TWO AND THREE, SEVENTYTWO


FAILED TO LOCATE A RECORD OF TRAVEL BY

ADVISED HE HAS INSTITUTED A SEARCH FOR A TICKET
POSSIBLY USED BY ON MARCH EIGHTEEN, SEVENTYONE. HE
INDICATED IT SHOULD BE AVAILABLE FOR REVIEW BY MIAMI BY MARCH
SIX, SEVENTYTWO.

INVESTIGATION CONTINUING AT MIAMI /.


END


FBI O	Mr. Mohr Mr. Bishop Mr. Miller,
Date: 2/29/72	Mr. Callahan Mr. Casper Mr. Conrad
Transmit the following in	Mr. Dalbey Mr. Cleveland Mr. Ponder
Via AIRTEL (Priority)	Mr. Valkart Mr. Walkers
	Mr. Soyars Tele. Room
TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (65-74060) ATTENTION: DOMESTIC INTI DIVISION AND IDENTIFICAT: DIVISION	ION
FROM: SAC, WFO (65-11613) MC LEK SIO	Bernand
For information of recipient offices, fingery of the following named individuals which are desired for comparison purposes with latents developed on various Task Force Study (VTFS) documents have not been located offices having primary knowledge of these individuals, whom have previously been identified as associates or associates of DANIEL ELLSBERG, are indicated in parent REC- 45	or Vietnam d. The all of possible hesis:
(WFO) 65-74060- (LOS ANGELES) (DENVER)	3221
1. 1 G Dareas	3 1972
2- Alexandria (65-67) 1- Baltimore) (65-3896) 2- Boston (65-5236)	- Deserva
2- Columbia (65-76) 1- Denver (105-4507) 2- Los Angeles (105-27952) 1- New Haven (65-2389) 1- New York (65-25641)	
1- WFO BCR:ss (15) BCR:ss	The state of the s
Approved: Special Agent in Charge 9.7 MAR 9. 1972 Sent U. S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING	G @FFICE: 197] -413-135
	oderski projecti



Although it is entirely possible the above individuals have never been fingerprinted, it is requested that all offices remain especially alert in their investigations to any possible availability of prints of these individuals.

The fingerprints of the following additional individuals, all former or present civilian or military employees of the Department of Defense (DOD) who may have had occasion to handle the examined VTFS documents, have not been located in FBI Identification Division files and are desired for elimination purposes:





obtain fingerprints of the above individuals. DOD is directing its primary efforts to locate or obtain prints within DOD channels of individuals indicated by asterisk (*). WFO will contact the Government Printing Office regarding availability of fingerprints of will contact Arms Control and Disarmament Agency regarding availability of fingerprints of and will attempt to determine whereabouts of and contact Leads are being set out hereinafter to Alexandria and Columbia Offices to obtain fingerprints of respectively.

A further review of files by WFO suggests that some of the yet unidentified latents could be identifiable with

the fingerprints of the Rand Top Secret Control
Officer who succeeded MARY ELLSBERG, daughter of
DANIEL ELLSBERG who was present at the Xeroxing session at
Sinay Associates; current Agency for Inter-
national Development Official and former close associate of
ELLSBERG who visited with ELLSBERG at Rand Corporation,
Santa Monica; and the Defense Industrial
Security Official at Los Angeles who packed the VTFS docu-
ments recovered by DOD from the Rand Corporation, Santa
Monica. Appropriate leads are set forth below.

REQUEST OF FBI IDENTIFICATION DIVISION

Attempt to locate fingerprints of

and compare with latents developed on all VTFS
documents examined containing Los Angeles exhibit numbers.

LEADS

ALEXANDRIA

AT ARLINGTON, VIRGINIA. Will contact
Institute for Defense Analysis, Arlington, Virginia, and
request his cooperation in identifying location of previously
taken prints or in providing a current set for use by FBI
Laboratory for elimination purposes.

COLUMBIA

AT UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH CAROLINA. Will contact

and request his cooperation in providing a set of fingerprints for use by FBI Laboratory for elimination purposes. may be advised that efforts to locate his fingerprints in FBI Identification Division and DOD files proved unproductive. It may be noted that reportedly had responsibility for printing the VTFS.

LOS ANGELES

AT SANTA MONICA, CALIFORNIA. Will evaluate whether had access to VTFS documents at Rand Corporation, Santa Monica, prior to their recovery by DOD and, if so, forward pertinent background data or available fingerprints to FBI Identification Division for elimination purposes.

Departmental Attorneys the possibility of obtaining fingerprints of MARY ELLSBERG for elimination purposes.

WASHINGTON FIELD OFFICE

AT WASHINGTON, D. C. (1) Will contact Government

Printing Office and Arms Control and Disarmament Agency
regarding availability of fingerprints of
respectively.

(2) Will attempt to determine whereabouts of and thereafter evaluate desirability of obtaining his fingerprints bearing in mind his past epileptic condition.

- ment regarding availability of fingerprints of
- (4) Will coordinate with DOD efforts to locate fingerprints of current civilian and military DOD personnel desired for elimination purposes.

FEDERAL LUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

COMMUNICATION SECTION

FEE 2 5 1972

TELETYPE

1130 BM NITEL 2-25-72 PRG

009 NH CODE

DIRECTOR (65-74060) ATTN: D I D

LOS ANGELES (105-27952)

BOSTON (65-5236)

FROM: NEW HAVEN (65-2389)

"THE HAMDEN CHRONICLE". WEEKLY NEWSPAPER PUBLISHED IN HAMDEN, CONN., IN FEB. TWDNTYFOUR LAST ISSUE, NOTED DR. DANIEL ELISBERG IS COMING TO HAMDEN MARCH THREE NEXT. HE WILL APPEAR AT TEMPLE MISHKAN ISRAEL, SEVENTYEIGHT PLOGE RD., FIFTEEN PM SERVICE AS SIXTH ANNUAL PEACE SERVICE SPEAKER. ELLSBERG WILL SPEAK ON "THE INVISIBLE WAR". PREVIOUS SPEAKERS TO MAR 7 HAVE BEEN HARRISON SALISBURY, NY TIMES CORRESPONDENT, DAVID SCHOENBRUN: HOWARD ZINN. PROF. BOSTON UNIVERSITY:

NHO WILL AFFORD COVERAGE OF ELLSBERG 'S TALK ON MARCH THREE AND EFFORTS WILL BE MADE TO TAPE SAME.

ROBERT JAY LIFTON, PROF. YALE U.; AND GEORGE WALD, PROF. HARVARD

END

U.

JRM FBL. WASH DC CLR

9 7 MAR 9 1972

Ce 15 Back Balland

Mr. Mohr.

Mr. Bishop

Mr. Callahan Mr. Casper. Mr. Conrad

Mr. Dalbay.

Mr. Bates. Mr. Waikart Mr. Walters

Mr. Soyars. Tele: Room

Miss Holmes Miss Gandy

Mr. Cleveland Mr. Ponder.


partment continue to take seriously Dr. West's appropriate cries of alarm. their Mission: Possible - the numbing A; this modern Paul Revere knows, of America. Last October, Beacon the security of the nation can be jeop-Universalist Asst., with offices in flos- also by zealots on the lacide who are ton, published the Sen. Alike Gravel so determined to "bring us together" (D-Alaska) version of the Pentagon that they are prepared to stifle the papers. A few days after publication voices of legitimate and responsible date, FBI agents, armed with a grand dissent. The government has no right jury subpena, appeared at New Eng- to insure domestic tranquillity by inland Merchants National Bank of Bos-jecting novocaine in the body politic. ion and demanded access to the denomination's bank records for the period from June 1 to Oct. 13

Temporarily, the government's investigation has been suspended, pending the disposition of a church-initiated court suit seeding a restraining order. During the last few days, Dr. Robert N. West, UUA president, has seen flying to major cities like a jetset Paul Revere, hoping to alert the lation to the clear and present danger nvolved in what he has termed "an inprecedented threat to religious freelom." In Chicago last Friday, the hurch executive characterized "this inwarranted intrusion on religious librty" as a calculated government atempt to intimidate his denomination. Even if the government files no sait cainst the church, Dr. West said, the rvestigation iself is likely to have a 'chilling effect" on members and prospective members of the church.

Along with leaders of the National Council of Churches and the Synagog Cancil of America, we agree that the government's action appears to be punitive in purpose and repressive in ffect. To say the least, the Contitution does not give the government license to harass an organization hat publishes documents (aiready in he public domain) which embarrass r tanger the present powers-that-be in Vashington. We believe the Justice repartment's moves against the Eurch reflect an astonishing disregard for the First Amendment's juarantees of freedom of religion. reedom of the press and freedom of asociation.

The FBI and the U.S. Justice De- We hope the public hears and heeds Press, a department of the Unitarian ardized, not only by outside focs, but

	per, city and State.)
47 C	HICAGO SUN TIMES HICAGO, ILLINOIS
or New Co	
Date: 2	2-9-72
Edition: Author: Editor:	4* FINAL
Title:	JAMES HOGE
Character or Classific	
Submittin Beine	g Office: CHICAGO



1			FBI	TANK LANDS	The second
•			Date: 2/	28/72	
ransm	it the following	in			1 . 4 . 0.20
	A STATE OF THE STATE OF	The same	(Type in plaintext or code)	Salahan Alan	504
/ia	AIRTEL	AIRMAIL	(Priority)	6 202	A CALL OF THE REAL PROPERTY.
	TO:	DIRECTOR, FBI	(65-74060)		
1 1 1 1	FROM:	CHARLOTTE (65	-1767)	a service of the serv	
	SUBJECT:	MC_LEK SIO		Mais	gler)
0		(00: LOS ANGE	LES)		
100	airtel a	Re Bureau air nd LHM to Burea	tel to Charlotte, u, 2/11/72.	2/25/72, and	Charlott
	LHM. Am	espectively of a	the Bureau and Lo amended page 3 of been inserted in	referenced C	harlotte
			(1.1)	110-	
20	DOINT	Colderac	(4) det stal	3/6/12	
Do	2)- Rure	au (Enc. 6) (PM'	المديم سن	μ	
	2 - Los 2 - Char	Angeles Winc. 2	(RM) com		
	APR:pwb (6)	<i>V</i>			
				65-740 NOT RECO	
				16 MAR 1	
				SPEC. IN	NTION.
Sea 2				DI COKA	The state of the s
	The second second			ω	

57 MAR 9 3072 Agent in Charge

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION Mr. Mohr_ COMMUNICATIONS SECTION Mr. Bishop. R B21 LA CODE Mr. Miller, ES. Mr. Callahan MAR 2 1972 1013PM NITEL 3-1-72 JAA Mr. Casper. Mr. Conrad. TO DIRECPOR (65-74868) ATTN: QID_ETYPE Mr. Dalbey_ Mr. Cleveland Mr. Ponder. 。由于第二条 中央的企业的 BOSTON (65-5236) 107-7230) Mr. Bates. Mr. Wai's Tt NEW HAVEN Mr. Walsta Mr. Sozers. Tele. L. : N. NEW YORK (65-25641) Miss Holmes Miss Gency. FROM LOS ANGELES (105-27952) the second of the second second was the server to the server to the server to And the second s END PAGE ONE

李祖是李祖皇皇帝的

LA 105-27952 PAGE THREE

ADMINISTRATIVE. REFERENCE LOS ANGELES TELETYPE FEBRUARY
TWENTY NINE LAST.

LOS ANGELES FEDERAL JUDGE W. M. BYRNE, JR., REQUESTED

MEETING WITH GOVERNMENT AND DEFENSE ATTORNEYS FOR NINE A.M.

MARCH ONE INSTANT IN HIS CHAMBERS. THE DEFENSE SHOWED UP AT

TEN A.M., AT WHICH TIME JUDGE BYRNE INFORMED THE ATTORNEYS HE

HAD AN ATTACK OF APPENDICITIS AND WAS GOING INTO SURGERY AT NOON

MARCH ONE INSTANT. HE ADDED HE WOULD HEAR AS MUCH OF THE MOTIONS

AS HE COULD BUT IF HE CUT THE HEARING SHORT, IT WAS FOR MEDICAL

REASONS.

IN OPEN COURT HE HELD HEARING ON DEFENSE MOTIONS FOR
DISCOVERY AND ORDERED THE GOVERNMENT TO SUPPLY DEFENSE WITH ALL
DOCUMENTS TO BE ENTERED INTO EVIDENCE. ORDERED GOVERNMENT TO
SUPPLY DEFENSE WITH ALL PERSONNEL FILES OF DEFENDANTS WHILE THEY
WERE EMPLOYED BY GOVERNMENT. ORDERED GOVERNMENT TO PRODUCE
ENTIRE WHEELER DOCUMENT FOR HIS INSPECTION IN CAMERA. ORDERED
GOVERNMENT TO SUPPLY STUDIES CONDUCTED BY DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE,
STATE DEPARTMENT, JUSTICE DEPARTMENT AND CIA REGARDING
ENFORCEMENT OF THEIR CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM WITH REGARD TO THE
ESPIONAGE ACT. JUDGE BYRNE CUT HEARING SHORT AND DEPARTMENTAL
ATTORNEY NISSEN ESTIMATES THEY ARE HALF WAY THROUGH DISCOVERY
MOTIONS. AT NOON THREE ONE INSTANT JUDGE BYRNE ENTERED ST. VINCENT'S
HOSPITAL FOR SURGERY.

END PAGE THREE

LA 105-27952

PAGE FOUR

NISSEN IS DISSATISFIED WITH JUDGE BYRNE, STATING HE HAS
LACKED FIRMNESS IN REGARD TO THE DEFENSE, IS VERY ACCOMMODATING
TO THEM AND HAS BEEN BURDENSOME TO THE GOVERNMENT.

RUSSO AND ELLSBERG WERE PRESENT AT HEARING. THERE WAS NO EVIDENCE OF PRESS COVERAGE BY TELEVISION MEDIA.

CASE RECEIVING CONTINUOUS AND PREFERRED ATTENTION .

END

NR 003 NH CODE

11:39 PM NITEL 3-1-72 PRG

DIRECTOR, FBI (65-74060), (ATTN:DID), AND SACS,

LOS ANGELES (105-27952), BOSTON (65-5236)

SAC, NEW HAVEN (65-2389) FROM:

MC LEK SIO

RE NEW HAVEN NITEL, FEBRUARY TWENTYFIVE LAST.

THE NEW HAVEN JOURNAL -COURIER, A DAILY NEWSPAPER PUBLISHED IN NEW HAVEN, CONNECTICUT IN ITS MARCH ONE. INSTANT EDITION, REPORTED THAT "DANIEL ELLSBERG. THE MAN UNDER INDICTMENT FOR LEAKING THE PENTAGON PAPERS TO THE PRESS, WILL SPEAK AT YALE FRESE MARCH THREE . NEXT) ON "NIXON AND THE WAR: WHAT'S GOING ON . 45

HE WILL BE UNDER THE SPONSORSHIP OF A CALHOUN COLLEGE FELLOWSHIP . HE WILL SPEAK AT ONE FIFTEEN PM AT THE YALE UNIVERSITY LAW SCHOOL AUDITORIUM. ADMISSION FREE. BUT DONATIONS WILL BE SOLICITED FOR THE ELLSBERG DEFENSE FUND.

NHO WILL AFFORD COVERAGE OF THIS TALK AND EFFORTS MADE TO TAPE .

END

C.R

2 cc ISD adm. Late deleted 9 7 MAR 9 1972

5-JR#

to MAR T

Mr. Rosen Mr. Mohr. Mr. Bishop. Mr. Miller, ES. Mr. Callahan Mr. Casper. Mr. Conrad.

Mr. Dalbey-Mr. Cleveland

Mr. Ponder. Mr. Bates.

Mr. Walkart Mr. Walters. Mr. Soyars.

Tele. Room. Miss Holmes.

Miss Gandy-

nsmit the following		1 1 1 1 1	
	(Type in plaintext or	1 1 7	
AIRTEL	(Priorit		
<u>R </u>	(211011)		
		1 4	i.,.
TO:	DIRECTOR, FBI		
FROM: /	SAC, WFO (62-		-
	FO CONCERNING	Lek'	
informat	Enclosed are six copies of on received from	an LHM setting forth The	
	Total Total	, and	
Case. Toffice o	The Bureau is aware of and of information supplied the data set forth concerning Senator MIKE GRAVEL was or airtel and report in the MC	the survey of the iginally provided the	
	WFO accepts information from	and he was	
	are accepts intolinetion in	and he was	
fully far have a C nection : have apposupplied	Reference is made to the confittence is a connection. It is WFO's construction is probably former ared at IPS within the recent an affidavit to IPS concerning account by WFO.	e have an FBI connection ing" and that they also point that the FBI conwho is known to at past and to have	_
	It is suggested that the Bu	reau disseminate the	
LHM to C	A.		
(3) - Bure	u (6 Encs)	116-44/650	
	65-74060) (MC LEK)	ADDITION IN COLUMN	
3 - WFO		7-6-	
The state of the s	65-11613) (MC HEA) (05-74	(CO)	
	Not:		
CJJ:teb		1972	
		103/	
and the second second		Patri och Mar	
Approved:	Sent	M. Per	1

- CATHOLOGIA ME CHIEF TRANSPORTED IN COLUMN TRANSPORTED IN COLUMN

1--



In Reply, Please Refer to File No.

UNTED STATES DEPARTMENT OF USTICE

Washington, D. C. 20535
February 25, 1972

And the same was transfer to the same and

has been publicly identified as a Research Fellow at the Institute for Policy Studies (IPS), Washington, D. C. The IPS has been publicly described as the "think tank" of the New Left and is self described as a non-profit, non-taxable, independent center for research, education, and social invention on public policy problems. The has also been publicly identified as an agent and/or staff aide for United States Senator Mike Gravel.

The following information was supplied by a businessman who deals with electronic devices:

At the request of in October, 1971, and several associates made a survey of the office of Senator Gravel on the evening of November 1, 1971, for "bugs". It was the conclusion of that the office of Senator Gravel was "clean" other than the abnormal phenomena which all the telephone lines checked possessed - radio frequency carrier, capable of modulation. He said he could not explain this situation; that it could be caused by microwave feedback. He noted there was a radio frequency radiated field from the vicinity of the Carroll Arms Hotel.

On February 24. 1972, advised he was contacted by on the evening of February 23, 1972. advised him that Senator Gravel, as Chairman of the Subcommittee on Public Buildings and Grounds, plans to ask for funds for a team of investigators to gather information concerning the security of telephone lines in public buildings. From the comments made by received the impression that the Subcommittee would gather information concerning the practices of United States intelligence-gathering agencies, specifically, techniques of an

65-74060-

ENCLOSURE

RE: LEONARD S. RODBERG

electronic nature used to intercept telephone conversations. Additionally, the Subcommittee would be interested in the testimony of witnesses who can provide information concerning methods of assuring telephone security. also said that would probably be an essential member of the investigating team of the Subcommittee in view of his previous survey of Senator Gravel's office, supra. said he has made no decision as to whether he will accept such a position.

"we have an FBI connection fully familiar with what they are doing," and that they have a CIA connection, too. No further statements were made in this respect.

said that the Subcommittee hopes
to provide a grant to the Massachusetts Institute of
Technology to design and build equipment to provide telephone
security. In this respect, said he had previously
informed that the Bell Telephone System can provide
the equipment which will add security to telephone lines
provided the customer will pay the additional costs.

expressed the opinion, which is based upon his conversation with that and his associates are attempting to start a "witch hunt" which is aimed at the United States intelligence agencies.

The Congressional Directory, 1972, 92nd Congress, Second Session, Page 268, lists Mike Gravel of Alaska as a member of the Committee of the Senate on Public Works and Chairman of the Subcommittee on Public Buildings and Grounds.

This document contains neither recommended to the religious of the Pri and the religious of the Pri and the religious of the Pri and the religious and the r

Domestic Intelligence Division

INFORMATIVE NOTE

Attached states research fellow at the Institute for Policy Studies (IPS) which is described as a "think tank" for the New Left, and who is also an aide to Senator Mike Gravel (D-Alaska) may be attempting to start a "witch hunt" aimed at U.S. intelligence agencies according to a in October, 1971, who is a businessman dealing Bureau source. in electronic devices, and several associates make a survey of Senator Gravel's office to see if his office was "bugged,"and it was on 2-23-72' determined to be "clean." that Senator Gravel plans to ask for funds for team of investigators to gather information concerning the security of telephone lines in public buildings, and according to source he received impression that Gravel as Chairman of the Subcommittee on Public Buildings and Grounds would gather information concerning practices of U.S. intelligence gathering agencies. According to the commented "We have an FBI connection fully familiar with what they are doing," and they have a CIA connection too.

comment regarding the FBI

connection is probably former

who is known to have appeared at IDS

within the recent past, and to have supplied
an affidavit to IPS concerning the monitoring
of IPS's bank accounts by our Washington Field
Office. If you approve, a copy of attached

will be sent to CIA and the National Security


ABK: lrs 1/2 Miles Eris 12 VIII

三十四十二

65-74060-

ENCLOSURG

,



97MAR 9 1972

PAGE TWO

OF FOREGOING .

BOSTON IMMEDIATELY FURNISH ANY SUCH ABOVE DESCRIBED

DOCUMENTS IN THEIR POSSESSION TO LOS ANGELES FOR DELIVERY

TO ASSISTANT U.S. ATTORNEY BARRY.

CASE RECEIVING CONTINUOUS AND PREFERRED ATTENTION

Mr. Tolson CO.I. UNICA Mr. Felt. Mr. Rosen Mr. Mohr. Mr. Eishop. Mr. Miller, ES 034 LA CODE Mr. Callahar Mr. Casper. 8:28PM/URGENT 3-2-72 PEN Mr. Conrad Mr. Dalbey. Mr. Cleveland TO DARECTOR (65-74060) ATTN: DOMESTIC INTELLIGENCE DIVISION Mr. Ponder. Mr. Bates. BOSTON (65-5236) Mr. Waikart Mr. Walters. FROM LOS ANGELES (105-27952) 2P Mr. Soyars. Tele. Room. Miss Holmes Miss Gandy. MCLEK . SIO . ON MARCH TWO INSTANT ASSISTANT U.S. ATTORNEY RICHARD BARRY, ASSISTANT TO DAVID NISSEN, REQUESTED BOSTON IMMEDIATELY FURNISH ALL DOCUMENTS OR COPIES OF DOCUMENTS IN POSSESSION OF BOSTON WHICH WERE RECEIVED BY BOSTON AS A RESULT OF GRAND JURY INVESTIGATING DISCLOSURE OF PENTAGON PAPERS. BOSTON IS NOT TO CONTACT GRAND JURY FOR ANY DOCUMENTS AND IS TO FORWARD TO LOS ANGELES ONLY DOCUMENTS OR COPIES IN POSSESSION OF BOSTON OFFICE. THESE DOCUMENTS OR COPIES ARE TO BE MADE AVAILABLE TO JUDGE MATTHEW BYRNE FOR IN CAMERA INSPECTION . ADMINISTRATIVE . LOS ANGELES TELETYPE MARCH ONE LAST . REC- 45 TO MAR ? NISSEN ADVISED END PAGE ONE 9 7 MAR 3 1972

R

FEDERAL BY EAU OF INVESTIGAT

MAR 2 1972

NR 015 BS CODE

605 PM NITEL 3-2-72 WFY

TO DIRECTOR (65-74060) (ATTN: DOMINTEL)

LOS ANGELES (105-27952)

FROM BOSTON (65-5236) (IPAGE)

MC LEK-SIO.

Bre man

Mr. Conrad
Mr. Dalbey
Mr. Cleveland
Mr. Ponder
Mr. Bates
Mr. Walkart
Mr. Walkers
Mr. Soy ars
Tele. Room
Miss Holmes
Miss Gandy

Mr. Tolson

Mr. Rosen Mr. Mohr Mr. Bishop Mr. Miller, E

Mr. Callahar Mr. Casper_

Mr. Felt

RE BOSTON NITEL, FEB. TWENTYNINE, LAST, AND BUTEL THREE
TWO SEVENTYTWO.

ON THIS DATE, DEPARTMENTAL ATTORNEY RICK BARRY ADVISED THAT
THE SCHEDULED RETURN DATE FOR THE FOUR SUBPOENAES ISSUED
ON FEBRUARY TWENTYNINE LAST FOR VARIOUS TELEPHONE TOLL RECORDS WHICH
WERE RETURNABLE ON MARCH FIFTEEN, NEXT, WILL BE CHANGED TO MARCH
TWENTYONE, NEXT. BARRY ADVISED THAT THE ATER DATE WAS
BEING UTILIZED SINCE A CONTEMPT HEARING FOR SAMUEL LEWIS
POPKIN, WHO HAS PREVIOUSLY BEEN SUBPOENAED IN THIS CASE BUT
REFUSED TO TESTIFY BEFORE THE FEDERAL GRAND JURY, BOSTON, WOULD
BE HELD ON MARCH TWENTYONE, NEXT. BOSTON RETURNING SUBPOENAES
REVIOUSLY ISSUED FOR CHANGE IN RETURN DATE TO MARCH TWENTYONE NEXT,
FIER WHICH THEY WILL BE FORWARDED TO PERTINENT OFFICES FOR SERVICE

BI WA

MAR 300 072 deleted

ACCORDANCE WITH INSTRUCTION IN REBUTEL .

Jan 15